EFFECTS OF AMMONIUM SULFATE AND SEAWEED EXTRACT (ALGA21ST) AS FOLIAR SPRAY TO NUTRITION CONTENT OF TWO CULTIVARS OF SWEET CHERRY (*PRUNUS AVIUM* L.) TRANSPLANTS

*S. A. Yaseen Lecturer Suaad.yaseen@uod.ac

S.R. Al-Zubaydi Prof.

Sami.laibi@uod.ac

Dep. of Hort. Coll. of Agric., and Coll. of Pharm., University of Duhok, Iraq

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted during the two growing seasons 2016 and 2017 in the lath house at the College of Agriculture, Duhok University, Kurdistan Region of Iraq. Uniform and healthy transplants of (2) years old sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) cultivars (evey loly and shampion) were used. The effects of three levels of Ammonium sulfate (NH₄)₂SO₄) (as source of nitrogen) (0, 3 and 6 gm L⁻¹), three levels of seaweed extracts (alga21st) concentrations (0, 1 and 2 gmL⁻¹) and their interactions on leaf nutrients status, the content of protein, carbohydrate were investigated. The results showed that cv. evey loly transplants significantly dominated over cv. shampion in leaf N concentration % and protein % (in second season), leaf S concentration % (in first season), leaf K concentration % and carbohydrates % (in both season), Ammonium sulfate at concentration 6 gm.L⁻¹ N significantly increased all traits in both seasons. Also treated sweet cherry transplant to different level of seaweed extract (alga21st) and especially at 2 gm.L⁻¹ significantly increased most characterized studied in both seasons. The interactions between cultivar and ammonium sulfate significantly enhanced all detected traits particularly interaction between (cv. evey loly and 6 gm.L⁻¹ N) in 2016 and 2017 seasons. Interaction between cultivar and alga21st significantly increased most characteristics in both study seasons. cv.evey loly with 2 gm.L⁻¹ gave positive significant of leaf N concentration % and leaf phosphorous concentration (in 2017 season), leaf K concentration, leaf S concentration %, protein % and carbohydrates % (in both seasons). While combination between cv.shampion and 2 gmL¹ significantly effect on the leaf P concentration % in first season (2016). Combination between ammonium sulfate and alga 21st had the highest significant, The dual interaction between 6 gm. $L^{-1}N + 2$ gm. L^{-1} alga 21st significantly increased leaf N concentration %, leaf P concentration % and protein % (in second season), leaf K concentration and carbohydrate % (in both seasons), leaf S concentration % (in first season). On the other hand interaction between 6 gm.L⁻¹ N +1 gm.L⁻¹ had the highest significant in leaf N concentration %, leaf P concentration % and protein % (in first season). The interaction between cultivar +ammonium sulfate a+ alga21st significantly enhanced all studied traits in both study season in first season the triple interaction between cv.evey loly +6 gm.L⁻¹N +1 gm.L⁻¹alga21st significantly increased on leaf N concentration % and protein %, while combination between cv.evey loly +6 gm.L⁻¹ N +2 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st had highest significant in leaf N concentration %, leaf P% and protein % (second season), leaf K concentration and carbohydrate % (in both seasons).

Keywords: Nitrogen, transplants, concentrations, evey loly, shampion. *Part of ph.D. Dissertation of 1st author.

ياسين والزبيدي		مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2019: 50: 2019-1280-1269
فى صنفين للشتلات الكرز	ي على محتوى الغذائية	تأثير كبريتات الامونيوم و مستلخص البحري (Alga21st) كسماد الورقر
	، لولى وشامبيون	الحلو (<i>Prunus avium</i> L.) ايفي
	سامي رشك الزبيدى	سعاد عبدالحميد ياسين
	استاذ	مدرس
	لة/جامعة دهوك	قسم البستنة/كلية الزراعة، كلية الصيد

المستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال الموسمين النمو 2016 و 2017 في الظل الغشبية تابعة لكلية الزراعة ، جامعة دهوك ، إقليم كريستان العراق. تم زراعة الشتلات للصنفين الكرز الحلو (...) (*Prunus avium*) السليمة وخالية من الامراض و البالغة من العمر سنتين (ايفي لولى وشامبيون)، ودرس فيه تأثيرات ثلاث مستويات من كبريتات الأمونيوم (SO()، (N4) (SO)) (O، 1 و 2 غم لتر⁻¹) وتأثيرها المتداخلة على محتوى الورقة من الغااصر الغذائية، البروتين والكربوهيدرات. أظهرت النتائيج أن الصنف أيفي لولى تفوق معنويا على صنف شامبيون في تركيز محتوى الورقة من N ونسبة البروتين (في الموسم الأول)، وتركيز محتوى الورقة من الغااصر الغذائية، من S (في الموسم الأول) ومحتوى الورقة من N والمربقة من العاصر الغذائية، من S (في الموسم الأول) ومحتوى الورقة من N والمربقي تلكريز حتوى الورقة من S (في الموسم الثاني)، وتركيز محتوى الورقة من S (في الموسم الأول) ومحتوى الورقة من N ونسبة البروتين (في الموسم الثاني)، وتركيز محتوى الورقة من S (في الموسم الأول) ومحتوى الورقة من N والكربوهيدرات. (في كلا الموسمين)، والأرش الورقي بكبريتات الأمونيوم بتركيز 6 غم لتر⁻¹ لاحظ زيادة جميع الصفات المدروسة في كلا الموسمين. ورش الورقي من مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية (aga21st)، وخاصة عند تركيز 2 غم لتر⁻¹ لاحظت زيادة في معظم الصفات المدروسة في كلا وكبريتات الأمونيوم كانت لها تأثير معنوي على المعات المحروسة خاصة في التالخال الثناني بين الصنف وكبريتات الأمونيوم كانت لها تأثير معنوي على المصفات المدروسة خاصة في التداخل الثناني بين الصنف و 2 غم لتر⁻¹ الأبل معنوي على ولى و تركيز 6 غم لتر⁻¹ الفي بين المانة بين المند وكبريتات الأمونيوم كانت لها تأثير معنوي على لمند معالي الخسانية علي لولي و تركيز 6 غم لتر⁻¹ الذي التائي بين الماند وكبريتان الأمونيوم ولال الموسمين الكروبيوميدرات (في كلا لموسمين). في كان التداخل الثنائي بين الصنف و 2 غم لتر⁻¹ الأبل معنوي ملى 10 و 9 (في موسم وكبريات الأمونيوم والكربوميدرات والكربوميدرات (في كلا الموسمين). في كولي المان في لولي و تركيز 6 عم لتر⁻¹ الم في مي تر⁻¹ المونوس في الكربوميدر 10 و 9 (قي موسم وكان وزيدة في كم ، 2 ، نسبة البروتين والكربوميدرات (في كلا الموسمين في نولي دع عم لتر⁻¹ المالي في لولي دع غم لتر⁻¹ 10) وزيدة مي معتوى الورية من المولف النائول في نول غلول

الكلمات المفتاحية: نايتروجين، الشتل، التراكيز ، ايفى لولى ، شامبيون.

