SUCCESSION OF MAIZE WITH SOME WINTER CROPS 2 – EFFECTS ON MAIZE AND WINTER CROP CHARACTERS H.A. Sadalla^{*1}, J. B. Guznay², S.A. Kakarash³, A.M.Galalay⁴, O.G.Haji⁵ Chief of Researcher Assist lecturer Lecturer Assist lecturer Researcher Dr.hussainasdalla@yahoo.com sakar_asaad@yahoo.com

*1, 2, 3, 4(Dep. of field crops, College of Agriculture / University of Salahaddin, Erbil/ Iraq) 5 (Agriculture Research Center / Ministry of Agriculture / Erbil, Iraq)

ABSTRACT

The experiments were conducted during two fall season and two winters (2010 and 2012), at Grdarash Research Station in Erbil, Kurdistan Region of Iraq. Four winter crops were chosen Wheat, Canola, Pea, Broad bean as well as Fallow plot. These crops were planted in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications during both winter seasons. Maize was planted during the fall seasons succeeded by winter crops. Some field characters were recorded for each winter and fall maize crops during the four growing seasons. The result revealed that all the characters value of winter crops were decreased. The reduction were in 2011-2012 season about 58.92% in wheat number of tillers 45.20% and 57.67% in plant height and yield in broad bean respectively, and 39.60% in pea yield. Fall season 2011 maize showed significant differences for the characters ear height, 250 kernel weight and yield. While in fall season 2012 only ear height and ear length had significant differences.

Key word: succession, maize, (Zea mays L.), winter crops.

سعد الله وآخرون		2016	^ي ة – 671 -667 :(2)47 –	مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقي
	الشتوية	مفراء مع بعض المحاصيل	تعاقب الذرة الص	
	صيل الشتوية	فات الذرة الصفراء والمحا	2 – تأثيرها على صا	
باحث	علي ملا کةلالةيي ⁴ مدرس مساعد r.hussainasdalla	ساکار اسعد کاکةرةش ³ مدرس yahoo.com sa®	جمال بر هان کةزنةيي ² مدرس مساعد kar_asaad@yahoo.	حسين احمد سعدالله ¹ رئيس باحثين com
		الحقلية ـ كلية الزراعةً ــ عة ـ مركز البحوث الزراء		

المستخلص

اجريت الدراسة في محطة ابحاث كردةرةش – كلية الزراعة – اربيل خلال السنوات 2010 – 2012 . وتضمنت اربعة مواسم موسمين خريفيين وموسمين شتويين وتم اختيار اربعة محاصيل شتوية وهي الحنطة، السلجم، البزاليا والباقلاء اضافة الى معاملة البور. زرعت المحاصيل الشتوية وفق تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة في ثلاث مكررات خلال الموسمين الشتويين. وتم زراعة المعاملة المعشاة في ثلاث مكررات خلال الموسمين الشتويين. وتم زراعة المعاملة المعشاة في ثلاث مكررات خلال الموسمين الشتويين. وتم زراعة المعاملة المعشاة في ثلاث مكررات خلال الموسمين الشتويين. وتم اختيار اربعة محاصيل شتوية وهي الحنطة، السلجم، البزاليا والباقلاء اضافة الى معاملة البور. زرعت المحاصيل الشتوية وفق تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة في ثلاث مكررات خلال الموسمين الشتويين. وتم زراعة الذرة الصفراء بالتعاقب مع هذه المحاصيل في الموسمين الخريفين. أظهرت النتائج انخفاض في بعض صفات المحاصيل الشتوية في الموسم الشتوي 2010–2012 وكان الانخفاض في بعض صفات المحاصيل الشتوية في الموسم الشتوي 2010–2012 وكان الانخفاض في بعض صفات المحاصيل الشتوية في الموسم الشتوي 2010–2011 وكان الانخفاض بنسبة 28,92% في المحاصيل المحاصيل الشاوية وي الانخفاض بنسبة 28,92% في المحاصيل المحاصيل الشتوية في الموسم الشتوي 2010–2011 وكان الانخفاض بنسبة 28,92% في المحاصيل المنوية وي 2010–2011 وكان الانخفاض بنسبة 28,92% في المحاصيل المحاصيل المحنوية وي 20,020 وكان الانخفاض بنسبة 28,92% في الموسم الخريفي 2010 الحنطة و 20,020 كان لارزليا. في الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان الانخواض بنيسبة 2015% وي الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان لارزليا وي 20,02% وي الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان لارزليا وي 20,02% وي 2010 وكان الازليا. في الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان الازليا وي الموسم المراسي 2010 وي الحاصل في الباقلاء وي 20,02% وي الموسم البراليا وي الموسم الارالية وي 20,02% وي وي 20,02% وي الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان لارزليا وي 20,02% وي 2010 وي 2010 وي 2010 وي الموسم الخريفي 2010 وكان لارزليا وي 20,02% وي 2010 وكان الموسم الخريفي 2010 وي 2010 وي 2010 وي الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي وي 2010 وكان الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي الموسم وي 2010 وكان وي 2010 وكان الروب 200% وي الموسم وي 2010 وكان وي 200% وكان وي 200% وي 200% وي 20 وكان وي وي 20,

