ROLE OF PHOSPHORUS, SILICON, AND CITRIC ACID IN ANATOMICAL TRAITS OF PEPPER PLANT CULTIVATED IN PLASTIC GREENHOUSE

A. H. Abdul Razzaq Lecture N. J. AL-Amery Prof.

Dept. Hort. landscape Gard./ Coll. Agric. Engin. Sci./ University of Baghdad ahmed.hashim@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq nabil.jawad@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to investigate effect of phosphorus, silicon, and citric acid on anatomical traits of pepper, This research was conducted at research stations, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Jadiriyah Campus, during 2021-2022 season. The study employed factorial experiment within randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. The first factor included three levels of phosphorus (p) (0, 160, and 320 kg Ha⁻¹ P_2O_5), the second factor included three levels of potassium silicate (s) (0, 75, and 100 kg Ha⁻¹), while the third factor included four levels of citric acid (c) (0, 2, 4, and 6 kg Ha⁻¹). The results revealed that the P3S2C3 treatment had a significant increase in lateral root diameter (10.10 mm), P1S3C4 increased epidermis root thickness (30.93 μ m), P3S3C2 led to increased root vascular bundle and stem,leaf cuticle thickness (284.9 μ m, 6.63 μ m, and 5.63 μ m, respectively), the P1S2C4 treatment exhibited increased stem vascular bundle thickness (279.9 μ m), The P1S2C2 showed increases in mesophyll thickness (106.03 μ m), The P2S3C showed increases in leaf area (631.3 dcm² plant⁻¹) and P3S3C4 showed increases yield of plant (3.48 kg plant⁻¹).

Keywords: lateral roots; epidermis; vascular bundle; mesophyll; mineral nutrition Part of Ph.D. dissertation for the $\mathbf{1}^{st}$ author.

عبد الرزاق والعامري

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية- 2025 :56 (5):1888

دور الفسفور والسليكون وحامض الستريك في الصفات التشريحية لنبات الفلفل المزروع في البيت البلاستيكي أحمد هاشم عبد الرزاق نبيل جواد كاظم العامري مدرس

قسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق - كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية - جامعة بغداد

مستخلص

هدفت هذه الدراسة الى معرفة تأثير الفسفور والسليكون وحامض الستريك في الصفات التشريحية لنبات الفلفل، نُفذ البحث في المحطة البحثية، كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية ،جامعة بغداد – مجمع الجادرية للموسم 202-2022 بتجربة عاملية وفق تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة بثلاث مكررات. إشتمل العامل الأول على ثلاث مستويات من الفسفور P_2 0 و P_3 0 و P_4 0 و P_5 0 هكتار والعامل الثالث على اربع مستويات من سليكات البوتاسيوم P_5 10 و P_5 2 و P_5 30 في زيادة قطر الجذور حامض الستريك P_5 30 و P_5 30 في زيادة قطر الجذور الجانبية (P_5 30 و P_5 40 في زيادة سمك بشرة الجذر (P_5 40 و P_5 50 والمعاملة P_5 50 في زيادة سمك الحزمة الوعائية للجذر وطبقة الكيوتكل في الساقي والورقة (P_5 50 في زيادة سمك النسيج المتوسط (الميزوفيل) في الورقة (P_5 50 دسم P_5 50 المعاملة P_5 50 في زيادة المساحة الورقية (P_5 50 دسم P_5 50 والمعاملة P_5 50 دسم P_5 60 والمعاملة P_5 50 دسم P_5 60 المعاملة P_5 60 دسم P_5 60 دسم P_5 60 دسم P_5 61 دي زيادة حاصل النبات (P_5 60 ديادة P_5 60 ديادة حاصل النبات (P_5 60 ديادة حاصل النبات المعاملة (P_5 60 ديادة حاصل النبات النبات المعاملة (P_5 60 ديادة حاصل الن

الكلمات المفتاحية: جذور جانبية، بشرة، حزمة وعائية، ميزوفيل، تغنية معدنية.