*Received:18/5/2019, Accepted:5/8/2019

INTRODUCTION

The *Rosacea* is an important plant family that includes more than 90 genera and 3000 species where it divided into three subfamilies, two of which include some of the most economically important temperate fruit crops (30). The largest subfamily is the Spiraoideae to which Malus (apple), Pyrus (pear) and Prunus (peach, cherry, almond and apricot) belong. The second largest subfamily is the Rosoideae to which Fragaria (strawberry), Rubus (currants, black berries, raspberries) and Rosa (rose) belong. Cherries are members of the *Rosaceae* family, subfamily Prunoideae. Prunus avium L. is the sweet cherry, and Prunus cerasus L. is the sour, pie, or tart cherry. Sweet cherry is one of the most popular temperate fruits. According to the (19), cherries are an important horticultural worldwide. crop produced where approximately 2.2 million tons of cherries were produced worldwide in 2009. The total amount of sweet cherries produced in the United States from 2000 to 2016 was around 350 thousand tons. Around 40% of cherry world production originates in Europe. The biggest producers of cherries are Turkey, USA, Iran, Italy and Spain (12).

In fertilizing the pear seedlings, Al.Rawi and Ahmed (10) reported a significant increase in the proportion of N in the leaves, especially at highest levels compared to other treatments. This is consistent with the results of Al-Araji(3), which showed that there was a significant increase in the concentration of N in the leaves with increasing the level of N fertilizer added to the origin of citrus. Al-Janabi (8) explained that there was a significant increases in the concentration of N in the leaves with an increase in the level of N fertilizer. Al-Rawi and Nimer (11) reported that the N% in apple leaves increased in Nfertilized trees compared to non-fertilized. In their study, Al.Rawi and Ahmed (10) obtained the fertilization of seedlings of one-year-old seed pears with N fertilizer at four levels of 0, 20, 40 and 240 kg N ha⁻¹. The addition of N fertilizer at a rate of 60 kg N / dunum caused a significant increase in leaf content from N compared to other treatments. Raese (31) found that when apple trees were planted at the age of 5 and 8 years, using different sources

and concentrations of N fertilizers, the high N fertilizer levels increased the N content of the leaves.Algae extract or seaweed extract as a new bio-fertilizer contained N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S as well as Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu, Mo, and Co, some growth regulators, polyamines and vitamins which were applied to improve nutritional status, vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality in different orchard as well as vineyards (1 and 37). Ismaeal and Ghazzi (23) mentioned that the treatment of K18 and Khodeiri olive transplants with Marine fert. (12% natural organic matter extracted from seaweed (Ascophyllum nodosum) increased the concentrations of leaf N, P and K. Al-Hadethi and Al-Qatan (5) reported that seaweed extract increased the vegetative growth characteristics and leaf chlorophyll content of apricot trees. Bradshaw et al., (15) found that spraying algae extracts of Stimplex and Seacrop16 extracted from seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum affected significantly the vegetative growth in apple trees. Al-Hadethi (6) revealed that spraying seaweed extract (Marine fert.) each at 2.5 and 5 ml.L⁻¹ enhanced leaf area, leaf chlorophyll content, leaf mineral content (N, K, Ca, Fe) and leaf carbohydrates content of apricot trees. Eman et al., (18) showed that application of algae extract was very effective in stimulating the shoot length, leaf area, total leaf carbohydrates and leaf mineral content. Abd El-Motty et al., (2) showed that spraying Keitte mango trees once at full bloom with algae at 2% combined with yeast at 0.2% was very effective and improved N, K and Br contents in the leaves. Haggag (21) showed olive seedling that combined with biostimulant based on algae extract (1%) gave the best results concerning lateral shoot numbers, stem diameter, leaves number, N, P and K %, whereas, algae extract alone achieved the highest plant height increment % lateral shoot numbers, Stem diameter, Leaves number. The aim of this study is to determine the effect of nitrogen and alga21st on NPK, carbohydrates and protein status of two cultivars of sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) transplants leaves.

MTERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was coducted at the lath house, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Kurdistan region, Iraq during 2016 and 2017. Uniform and healthy transplants of (2)

years old sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) cultivars (evey loly and shampion) were used. Evey loly is originated in turkey and shampion is originated in Syria. The experiments were started in February 15th 2016 by transplanting in black plastic pots (35 x 40 cm which hold 15 kg of soil). Pots were filled with mixture soil of clay and sand (1:1). Three ammonium sulfate (as source of Nitrogen) concentrations (0, 3 and 6 g. N L^{-1}) in the form of (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 3 alga21st (seaweed extract) concentrations (0, 1 and 2 $gm.L^{-1}$) were sprayed at 15th April 3 times within 20 days intervals. The experiment design was arranged in a Completely Randomized Block Design (RCBD); the experiment comprised of 18 treatments with 3 replicates, each replicate was presented by 3 pots each pot contained one transplant. The obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed by computer using SAS program (33). The differences among various treatment means were tested with Duncun multiple range test at (5%) level. Data collection three transplants were randomly selected from each experimental unit. The following parameters were determined in the: conducted, Leaf mineral content: Leaf samples were collected for chemical analysis at the 1st week of June of both seasons. Each sample consisted of 20 leaves / tree. Leaves were washed several times with tap water, rinsed with distilled water, and then dried at 70 c⁰ until a constant weight, ground and digested according to Chapman et al., (16). Nitrogen was estimated by semi-micro kieldahl method of (29). Phosphorus was determined by the method outlined by Jackson (26). Potassium was determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer "Perkin Elmer 1100B" after samples digested according to Chapman et al., (16). Sulfur (%): it was determined with colorimetric method, after turbidity formation, using Spectrophotometer (pharmacia LKB) method at 420 nm (40).Protein (%) was calculated by multiplying the value of the nitrogen by 6.25 (4).Leaf carbohydrates content (%): was determined according to Dubois et al. (17).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It observe from the data in Table 1 that there was no significant differences between cv. evey loly and cv. shampion in first season 2016, while in second season 2017 cv. evey loly significantly overtop in leaf nitrogen conc. and gave the maximum value (2.23 %) s compared to cv. shampion. Cherry transplant treated with iron rates substantially increased leaves nitrogen concentration at both two seasons especially at 6 gm.L⁻¹ N as compared to control, which recorded (2.21% in 2016 season and 2.35 % in 2017 season). The data shows that spraying transplant with alga21st had affected significantly on leaf nitrogen concentration in both seasons particularly at the concentration of 2 gml-1, which gave the highest value (2.12% in first season 2016 and 2.21 % in second season 2017).