كلمات مفتاحية: التعاقب، الذرة الصفراء، المحاصيل الحقلية الشتوية.

INTRODUCTION

In favorite condition for the crops could be causes increase the yield of cultivated crops in crop rotation, when compare to monoculture (2) (5) (7). Many of agriculture rotation factors responsible for increased yield as increased nitrogen supply, improved in soil water and nutrient availability (8).. To determine corn yield response to six crop rotation in long-term study 35-year, first year corn yield increased from 79 to 100 kg/ha⁻¹, indicating that alfalfa crop produced the N required by first year corn. Tollenaar (13) and Vyn (14) reported that type of preceding crop could influence maize productivity, despite the benefit of crop rotation that includes legumes. Feizabady et al (6) observed highest yield for wheat. Wheatwheat, wheat-rapeseed-wheat and lowest yield was for wheat monoculture. Najafinezhed et al (9) studied twelve crop plants (four cereals, five legumes and three oil seed) and found that all produced chemicals which significantly reduced the early growth of wheat under controlled and field conditions. Karlen (8), Carter (3) and Chalk (4) reported that crop rotation enhances crop yield productivity and restores fertility if legumes are included. Arshed et al (1) found that canola and field pea were more beneficial than wheat as previous-crop for wheat production 3 years of crop rotation including a legumes as wheatfaba bean and wheat-chickpea had marked effect on wheat quality. Berzenji et al (2) confirmed positive effect of rotation of maize on wheat yield, the different crops rotation of wheat with other crops especially rapeseed and yield improved yield component compared to monoculture. The role of preceding spring rapeseed in increasing of wheat yield and its components (12). The aim of this research to found a suitable rotation system in Kurdistan region for the main winter crops and maize crop as fall season crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were carried out at Grdarash Research Station during four seasons in 2010 and 2012 (two fall seasons and two winter seasons). Four winter crops were chosen: wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), canola (*Brassica napus* L.), pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) as well as a Fallow plot. During the winter seasons 2010-2011 the

crops were sown using randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Each replication consisted of five plots (3×3) m. For each crop all the recommended cultural practices were performed including planting date, plant density, fertilization and irrigation if it was necessary. After the harvesting took place of all crops in the spring of 2011, the plots were ploughed separately and manually to avoid soil mixture among plots in order to be prepared for the fall season of 2011 (Table 1). Then, the maize was cultivated in all plots using (Talar) variety exactly on July 19th. The maize was planted in rows of 3 m length, 0.75cm between rows and 0.25cm within rows between the individual plants. All practices recommended cultural were performed during the growing period.Data of characters for each crop in all seasons were recorded. The differences as percentage among both winter crops characters were estimated and date of both fall season maize were subjected to analysis of variance (11).

 Table 1. Succession crops plane during for growing season

growing season.							
Winter	Fall	Winter	Fall				
2010-2011	2011	2011-2012	2012				
Wheat	Maize	Wheat	Maize				
Rapeseed	Maize	Rapeseed	Maize				
Broad	Maize	Broad	Maize				
bean bean							
Pea	Maize	Pea	Maize				
Fallow	Maize	Fallow	Maize				