مستل من اطروحة دكتوراه للباحث الاول.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Copyright© 2025 College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad

Received: 17/3/2023, Accepted:16/7/2023, Published:October 2025

INTRODUCTION

Pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) is an important summer vegetable crop belonging to the Solanaceae family. Its fruits are consumed due to their high content of various chemical compounds, some of which directly enter our diet, such as vitamin C, vitamin A, iron, calcium, as well as other compounds like capsaicinoids, carotenoids, and compounds. These compounds are used to extend the shelf life of industrial products, protect them from oxidation, and synthesizing certain therapeutic compounds inflammation, in addition to the production of some cosmetic products (8, 27, 30). Effective management of the nutritional process ensures the development of plants with a strong anatomical structure, which in turn plays a role in improving both the quantity and quality of production. Such management includes the type of chemical elements added, their chemical form, the method and timing of their application. Some of these elements contribute to the structural changes in plants by increasing the thickness of the cuticle layer, especially silicon, with its role in increasing vascular system diameters in the root, stem, leaf, and finally fruit (16, 20). Silicon also plays a role in the absorption of certain elements in the soil solution, including phosphorus. Orthosilicic (H_4SiO_4) acid increases the availability of phosphorus due to its high affinity for phosphate adsorption in the soil solution, even at very low phosphorus concentrations (13,36) .Additionally, silicon directly contributes in increasing the stem diameter, shoot dry weight, and concentration of chlorophyll, elements in leaves of pepper (24,33,35) Therefore, It is need to increase the level of available silicon by adding available fertilizers such as potassium silicates, which also leads to stimulating vegetative growth and increasing fruit production (1, 19, 25). Phosphorus is one of the essential elements that determine the productivity of plants, although 58-89% of its total content is fixed in the soil (17). It affects the formation of plant cells and tissues as it is involved in phospholipids composition in cell membranes, as well as in nucleic acids synthesis. However, plants also suffer from a lack of available phosphorus, as its concentration in the soil

solution, such as H₃PO₄, H₂PO₄, HPO₄, and PO₄, is very low, ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 micromoles which is three times lower than the phosphorus concentration within the plant (12, 37) in addition to its slow allocation from roots to vegetative growth parts (32). As a results; many researchers intensively studied phosphorus effects and bioavailability in plants (14, 18, 23, 38) since it plays an important role in stimulating root growth and increasing its surface area, as well increasing the number and length of lateral roots, leading to an increase in roots dry weight ratio compared to vegetative growth (28, 29). Furthermore, phosphorus contributes to promoting shoot growth and increasing the yield of pepper plants (21, 31), It has a role in stimulating plants to flowering (2). Citric acid is one of the natural acids produced by plants that contribute to the absorption of mineral elements, increase the rate of photosynthesis, and reduce abiotic stress factors such as drought and heavy metal toxicity (6). This acid has an effect on increasing the availability of iron, manganese, copper, and zinc in the soil solution, as well as increasing acidity and fruit yield in pepper crops (3, 39). Many studies had proven that early application of citric acid (dipping) could increase fruit's shelf life and reduce weight loss (4). This research was aimed to investigate the effect of phosphorus, silicon, and citric acid on strengthening the internal tissues of the root and stem and its relationship with increasing fruit production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Field preparation and planting

This research was conducted in a plastic greenhouse located at the Research Stations of the College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Baghdad, during 2021/2022 season. The greenhouse had an area of 463.5 m² (9m wide and 51.5m long). The soil was prepared and underwent solar sterilization during the July and August months. Subsequently, plowing and soil alignment operations were carried out, and random soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis at the Agricultural Research Department, Ministry of Agriculture. Table 1 shows the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil. The soil was divided into five ridges, each consisting of a raised soil shoulder about 20cm above the soil surface and 80cm wide. There was a distance of 90 cm between each ridge, creating a service pathway. Drip irrigation system was installed on the surface of each ridge. Carisma hybrid pepper (a bell-shape pepper variety approved by the National Committee of Seed Certification and Testing) was chosen for the experiment. The seedlings were translocated on October 27, 2021, after forming four true leaves. The spacing between plants was 40 cm both within and between the rows on the same ridge. Each experimental unit consisted of eight plants, including two

plants planted in a plastic pot with a capacity of ten liters (30 cm in height and 25 cm in diameter). The pots were placed at ground level. The root measurements were taken at the end of the growth season and the isolation distance between each experimental unit was 80 cm (with an experimental unit area of 1.28 m². The side branches were pruned up to the main branching zone (Y) for all plants, and the plants were supported on both sides with special strings. The field application was completed on September 1, 2022.