Result indicated that the interaction between (cultivar and ammonium sulfate) significantly effect on leaf nitrogen concentration in both seasons. The best interaction was (cv. evey loly with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N) which resulted the highest nitrogen concentration (2.26% in 2016 season and 2.37 in 2017 % season) significantly differ when compared with other same interaction and control. In case of the interaction of (cultivar and alga21st), in 2016 season the higher leaf nitrogen concentration (2.17%) was obtained from combination between (cv. evey loly and 1 gm. L^{-1}). On the other hand in second season the maximum value (2.30 %) was resulted from interaction between (cv. every oly and 2 gm.L⁻¹). The results indicated that the interaction between (ammonium sulfate and alga21st) has significantly effect on leaf nitrogen concentration in both seasons, in the first season (2016) the results indicated that the interaction between (6 gm. L^{-1} N with 1 gm. L^{-1} ¹) was the most influential treatment, which gave the highest value (2.31%). Whereas in second season, the best interaction was (6 $gm.L^{-1}$ N with 2 $gm.L^{-1}$ alga21st) which gave the highest nitrogen concentration (2.46%).

Regarding the interaction between (cultivar, ammonium sulfate and alga21st), significantly affected leaf nitrogen concentration at both seasons. In the first season, the maximum value (2.34%) was observed when (cv. evey loly were sprayed with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N and 1 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st) at 2016 season, neverless the minimum value (1.85%) was donate at the interaction of (cv. shampion+0 gm.L⁻¹ N +

gm.L⁻¹). Results in the second season, the highest value (2.48%) was acquired when (cv.evey loly were treated with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N and 2 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st), whereas the minimum value (1.90%) was recorded at the

interaction of (cv. shmpion $+0 \text{ gm.L}^{-1} \text{ N}$). The highest leaf nitrogen concentration was resulted in 2017 season when compared with 2016 season.

Table 1. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on leaf Nitrogen conc. (%) of sweet cherry transplant cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in (2016 and 2017) seasons.

				50	asuns.				
		First seas	on 2016				Second seas	son 2017	
Cultivar	Nitrogen (gm)	0 A	Alga21st (gn 1	n) 2	CV.*N	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N
	0	1.85 d	1.98 b-d	1.95 b-d	1.93 c	1.90 f	1.88 f	1.92 ef	1.90 d
Shampion	3	1.91 cd	1.88 d	2.15 a-d	1.98 bc	1.99 d-f	2.15 b-f	2.00 d-f	2.04 cd
r i r	6	1.96 b-d	2.28 ab	2.24 а-с	2.16 ab	2.15 b-f	2.43 ab	2.43 ab	2.34 a
	0	1.97 b-d	2.06 a-d	1.91 cd	1.98 bc	2.05 c-f	2.11 b-f	2.13 b-f	2.10 bc
Evey loly	3	1.90 d	2.11 a-d	2.17 a-d	2.06 bc	2.15 b-f	2.23 а-е	2.29 a-d	2.22 ab
	6	2.19 a-d	2.34 a	2.27ab	2.26 a Means effect of CV.	2.28 a-d	2.35 a-c	2.48 a	2.37 a Means effect of CV.
CV.×	Shampion	1.91 b	2.05 ab	2.11 a	2.02 a	2.01 c	2.15 а-с	2.12 bc	2.09 b
Alga21st	Evey loly	2.02 ab	2.17 a	2.12 a	2.10 a	2.16 a-c	2.23 ab	2.30 a	2.23 a
	0	1.91 d	2.02 cd	1.93 d	Means effect of Nitrogen 1.95 b	1.98 d	2.00 d	2.02 cd	Means effect of Nitrogen 2.00 c
N × Alga21st	3	1.91 d	2.00 cd	2.16 a-c	2.02 b	2.07 cd	2.19 cd	2.15 cd	2.13 b
Alga21St	6	2.07 cd	2.31 a	2.25 ab	2.21 a	2.21 bc	2.39 ab	2.46 a	2.35 a
	effect of 121st	1.96 b	2.11 a	2.12 a		2.09 b	2.19 ab	2.21 a	
20	16		2.06 b			2017	2.16	a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

Results in Table 2 shows that there were no significant differences in leaf phosphorous concentration in cv. evey loly and cv. shampion in both seasons (2016 and 2017). The obtained results in both seasons revealed that the spraying transplant with 6 $gm.L^{-1}$ N gave the better value of leaf phosphorous concentration which was (0.188 % in 2016 season and 0.211 % in 2017 season) respectively. The data show that spraying transplant with alga21st significantly affected leaf phosphorus concentration especially at the sprayed with 2 gm. L^{-1} in both seasons, which was (0.186 % in 2016 and 0.212 % in 2017) significantly differ from control.Interaction between (cultivar and ammonium sulfate) had a significant effect on leaf phosphorous concentration in two seasons. The interaction treatment of (cv. evev loly + 6gm.L⁻¹ N) gave the significant highest leaf phosphorous concentration (0.190 % in 2016 and 0.215 % in 2017). Data reported that (cultivar and alga21st) interaction has a significant effect on

leaf phosphorous concentration in both seasons, the higher value (0.193 %) was observed from the interaction of cv. shampion with 2 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st in first season 2016, on the other hand, results obtained from second season displayed that the interaction cv. evey loly with 2 gm.L⁻¹alga21st was the surpassed interaction and gave the highest value (0.217%). Concerning the interaction between (ammonium sulfate and alga21st), the effect was significant in both seasons, the higher value was obtained from interaction between $(6 \text{ gm}.\text{L}^{-1} \text{ N} + 2 \text{ gm}.\text{L}^{-1} \text{ alga21st}) \text{ was } (0.191\%)$ in 2016 season and 0.231 % in 2017 season). Results of (cultivar, ammonium sulfate and alga21st) interaction mentioned that at the first season, the maximum leaf phosphorous concentration (0.200 %)was obtained at the treatment of (cv. every loly + 6 gm.L⁻¹ N+1 $gm.L^{-1}$ alga21st), whereas the minimum value (0.159 %) was gotten from the interaction of (cv. shampion +0 gm.L⁻¹ N+ 0 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st). in contrast, results recorded from the second season displayed that the interaction among (cv. evey loly +6 gm.L⁻¹ N + 2 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st) was the superior interaction as it gave the highest value (0.236%). Significantly, high leaf phosphorous concentration was obtained in 2017 season as compared to 2016 season.