RESULT& DISCUSSION

The results in the table 2 revealed that all the characters value of winter crops (2010-2011) were higher than the other winter season (2011-2012). There were reductions for all characters, the ratio of number of tillers decline about -58.92% in wheat. The plant height, number of pods/ plant and yield were declined -45.20%, -60%. -57.67% respectively, and pea yield 39.60%...This reduction decline in all characters value due to preceding maize crop because maize is exhausted plant and consumed high amount of soil fertilizer found that after each season of maize the soil analysis showed high decline in soil fertilizer and organic matter (10). The results of fall seasons 2011 and 2012 revealed that there were significant differences among studied characters of maize crop, (Table 3 and 4). In fall season 2011the maximum plant height, ear height and grain yield was found in maize succession the fallow treatment 183.7 cm, 101.48 cm and 8.52ton/ha⁻¹ respectively, while the 250 kernel, weight 74.64g was found in maize succession canola (Table 3). In fall season 2012, only ear height and ear length showed significant differences at maize succession fallow treatment which were (95.3cm and 21.9cm) respectively (Table 4). The results in the table (5) represents the differences % in maize characters between two fall season (2011 and 2012). There were different responses of maize characters to the maize succession with different crops. Plant height was increased 2.9% only when maize

succession broad bean while maize succession other crops plant height was decreased. Ear height increased about 4.3% when maize succession wheat, ear length and weight of 250 kernel were increased when maize succession all crops. The higher value of ear length was recorded when maize succession fallow treatment it was 24.2% and higher weight of 250 kernels recorded after wheat and pea were 25.4% and 23.1%. Kernel yield increased 1.7% only when maize succession pea and decreased after other crops. In general the yield decrease in 2012 due to the effect of very high temperature during pollination period which lead to lowest seed set.

 Table 2. Characters mean of winter crops and differences estimation among two winter season.

		Whea	t (Triticum duru	em L.)			
Years	Plant height (cm)	No. of tiller	r Spike length No. of seed / spike		1000 grain weight (g)		Yield ton/ha
2010-2011	81.9	5.6	6.4 45.06		48.9		5.78
2011-2012 % difference	69.3 -15.38	2.3 -58.92	2 6.11 -5.41 43.3 -3.90		47.5 -2.86 5		5.22 -9.76
		Broad	l bean (<i>Vicia fal</i>	ba L.)			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches	No. of pods/ : plant		eight	Yie	ld ton/ ha
2010-2011	95.7	4.0	56.0	165.97		8.40	
2011-2012 % difference	12 52.46-45.20 2.9-27.5 5.0*-61 140.66-15.24 2.04-57.67					94 - 57.67	
		Pea	(Pisum sativum	L.)			
	Plant length (cm)No. of pods/ 5 plant100 seed weightYield ton/ha					ton/ha	
2010-2011	53.3					20	
2011-2012 % difference	51.01 -4.35 6.5 - 7.14 17.67 - 10.98 0.72 - 39.60						
		Rapese	ed (Brassica na	pus L.)			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branch	No. of secondary branch	No. of pods/ plant	No.	of seed/ pod	1000 seed weight
2010-2011 2011-2012**	140.3	7.00	4.0	260.5		23.3	48

* Decrease in no. of pods / plant in broad bean caused by freezing at early stage of growing. ** The Rapeseed in second season 2011-2012 was completely damage because of birds.

Table 3. N	Table 3. Maize characters mean for two growing season fall season 2011							
rotation	Plant height	Ear height	Ear length	250 kernel	Yield			
Totation	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	weight (g)	(ton/ha)			
Wheat – Maize	180.90	84.13	16.41	71.43	7.03			
Rapeseed – Maize	178.73	87.83	16.24	74.64	7.3			
Broad bean Maize	174.13	94.733	18.1	72.63	7.57			
Pea – Maize	172.2	89.7	16.45	67.73	6.69			
Fallow – Maize	183.73	101.43	16.6	73.06	8.52			
LSD	N.S	13.45	N.S	6.68	1.69			

Table 4. maize characters	mean for fall season 2012
I ADIE 4. INAIZE CHAI ACLEIS I	

rotation	Plant	Ear height	Ear length	250 kernel	Yield
	height	(cm)	(cm)	weight (g)	(ton/ha)
	(cm)				
Wheat – Maize	169.33	87.99	19.52	95.83	6.36
Rapeseed – Maize	176.16	86.9	19.622	90.09	6.80
Broad bean Maize	179.36	85.9	20.53	87.67	6.30
Pea – Maize	167.56	81.06	19.93	88.14	6.84
Fallow – Maize	183.53	95.3	21.9	88.8	6.08
LSD	N.S	12.77	1.31	N.S	N.S