Table 1. Some chemical and physical properties of the soil sample

Adjective	Units	soil content
Ph		7.1
E C (1:1)	Ms cm ⁻¹	1.63
CEC	meq100 ⁻¹ gm soil	11.7
О.М.	%	1.26
Availabl N	Ppm	49
Availabl P	Ppm	14.4
Availabl K	Ppm	341
Availabl Ca	meq L. ⁻¹	17.5
Availabl Mg	meq / L.	10
Availabl Na	meq L. ⁻¹	3.41
Availabl K ⁺	meq L. ⁻¹	0.87
Sand	%	38.8
Silt	%	46.4
Clay	%	14.8
Texture		Loam soil

Study treatments: The study included three factors as follows:

- * Addition of phosphorus (symbolized as P) to the soil in three levels: 0, 160, and 320 kg ha⁻¹ as P_2O_5 . The recommended fertilizer dose for pepper plants is 160 kg ha⁻¹ P_2O_5 (5).
- * Addition of silicon (S) to the soil in the form of potassium silicate (52.8% $SiO_2 + 32.4\%$ K_2O) in three levels: 0, 75, and 100 kg ha⁻¹.
- * Addition of citric acid (C) in four levels: 0, 2, 4, and 6 kg ha⁻¹. Each concentration was repeated in each addition. It was added one month after seeding and continued during the growing season in eight times, starting from 1/12/2021 to 5/5/2022.

The study factors (P) * (S) * (C) interacted, resulting in 36 plots in each replication. The treatments were added to the soil as dissolved in water and applied to the soil through irrigation.

Implementation of treatments: The phosphorus, silicon, and citric acid treatments, along with their interactions, were applied one month after planting and continued throughout the growth season in eight applications,

starting from December 1, 2021, to May 5, according to the predetermined concentrations, except for the citric acid treatments, which were added in each application at the same concentration. Nitrogen and potassium were added in applications according multiple to recommended fertilizer dose (600 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen N and 300 kg ha⁻¹ of potassium K₂O) (5). The field application of the research was completed on September 1, 2022.

Experimental design: The research was conducted as a factorial experiment within a randomized complete block design (RCBD) using 3 replecation with three factors: phosphorus, silicon, and citric acid (P, S, C), each with three levels (3x3x4), resulting in 36 experimental units in each replication. The experiment was replicated three times, resulting in a total of 108 experimental units. The data were analyzed using the Genstat software, and the means were compared using the least significant difference (L.S.D.) test at a 5% level of significance (15).

Study indicators: Included the: lateral roots diameter (mm root⁻¹), root epidermis thickness (micrometers μm), roots vascular bundles thickness (μm), stem cuticle layer thickness (μm), stem vascular bundles thickness (μm), leaf cuticle layer thickness (μm), leaf mesophyll layer thickness (μm), leaf area (dcm² plant⁻¹), and plant yield (kg plant⁻¹).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Lateral roots diameter (mm root⁻¹)

The lateral roots arise internally from the cells of pericycle. The research factors have a role in increasing the diameter of those roots (Table 2), P3 exceeded the increases in diameter (7.26 mm root⁻¹), with a significant differences from the treatment P2 and the control treatment P1 (6.70 and 6.28 mm root⁻¹). S3 was superior in increasing the diameter of the lateral root (7.68 mm root⁻¹) while S1 treatment gave lowest value (5.40 mm root⁻¹). When adding citric acid, treatment C3 excelled in increasing the diameter to 6.96 mm

root⁻¹, but non-significant differences from treatments C1 and C4. The dual interaction between phosphorus and silicon led to the superiority of the P3S2 treatment (8.08 mm root⁻¹) and P1S1 treatment gave 4.70 mm root⁻ . At the interaction between phosphorus and citric acid, the treatment P3C3 excelled and reached 8.17 mm root⁻¹ with a non-significant difference from the treatment P3C4 (7.74 mm root⁻¹). As for the two-way interaction between silicon and citric acid, the S3C1 treatment was significantly superior (7.90 mm root⁻¹) compare with S1C3 which gave 5.37 mm root ¹. The interaction of the three factors of the study led to the superiority of treatment P3S2C3 (10.10 mm root⁻¹) compared to all the treatments, but non significant differences from treatment P3S2C4 (9.20 mm root⁻¹), while the control treatment P1S1C1 recorded the least diameter of lateral roots (4.33 mm root⁻¹).