Table 2. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on leafphosphorus conc. (%) of sweet cherry transplant cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in (2016 and2017) seasons.

		First seas	on 2016		Second season 2017				
Cultivar	Nitrogen	Alga21st (gm)			CV.*N		Alga21st (gm)		CV.*N
Cultival	(gm)	0	1	2	CV. IN	0	1	2	CV.IN
	0	0.159 f	0.175 a-f	0.188 a-e	0.173 bc	0.155 d	0.184 cd	0.201 bc	0.180 c
Shampion	3	0.161 ef	0.178 a-f	0.190 a-d	0.176 а-с	0.179 cd	0.193 bc	0.197 bc	0.190 bc
	6	0.171 c-f	0.183 a-f	0.202 a	0.185 ab	0.185 cd	0.213 a-c	0.225 ab	0.208 ab
	0	0.176 a-f	0.155 f	0.172 b-f	0.168 c	0.194 bc	0.18 cd	0.21 a-c	0.196 bc
Evey loly	3	0.164 d-f	0.182 a-f	0.189 a-e	0.178 a-c	0.181 cd	0.19 bc	0.20 a-c	0.192 bc
	6	0.195 a-c	0.200 a	0.174 a-f	0.190 a	0.201 a-c	0.208 a-c	0.236 a	0.215 a
					Means				Means
					effect of				effect of
					CV.				CV.
CV.×	Shampion	0.164 b	0.179 ab	0.193 a	0.179 a	0.173 c	0.196 b	0.208 ab	0.192 a
Alga21st	Evey loly	0.178 ab	0.179 ab	0.178 ab	0.179 a	0.192 bc	0.195 b	0.217 a	0.201 a
					Means				Means
					effect of				effect of
					Nitrogen				Nitrogen
N×	0	0.168 bc	0.165 bc	0.180 a-c	0.171 b	0.174 d	0.183 cd	0.21 bc	0.188 b
Alga21st	3	0.162 c	0.180 a-c	0.190 a	0.177 b	0.180 cd	0.193 b-d	0.199 bc	0.191 b
Algazist	6	0.183 ab	0.191 a	0.188 a	0.188 a	0.193 b-d	0.210 ab	0.231 a	0.211 a
	effect of a21st	0.171 b	0.179 ab	0.186 a		0.182 c	0.196 b	0.212 a	
20	16		0.180 b			2017	0.197	a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

Data in Table 3 displayed that there were significant differences between two cultivars evey loly and shampion in both seasons 2016 and 2017 and cv. evey loly was surpass on leaf potassium concentration and gave the highest value (1.51 %, 1.65 %) respectively. Results in Table 3 shows that spraying transplant with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N gave the highest significant value (1.51 % in first season and 1.70 % in second season). The data revealed in the first season (2016) that spraying transplant with alga 21st not affected significantly in leaf potassium concentration. While in second season (2017), spraying of alga21st affected leaf the potassium concentration especially at the third concentration (2 gm. L^{-1} alga21st) which was (1.63%).In both seasons (2016, 2017), the interaction between (cultivar and ammonium sulfate) significantly affected leaf potassium concentration, and highest leaf potassium concentration value was observed between interaction of (cv. evey loly and 6 gm. L^{-1} N) which gave (1.67% in 2016 season and 2.10 % in 2017 season) which was significantly differed when compared with other

concentration. There was a significant effect between (cultivar and alga21st) in both seasons on leaf potassium concentration especially at (cv.evey loly and 2 $\text{gm}.\text{L}^{-1}$), which recorded the highest leaf potassium concentration (1.52% in 2016 season and 1.81 season) and significantly % in 2017 differences from other concentrations. About the interaction between (ammonium sulfate and alga21st) significantly affected leaf potassium concentration in both seasons, the highest leaf potassium concentration was acquired as a result of interaction between (6 $gm.L^{-1} N + 2 gm.L^{-1} alga21st$ which gave 1.64% in first season and 1.84 % in second season and significantly differed from same treatment and control. Results of (cultivar, ammonium sulfate and alga21st) interaction in both seasons there were mentioned significant effects on leaf potassium concentration. The maximum value (1.67% in 2016 season and 2.10 % in 2017) was obtained from interaction of (cv. evey loly+ 6 $gm.L^{-1}$ N +2 gm.L⁻¹) and significantly differences when compared with other concentration. The obtained results indicated that the leaf potassium concentration in 2017

season was superior over the 2016 season.

Table 3. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on leaf							
potassium conc. (%) of sweet cherry transplant cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in (2016 and							
2017) seasons.							

				===;	beabolib						
	First season 2016 Second season 2017										
Cultivar	Nitrogen (gm)	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N	0	Alga21st (gr 1	n) 2	CV.*N		
	0	1.01 c	1.45 a-c	1.30 a-c	1.26 b	1.27 f	1.28	1.33 ef f	1.29 c		
Shampion	3	1.09 bc	1.33 а-с	1.50 a-c	1.31 b	1.43 ef	1.44 d-f	1.43 ef	1.43 bc		
-	6	1.22 a-c	1.27 a-c	1.59 а-с	1.36 ab	1.44 d-f	1.49 c-f	1.58 d-e	1.50 b		
	0	1.33 а-с	1.26 a-c	1.28 a-c	1.29 b	1.33 ef	1.45 d-f	1.60d-e	1.46 b		
Evey loly	3	1.56 a-c	1.56 a-c	1.59 а-с	1.57 ab	1.43 ef	1.55 c-f	1.74 bc	1.57 b		
	6	1.64 ab	1.66 ab	1.69 a	1.67 a Means effect of CV.	1.73 b-d	1.89 ab	2.10 a	1.91 a Means effect of CV.		
CV.×	Shampion	1.11 b	1.35 ab	1.47 a	1.31 b	1.38 c	1.40 c	1.44 c	1.41 b		
Alga21st	Evey loly	1.51 a	1.49 a	1.52 a	1.51 a	1.50 bc	1.63 b	1.81 a	1.65 a		
6	0	1.17 b	1.36 ab	1.29 ab	Means effect of Nitrogen 1.27 b	1.30 e	1.37 de	1.46 с-е	Means effect of Nitroger 1.38 c		
N × Alga21st	3	1.32 ab	1.45 ab	1.54 ab	1.44 ab	1.43 с-е	1.50 b-d	1.58 bc	1.50 b		
	5	1.32 ab	1.43 ab 1.47 ab	1.54 ab 1.64 a	1.44 ab 1.51 a	1.43 C-e 1.59 bc	1.50 D-u 1.69 ab	1.58 bC	1.50 b 1.70 a		
	effect of a21st	1.31 a	1.42 a	1.49 a	2.02 M	1.44 b	1.52 b	1.63 a	1.70 a		
20	16		1.41 b			2017	1.53	а			