 Table 5. The differences in Maize characters between two fall seasons 2011 and 2012

characters	season	treatment				
		wheat	canola	Broad	Pea	Fallow
				bean		
	2011	180.07	178.73	174.13	172.20	183.73
Plant height (cm)	2012	169.33	176.16	179.36	167.56	183.53
	% difference	-6.3	-1.4	2.9	-2.1	0.1
	2011	84.13	87.83	94.73	89.70	101.43
Ear height (cm)	2012	87.99	86.90	85.90	81.06	95.30
	% difference	4.3	-1.06	-9.3	-9.6	-6.04
	2011	16.41	16.24	81.10	16.45	16.6
Ear length (cm)	2012	19.52	19.62	20.53	19.93	21.9
_	% difference	15.9	17.2	11.8	17.4	24.2
2501	2011	71.43	74.64	72.63	67.73	73.06
250 kernel weight (g)	2012	95.83	90.09	87.67	88.14	88.8
	% difference	25.4	17.1	17.1	23.1	17.7
	2011	7.03	7.30	7.57	6.69	8.52
Yield* (ton/ha)	2012	6.36	6.80	6.30	6.84	6.08
	% difference	-9.5	-6.7	-16.6	1.7	-28.5

*****Yield decrease in 2012 caused by the effect of temperature during pollination period which lead to low seed set

REFERENCES

1.Arshed,M.A., K.S.Gill and R.E. Izaurr aldne.2009. Crop rotation and tillage effect after 20 years of sod (wheat). J.Susta. Agri.12(2-3):131-154.

2.Berzenji,Z.,B.GyÖrffy and D.Lap .2000.Effect of crop rotation and fertilization on maize and wheat yields and yield stability in ling-term experiment.European of Agron. 13 (23):225-544

3.Carter,M.R., J.B.Sanderson, J. A.Ivany and R.P.While .2002. Influence of rotation and tillage on forage maize productivity, weed species and soil quality of a fine sandy loam in the cool-humid climate of Atlantic Canada. Soil and Tillage Research. 67:85-98. 4.Chalk,P.M.1998.Dynamics of biologically fixed N in cereal -legume rotations: A review. Aust.J. Agric. Res .49 : 303-316.

5.Dick,W.A., D.M.Van Doren, G.N. Triplett and J.E.Henry.1986. Influence of long-term tillage and rotation combinations on crop yields and selected soil parameters. Res. Bull.1180.ohio Agric.Res and Dev. Ctr. the ohio state univ,Columbus.

6.Feizabadi,A.Z. and A.Koocheki. 2012. Effect of different crop rotation on yield and yield components of wheat in cold regions of Iran. Inti.J.Agri.cCrop Sci.4(10):616-621.

7.Higgs,R.K., A.E.Peterson and W.H. Paulson.1990.Crop rotation: Sustainable and profitable. J. Soil Water Conserv.45:68-70.

8.Karlen,D.L.,G.E.Varvel,D .G. Bullock and R.M.Cruse.1994.Crop rotations for the 21st century. Advance in Agronomy .53:1-45.

9.Najafinezhed,H., M.A.Javaheri,S.Z.Ravari and F.A.Shahraki.2009. Effect of crop rotation and management on grain of maize cv. ksc704 and some soil properties. Seed and Plant Production J.25 (3) 10.Sadalla, H.A.,S.A. Kakarash, A.M.Galalay,
J.B. Guznay and O.G.Haji.2015. Succession of maize (*Zea mays* L.) with some winter crops.
3: The effect on NPK and O.M mobilization. Proceeding of 2th Sci.conference of Garmian .2015.

11.SAS,2005.Statistical analysis system.SAS Institute.Inc.,Cary,NC,USA.Release 82. 12.Seibutis,W.,I.Deveikyte and V.Feiza.2009 .Effect of short crop rotation and soil tillage on winter wheat development in central Lithuania .Agro.Res.7:471-476.

13.Tollenaar,H. and J.Wu.1999. Yeild component in temperate maize is attributable to greater stress tolerance. Crop Sci.39:1597-1604.

14.Vyn,T.J.,K.Jonoviek and M.R. Carter .1994.Tillage Requirements for Annual Crop Production in Eastern Canada. In: Carter,M.R. (Ed). Conservation Tillage in Temperate Agro ecosystem. Lewis publisher / CRC press. Boca Roton FL, pp:47-71.