Table 2. Effect of phosphorus, silicon, citric acid on diameter of lateral roots (mm root⁻¹)

	<u> </u>	Citric acid (C) kg Ha ⁻¹				(2000)		
Phosphorus (P)	Silicon (S) kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S		
(r)	ку па	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)			
D 1	S1 (0)	4.33	4.73	4.93	4.80	4.70		
P1	S2 (75)	6.83	5.80	6.90	6.50	6.50		
$kg Ha^{-1}(0)$	S3 (100)	8.85	6.70	7.75	7.30	7.65		
D 2	S1 (0)	5.36	5.13	5.30	5.40	5.30		
P 2	S2 (75)	7.40	8.00	5.90	6.26	6.89		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S3 (100)	8.00	8.80	7.33	7.56	7.92		
P 3	S1 (0)	6.56	6.33	5.90	6.10	6.22		
	S2 (75)	6.93	6.10	10.10	9.20	8.08		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S3 (100)	6.85	6.63	8.53	7.93	7.48		
L.S.D. (Int	L.S.D. (Interaction)		1.16					
	Pl	hosphorus (F) * Citric a	acid (C)				
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)		
P :	1	6.67	5.74	6.52	6.20	6.28		
P 2	2	6.92	7.31	6.17	6.41	6.70		
P	3	6.78	6.35	8.17	7.74	7.26		
L.S.D.	$(\mathbf{C} * \mathbf{P})$		0.67					
		Silicon (S) *	Citric aci	id (C)				
(S)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)		
S1		5.42	5.40	5.37	5.43	5.40		
S2		7.05	6.63	7.63	7.32	7.16		
S3		7.90	7.37	7.87	7.60	7.68		
L.S.D. (L.S.D. (C * S)		0.	67		0.33		
Means	Means (C)		6.47	6.96	6.78			
L.S.D. (C)			6.79 6.47 6.96 6.78 0.39					

Root epidermis thickness (μm): Root epidermis is the outer layer surrounding the root, It is very important in the absorption. The treatment P3 was significantly superior in increasing the thickness to 18.93 μm in compare with the lowest value in P1 gave

17.42 μm (Table 3). Treatment S3, excelled in increasing the thickness to 19.65 μm in compare with the lowest value in S2 (17.37 μm). The treatment C4 excelled in increasing the thickness to 20.19 μm and the lowest value in C1 (17.41 μm) and the

bilateral interaction between phosphorous and silicon led to the superiority of the treatment P2S3 (23.25 μ m) in compare with the lowest value in P2S2 (15.07 μ m). Interaction of phosphorus with citric acid, the significant superiority of the treatment P1C4 (24.92 μ m). and the lowest value in P1C2 (14.64 μ m). As

for the interaction of silicon with citric acid, the treatment S3C4 excelled and reached 23.51 μm and the lowest value in S2C2 (14.88 μm). Treatment P1S3C4 was significantly superior to all treatments, and the thickness of the root cuticle reached (30.93 μm) and the lowest value in P2S2C1 (9.77 μm).

Table 3. Effect of phosphorous, silicon and citric acid on root epidermis thickness

Phosphorus Silicon (S) Citric acid (C) kg Ha ⁻¹								
Phosphorus	Silicon (S)					To the Cl		
(P)	kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S		
(-)		(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)			
P 1	S1 (0)	15.63	15.93	18.7	22	18.07		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	18.53	14.53	15.47	21.83	17.59		
Ng IIa (U)	S3 (100)	12.2	13.47	9.83	30.93	16.61		
P 2	S1 (0)	14.43	23.7	13.77	14.9	16.7		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	9.77	16.43	20.57	13.53	15.07		
ку па (100)	S3 (100)	21.87	25.87	23.17	22.1	23.25		
Р3	S1 (0)	25.87	11.57	14.43	21.13	18.25		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	22	13.67	24.33	17.8	19.45		
ку па (320)	S3 (100)	16.37	23.9	18.57	17.48	19.08		
L.S.D. (Int	L.S.D. (Interaction)		2.25					
	P	hosphorus (H	P) * Citric a	acid (C)				
Phospho	rus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)		
P :	1	15.46	14.64	14.67	24.92	17.42		
P	2	15.36	22	19.17	16.84	18.34		
P	3	21.41	16.38	19.11	18.81	18.93		
L.S.D.	(C * P)		1.30					
		Silicon (S) *	Citric aci	d (C)				
(5	S)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)		
S1	S1		17.07	15.63	19.34	17.67		
S2	S2		14.88	20.12	17.72	17.37		
S3		16.81	21.08	17.19	23.51	19.65		
L.S.D. (C * S)			1.	30		0.65		
Means (C)		17.41	17.67	17.65	20.19			
L.S.D	L.S.D. (C)		0.	75				
		•	(0.5.5		1 /1 1	, 1 ' E		