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

Its clear from Table 4 that the highest leaf S concentration was recorded from cv. evey loly in first season which was (0.187%) in comparison with cv. shampion. Besides, in the second season (2017) there were no significant differences between the cultivars. Data in Table 4 illustrates that in both seasons, Ammonium sulfate at 6 gm.L⁻¹ N recorded the highest significant value of leaf sulfur concentration as compared with control treatment. Which was (0.200 % in 2016 season and 0.222% in 2017 season). The recorded data shows that in both seasons cherry transplant sprayed with different levels of alga21st particularly at 2 gm.L⁻¹ transplant levels, produced higher significant leaf sulfur concentration in comparison with control. Tabulated data declares that in both study seasons, there were significant interaction between cultivar and ammonium sulfate, especially at cv. evey $loly + 6 \text{ gm.L}^{-1} \text{ N}$ which gave the highest leaf S concentration (0.207%) in first season and 0.229% in second season) respectively. Results in the same tables show that the highest significant leaf sulfur concentration (0.203% and 0.219%) was

obtained in both study seasons respectively, as a result of the interaction between cv. evey $loly + 2 \text{ gm}.\text{L}^{-1}$). Results of ammonium sulfate and alga21st interaction revealed that spraying cherry transplant with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N+ 2 gm.L⁻¹ alga21st interaction resulted in the highest leaf sulfur concentration (0.220%) in 2016 season, while in second season (2017) the highest leaf sulfur concentration (0.234%) was obtained from interaction between 6 gm.L⁻¹ N + 1 gm.L⁻¹ ¹. Results of cultivar, ammonium sulfate and alga21st interaction indicated that at the first season, the interaction among cv. evey loly + 6 $gm.L^{-1}N + 2 gm.L^{-1}$ was the most potent treatment as it gave the highest leaf sulfur concentration (0.222%), nevertheless the lowest value (0.130%) was recorded from interaction of cv. shampion + 0 gm.L⁻¹ N + 0 $gm.L^{-1}$ (Table 4). Results obtained from the second season displayed that the interaction among cv. shampion + 6 gm.L⁻¹ N + 1 gm.L⁻¹ gave the highest leaf sulfur concentration (0.243%), while the lowest value (0.169%)was gotten at the treatment of cv.shampion +0gm.L⁻¹ N+ 0 gm.L⁻¹. The obtaining results

revealed that 2017 season was superior over 2016 season in leaf sulfur concentration. Table 4. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on leaf sulfur conc. (%) of sweet cherry transplant cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in (2016 and 2017) seasons.

		First seas	son 2016			Second season 2017						
Cultivar	Nitrogen (gm)	0 A	lga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N			
	0	0.130 g	0.141 fg	0.153 e-g	0.141 d	0.169 b	0.184 b	0.187 b	0.180 c			
Shampion	3	0.157 d-g	0.182 a-e	0.192 a-e	0.177 bc	0.176 b	0.184 b	0.216 ab	0.192 bc			
-	6	0.154 fg	0.207 ab	0.219 a	0.193 ab	0.205 ab	0.242 a	0.201 ab	0.216 ab			
	0	0.151 fg	0.166 c-g	0.177 b-e	0.164 c	0.170 b	0.181 b	0.211 ab	0.187 c			
Evey loly	3	0.160 d-g	0.195 a-d	0.212 ab	0.189 ab	0.211 ab	0.200 ab	0.207 ab	0.206 a-c			
CV.×	6	0.198 a-c	0.201 a-c	0.222 a	0.207 a Means effect of CV.	0.205 ab	0.243 a	0.239 a	0.229 a Means effect of CV.			
	Shampion	0.147 c	0.177 b	0.188 ab	0.170 b	0.183 b	0.203 ab	0.201 ab	0.196 a			
Alga21st	Evey loly	0.170 b	0.187 ab	0.203 a	0.187 a	0.195 ab	0.208 ab	0.219 a	0.205 a			
					Means effect of Nitrogen				Means effect of Nitrogen			
N × Alga21st	0	0.140 f	0.153 ef	0.165 d-f	0.153 c	0.170 d	0.182 cd	0.199 b- d	0.182 b			
	3	0.158 ef	0.189 b-d	0.202 a-c	0.183 b	0.193 b-d	0.192 b-d	0.212 a- c	0.197 b			
	6	0.176 с-е	0.204ab	0.220 a	0.200 a	0.205 b-d	0.243 a	0.220 ab	0.222 a			
Means effec	ct of Alga21st	0.158 b	0.182 a	0.196 a		0.192 b	0.201 ab	0.208 a				
		2016	0.179	b		2017	0.200	a				

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

Table 5 reveals that there were no significant differences between two cultivars in the first season. Whereas in the second season, cv. evey loly was significantly differences from cv. shampion in protein % and gave (13.94%). In both seasons the spraying cherry transplant with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N concentration significantly increased leaf protein % and gave highest value (13.82%, 14.71 the %). respectively as compared to other treatment. The obtained results of both seasons 2016 and 2017 revealed that spraving transplant with alga21st rates resulted in significant increases in leaf protein %. Increases, particularly at 2 $gm.L^{-1}$ rate (13.22%, 13.80%) respectively, as compared to untreated check. The interactions between (cultivar and ammonium sulfate) had significant effect on leaf protein %. In both seasons, the highest leaf protein % (14.15 % in 2016 season and 14.81% in 2017 season) was recorded as a result of the interaction between (cv. evev loly with 6 gm.L⁻¹ N) and

significantly differ when compared with other treatment. The combination between cultivar and alga21st concentration displayed that cv.evey loly with 1 gm. L^{-1} appeared to the most potent treatment in 2016 season, as it gave the highest leaf protein % (13.56%). On the other hand in second season the maximum leaf protein % was obtained as a result of interaction between cv.evey loly with 2 gm.L⁻¹ (14.38%) were accompanied to untreated check. Results in both seasons indicated that the interaction between (ammonium sulfate and alga21st) affected significantly on leaf protein %. The maximum leaf protein % was occurred as a result of the combination between (6 gm. L^{-1} N +1 gm. L^{-1}) which was (14.42%) in 2016 season, besides in second season 2017, the highest leaf protein % (15.35%) was acquired as a result of interaction between (6 gm.L⁻¹ N with 2 gm.L⁻¹ ¹). Results indicated that the interaction between (cultivar+ ammonium

Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences -2019:50(5):1269-1280

sulfate+alga21st) has significantly effect on leaf protein % at both seasons, in the first season results shows that the interaction of (cv. evey loly + 6 gm.L⁻¹ N + 1gm.L⁻¹) was the most influential interaction treatment, which gave the highest value (14.60%). While in second season, the best interaction was (cv. evey loly + 6 gm.L⁻¹ N +2gm.L⁻¹) which gave the highest leaf protein % (15.50%) which was significantly different from other treatment. At both investigated seasons 2017 profoundly, exceeded 2016 season in protein%.

Table 5. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on protein (%)of sweet cherry transplant leaves of cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in (2016 and 2017) seasons.

		-								
		First season	n 2016		Second season 2017					
Cultivar	Nitrogen (gm)	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N	
	0	11.58 d	12.37 b-d	12 18	12.04 c	11.86 f	11.73 f	 11.99 ef	11.86 d	
Shampion	3	11.95 cd	11.77 d	13.44 a-d	12.38 c	12.43 d-f	13.42 b-f	12.50 d-f	12.78 cd	
	6	12.24 b-d	14.24 ab	14.01 a-c	13.50 ab	13.44 b-f	15.20 ab	15.19 ab	14.61a	
Evey loly	0	12.30 b-d	12.86 a-d	11.96 cd	12.37 c	12.84 c-f	13.21 b-f	13.30 b-f	13.12 bc	
	3	11.87 d	13.20 a-d	13.58 a-d	12.88 bc	13.43 b-f	13.91 а-е	14.33 a-d	13.89 ab	
	6	13.67 a-d	14.60 a	14.16 ab	14.15 a	14.23 a-d	14.69 а-с	15.50 a	14.81 a	
					Means effect of CV.				Means effect of CV.	
CV.×	Shampion	11.92 b	12.79 ab	13.21 a	12.64 a	12.58 c	13.45 а-с	13.23 bc	13.08 b	
Alga21st	Evey loly	12.61 ab	13.56 a	13.23 a	13.13 a Means effect of Nitrogen	13.50 a-c	13.94 ab	14.38 a	13.94 a Means effect of Nitrogen	
	0	11.94 d	12.62 cd	12.07 d	12.21 b	12.35 d	12.47 d	12.64 cd	12.49 c	
N×	3	11.91 d	12. cd	13.51 a-c	12.63 b	12.93 cd	13.66 cd	13.41 cd	13.34 b	
Alga21st	6	12.95 b-d	14.42 a	14.09 ab	13.82 a	13.83 bc	14.94 ab	15.35 a	14.71 a	
Means effect	of Alga21st	12.27 b	13.17 a	13.22 a		13.04 b	13.69 ab	13.80 a		
	2016		12.89 b			2017	13.5	51 a		

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

Its obvious from Table 6 that there was significant differences between two cultivar in both seasons 2016 and 2017, and the cv. evey loly significanly differ from cv. shampion on total carbohydrate %, the maximum % was obtained (15.25 % in 2016 season and 17 % in 2017 season).In both seasons, there was significantly impact of ammonium sulfate concentrations on total carbohydrate %, especially at the concentration of 6 gm.L⁻¹ N which gave the highest value (16.72 % in 2016 season and 17.86 % in 2017 season). It is clear from same Table that spraying cherry transplant with alga21st concentration causes a significant increase in the total carbohydrate % in both season, particularly at concentration of $2 \text{ gm}.\text{L}^{-1}$ which gave the maximum value (16.04 % in 2016 season and 17.70 % in 2017 season). The interaction between (cultivar and ammonium sulfate) illustrated that there were

significant effects on total carbohydrate % in both season. Where the highest value (17.24 % in 2016 season and 18.06 % in 2017 season) was obtained from (cv. evey loly and 6 gm.L⁻¹ treatment. Concerning the interaction) between (cultivar and alga21st) in both seasons it has a significant effects on total carbohydrate %, the higher value (16.6 % in 2016 season and 18.94 % in 2017 season) was obtained from interaction between (cv. evey $loly + 2 \text{ gm}.L^{-1}$).Data reported that (ammonium) sulfate and alga21st) interaction had a significant effect on total carbohydrate % in both seasons, especially at the interaction between (6 gm. L^{-1} N and 2 gm. L^{-1}) which gave the highest value (18.92 % in 2016 season and 19.16 % in 2017 season). In respect with the interaction of the three studied factors, the interaction treatment of (cv. evey loly + 6 $gm.L^{-1}$ N + 2 $gm.L^{-1}$ alga21st) in both study season (2016, 2017) gave the highest total carbohydrate % (19.15 % and 19.20 %) respectively and significantly differ from

control. The highest total carbohydrate % value (16.24%) was obtained in 2017 season and significantly differs from 2016 season.

Table 6. Effect of cultivars, Ammonium sulfate, Alga21st and their interaction on totalcarbohydrate% (CHO) in leaves of sweet cherry transplant cvs. Shampion and Evey loly in(2016 and 2017) seasons.

		First seas			-01 7) 5 cu	Second season 2017				
Cultivar	Nitrogen (gm)	0	Alga21st (gm 1) 2	CV.*N	0	Alga21st (gm) 1	2	CV.*N	
Shampion	03	12.55 j 14.13 f-i	13.29 h-j 14.28 e-h	13.93 f-i 15.12 c-f	13.26 d 14.51 c	11.98 f 14.66 de	14.46 е 14.91 с-е	14.43 е 15.85 с-е	13.62 d 15.14 c	
1	6	15.51 с-е	15.70 cd	17.36 b	16.19 b	16.21 c	17.68 b	19.12 a	17.67 a	
Evey loly	0 3 6	13.00 ij 13.72 g-j 14.82 c-g	13.63 g-j 14.52 d-h 17.77 b	14.75 c-g 15.92 c 19.15 a	13.79 d 14.72 c 17.24 a	15.00 с-е 15.67 с-е 15.97 сd	15.25 с-е 15.25 с-е 19.01 ab	18.64 ab 18.97 ab 19.20 a	16.30 b 16.63 b 18.06 a	
CV.×	Shampion	14.06 с	14.42 c	15.47 b	Means effect of CV. 14.65 b	14.28 d	15.68 c	16.47 b	Means effect of CV. 15.48 b	
Alga21st	Evey loly	13.85 с	15.31 b	16.60 a	15.25 a	15.55 c	16.50 b	18.94 a	17.00 a	
	0	12.77 g	13.46 fg	14.34 e	Means effect of Nitrogen 13.52 c	13.49 e	14.85 d	16.54 bc	Means effect of Nitrogen 14.96 c	
N×	3	13.93 ef	14.40 de	15.52 с	14.61 b	15.16 d	15.08 d	17.41 b	15.88 b	
Alga21st	6	15.16cd	16.74 b	18.26 a	16.72 a	16.09 c	18.35 a	19.16 a	17.86 a	
	effect of a21st	13.95 c	14.86 b	16.04 a		14.92 c				
20)16		14.95 b			2017	16.24	1 a		

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level.

These results are in harmony with those reported by on peach (9) where they found that plants treated with bio-stimulants increased the contents of N, P, K and S in leaves. From the results presented in Table 1, the levels of N fertilizer significantly affected the content of the leaves N and resulted in a direct increase in the % N. These results are consistent with the findings of Al-Rawi and Nemir (11), where the % N in apple leaves increased significantly in N-fertilized trees compared to non-fertilized trees. They also agreed with the results of (31) in the fertilization of one-year-old delicious apple seedlings, where it was observed that N fertilizer significantly increased the content of leaves of N compared to non-fertilized seedlings. The stimulating effect of seaweed extract on growth characters might be attributed to its essential action on enhancing cell division because it contains higher amounts of nutrients namely (N, P, K, Mg, Ca, S, Cus, Fe, Mn, B and Mo), natural hormons like cytokinins, IAA and GA3, amino acids, vitamins and antioxidants (27 and 36) these constituents play an important roles in

protecting plants cells from damage and all stresses around plants and improving cell division and the biosynthesis of organic foods (28). The positive effect of seaweed extract have been due to the content of the basic growth. such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, vitamins, amino acids, organic and carbohydrate which have a wide range in their impact on the vital activity of the plant nutrients (32) thereby increasing absorbed by the plant. As for the effect of the algae extract turned out tables above that there is a significant effect and that this increase is due to contain the fertilizer nutrients especially the N, P and K which absorbs directly when sprayed on the leaves and thus an increase in the plant (35). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Saoir and Archer (32) on apple trees. Ismail et al. (24) on orange trees, Al-Hamadany (7) on peach trees and Al-Hadethi (6) on apricot trees. It is clear from effect parameters that the studied of ammonium sulfate on nutrient composion characteristics significantly affected and improved all parameters, the results may be

due to role of N in plants such as photosynthesis reactions, nucleic acid metabolism, carbohydrate protein and biosynthesis due to increased leaf mineral content (20). This is consistent with the results of Aitken and Senn (25). Al-Araji (3) found that the addition of N fertilizer resulted in a significant increase in the concentration of N. Also, results in Tables (1,2, 3,4 and 5) cleared that the % of algae extract especially at high concentration increased the N, P, K,S carbohydrates and protein in the leaves compared with control. From the above mentioned results it could be noticed that spraying seaweed extract at 200 ppm improved N, P and K contents in the leaves. These results are in agreement with those reported by (39); (22) and (38). According to the results of this study we can concluded that application of Ammonium sulfate and alga21st seaweed extract significant increasing nearly all traits undertaken in this study. And also from these results, it can be concluded that evev lolv, leads to the Increased in content of NPK elements and Sulfar, carbohydrate and protein content. Furthermore the duet interactions among the tested factors were a positive effect in improving these traits.

REFERENCES

1.Abd El-Moniem, E and A. S. E. Abd-Allah. 2008. Effect of green algae cells extract as foliar spray on vegetative growth,Yield and berries quality of superior grapevines. Amer. Euras. J. Agric. and Environ. Sci. 4 (4): 427-433.

2.Abd El-Motty, E.Z., M.F.M. Shahin, M. H. El-Shiekh and M. M. M. Abd-El-Migeed. 2010. Effect of algae extract and yeast

application on growth, nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of Keitte mango trees. Agric. Biol. J. N. Am.,1: 421-429.

3.Al-Araji, Jassim Mohammed Alwan. 1999. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphate fertilization on vegetative growth and mineral content of citrus citrus seed. J. of Mesopotamia, (31), (2) 17-21.

4.Al-Dalaly, B. K. and S. A. Al-Hakim 1987. Food Analysis. Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing. Mosul. Univ.

5.Al-Hadethi, M. E and Y. F. Al-Qatan. 2013. Effect of algae extract and ascorbic acid spray with different levels on yield and growth of apricot trees. Egy. J. of Appl. Sci. 28(2):93-101.

6.Al-Hadethi, Mustafa. E. A. 2015. Effect of Different Fertilization sources and the Growth Regulator (*Brassino steroids*) on Growth and Yield of Apricot trees. Ph.D. Dissertation, Coll. of Agric., Univ. of Baghdad, pp. 153.

7.Al-Hamadany, N. A. A. 2009. Effect of Gibberellic acid, Kinetin and NPK on Seeds Germination and Seedlings Growth Apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.). MSc Thesis, Coll. Of Agric., Mosul Univ., Iraq. pp. 91.

8.AL-Janabi, Majid Hamid Khalaf .1985. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization on Growth and Quality of Tangerine Trees, Clementine Tangerine, MA, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul.

9.Al-Jubury, Y, M. 2007. Response of Aleppo Pistachio Transplants cv. Ashoury (*Pistacia vera* L.) to different growing media on Spray with Gibberellic Acid and Zinc. M.Sc. Thesis, Coll. of Agric., Mosul Univ., Iraq. pp:104.

10.Al-Rawi, Adel Khader Saeed and Ahmad Farhan al-Obeidi. 1988. Effect of nitrogen fertilization and cultivation distance on vegetative growth of seed pear seedlings *Pyrus communis* L. J. of Mesopotamia, 20 (2): 47-58. 11.Al-Rawi, Adel Khader Said and Nimir Najib Namir. 1986. Effect of planting distances and nitrogen fertilization on growth of seedling seedlings. J. of Mesopotamia, 18 (1): 125 – 140.

12.Anonymous. 2010. FAO STAT, FAO Statistical Databases (United Nations), FAO (<u>www.faostat.fao.org,2</u> September 2010).

13.Arancon N. Q.; C. A. Edwards; P. Bierman; J Raese J. T. and D. C. Staiff. 1983. Effect of rate and source of nitrogen fertilizers on mineral composition of "d" Anjou pears. J. Plant Nut.6(9): 769 - 779.

14.Attememe. J. Y. A. 2009. The effect of humic acid and sea weed extracts on the growth, chemical characteristics and oil characteristics of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. the 6^{th} Scientific Conference, Biology Dept., College of Education, University of Tikrit. Plants Sci. P. 1-17.

15.Bradshaw, T. L., L. P. Berkett, M.C. Griffith, S.L. Kingsley-Richards, H. M. Darby, R. L., Parsons, R.E Moran. and M. E. Garcia. 2013. Assessment of Kelp extracts biostimulants on tree growth, yield, and fruit

quality in a certified organic apple orchard. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 1001:191-198.

16.Chapman, H, D. and P. E. Pratt. 1978. Methods of analysis for soils, plants, and waters. Univ. of Calif., Div. Agric. Sci., Priced Pub., 4034.pp. 150.

17.Dubois, M., K. Gilles, J. Hamilton, P. Rebers, and F. Smith. 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and

related substances. Analytical Chemistry, 28(3). pp:350–356.

18.Eman, A. Abd El Moniem and A.S.E. Abd-Allah. 2008. Effect of algae extract as foliar spray on vegetative growth, yield and berries quality of Superior grapevines. Amer. Eurasian J. Agri. and Environ. Sci., 4: 427-433.

19.FAO. 2011. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United National, world health organization: CF/5 INF/1.

20.Hafez, O. M. and I. M. El-Metwally. 2007. Efficiency of Zinc and Potassium Sprays Alone or in combination with some weed control treatments on Weed Growth, yield and fruit quality of washington navel orange orchards. J. Sc. Res. Egypt., 3(7):613-621.

21.Haggag, L. F., N.S. Mustafa, M.F.M. Shahin, E.A.E. Genaidy and H.A. Mahdy. 2014. Impact of NPK, humic acid and algae extract on growth of "Aggizi" olive seedlings cultured in sandy soil under greenhouse condition. Journal of Agricultural

Technology 10: 1599-1606.

22.Hegab, M. Y., A. M. A. Sharawy, S. A. G. El-Saida. 2005. Effect of algae extract and mono potassium phosphate on growth and fruiting of Balady orange trees (*Citrus sinensis*). Proc. First Sci. Conf. Agric. Sci. Fac. Of Agric., Assuit Univ. (1): 73-84.

23.Ismail, A. A. and A. K. Ghazzi. 2012. Response of olive transplants to seaweed extract as soil application and foliar

application of magnesium. The Iraqi J. of Agr. Sci. 34 (2): 119-131.

24.Ismail, O. M, O. F. Dakhly and M.N. Ismail. 2011. Influence of some bacteria strains and algae as biofertilizers on growth of bitter orange seedlings. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(11): 1285-1289. 25.Aitken, J. and T. Senn. 1965. Seaweed products as a fertilizer and soil conditioner, Botanica Marina, 8144.

26.Jackson M. H. 1973. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall. Inc., N. J.; Private Limited and New Delhi.pp. 508.

27.James, B. 1994. Chapters from life.Ann.Rev.Physiol.Plant.Mol.Biolog.4:1-23. 28.Mansour, A.E., Gh. Cimpoies and F.F. Ahmed. 2006. Application of algae extract and boric acid for obtaining higher yield and better fruit quality of Anna apple, Stiinta Agricola, 2, 14-20.

29.Plummer, D. T. 1974. An Introduction to Practical Biochemistry. MC Gram – hill book company (UK) limited. England.

30.Potter, D., T. Eriksson, R. C. Evans, S. Oh, J.E.E. Smedmark, D.R. Morgan, M. Kerr, K.R. Robertson, M. Arsenault, T.A. Dickinson and C.S. Campbell. 2007. Phylogeny and classification of Rosacea. Plant Syst. Evol. 266:5-43.

31.Raese, J. 1985. Response of Delicious apple tree in the greenhouse to rates and forms of nitrogen and phosphorus in low, phosphorus soil. Hort. Sci. 20 (2): 234-235.

32.Saoir, S. and J. Archer. 2010. The effect of algae green 200 (cold-process seaweed liquid extract) on the mineral content of 'Bramley's seedling' apple leaves and fruit. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 868:301-306.

33.SAS, SAS/STAT. 2001. "User's Guide for Personal Computer". Release 6.12. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA.

34.Shulaev, V., S.S. Korban, B. Sosinski, A.G. Abbott, H.S. Aldwinckle, K.M. Folta, A. Iezzoni, D. Main, P. Arus, A.M. Dandekar, K. Lewers, S.K. Brown, T.M. Davis, S.E. Gardiner, D. Potter and R.E. Veilleux. 2008. Multiple models for Rosacea genomics. Plant Physiol.147:985-1003.

35.Singh, A .2003. Fruit Physiology and Production. 5th ed. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi – 110002.pp.537.

36.Soliman, A.I.I., M.H., Hussein, S.A.S. Dessouki and Y. Torky. (2000). Production of phytohormones by using some blue green algae used as soil inoculants for rice fields in Egypt.J.Union Arab Biol., Cairo (88): Physiology and Algae: 83-102.

37.Spinelli, F., F. Giovanni, N. Massimo, S. Attia and C. Guglielmo. 2009. Perspectives on

the use of a sea weed extract to moderate the negative effects of alternate bearing in apple trees. J. Hort. Sci. Biotech. 17(1): 131-137. 38.Stino, R.G., A. T. Mohsen, M. A. Maksoud, M. M. Abd elmigeed, A. M. Gomaa and A.

Y. Ibrahim. 2009. Bio-organic fertilization and its impact on Apricot young trees in newly reclaimed soil. Amer-Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci. 6(1): 62-69.

39.Suriabananont, V. 1992. Fertilizer trails on mangoes (*Mangifera indica*) var. Nan Dok Mai in Thailand. Acta Hort. (321): 529-534.

40.Tandon, H. 1999. Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plants, Water and Fertilizers, 3th ed. New Delhi, India.