Roots vascular bundles thickness

The vascular bundle consists of xylem and phloem. In Table 4, we notice that the treatment P1 was superior in increasing the thickness of the vascular bundle to 323.3 μ m in compare with the lowest value in P3 (218.2 μ m). The treatment S2 was significantly superior (232.3 μ m) in compare with the lowest value in S1 (218.1 μ m). With regard to the single effect of citric acid, it had no role, as the superiority was attributed to the control treatment C1 (238.2 μ m) and the lowest value in C3 (208.2 μ m) .As for the bilateral interaction between phosphorus and silicon, it led to the superiority of the treatment P1S2

(255.4 µm) and the lowest value in P3S2 (206.0)μm).The treatment P2C1 significantly superior to the rest of the treatments (249.4 µm) and the lowest value in P3C3 (177.3 µm). As for the interference of silicon with citric acid, the significant superiority of treatment S2C4 was observed, reaching 257.6 µm and the lowest value in S3C3 (188.9 µm). As for the triple interaction between the factors of the study, the treatment P3S3C2 was significantly superiored in increasing root vascular bundle thickness compared to all treatments (284.9 µm) and the lowest value in P3S2C3 (174.1 µm).

Table 4. Effect of phosphorous, silicon, citric acid on root vascular bundles thickness

Dhoanhows	Ciliaan (C)		Citric acid (C) kg Ha ⁻¹				
Phosphorus	Silicon (S) kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S	
(P)	ку на	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)		
D 1	S1 (0)	228.4	203.1	219.1	210.6	215.3	
P 1 kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	274.4	241.4	222.8	283.1	255.4	
kg Ha (0)	S3 (100)	240.2	233.1	212.6	219.1	226.3	
P 2	S1 (0)	255.2	213.8	258	189.2	229	
	S2 (75)	235.9	224.6	252.6	228.8	235.5	
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S3 (100)	257.1	207.6	176.7	233.2	218.6	
P 3	S1 (0)	224.6	208.8	180.3	225.7	209.9	
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	185.6	203.5	174.1	261	206	
kg Ha (320)	S3 (100)	242.4	284.9	177.4	250.5	238.8	
L.S.D. (In	teraction)		9.6				
		Phosphorus (I	P) * Citric ac	cid (C)			
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)	
P	1	247.7	225.9	218.2	237.6	232.3	
P	2	249.4	215.3	229.1	217.1	227.7	
P	3	217.5	232.4	177.3	245.7	218.2	
L.S.D.	(C * P)		11.1				
		Silicon (S) *	Citric acid	(C)			
(S)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)	
	Š1		208.6	219.1	208.5	218.1	
S	2	232	223.2	216.5	257.6	232.3	
S3		246.6	241.9	188.9	234.3	227.9	
L.S.D. (L.S.D. (C * S)			l .1		5.56	
Means	(C)	238.2	224.5	208.2	233.5		
L.S.D. (C)			6	.4			

Cuticle layer thickness: The cuticle is a layer consisting of cutin and esters that surrounds the plant organs except the roots. This study factors had a role in increasing its thickness (Table 5), as the treatment P3 excelled in increasing the thickness to 4.81 µm and the lowest value in P1 (4.33 µm). When adding silicates, the thickness also increased, and the treatment S3 excelled at (4.81 µm) and the lowest value in S2 (4.24 µm). As for citric acid, it did not had a role on its own, as control treatment C1 excelled in increasing thickness (4.83 µm) and the lowest value in C3 (4.21 um) .The interaction between phosphorous and silicon led to significantly superiority of the treatment P2S3 over all treatments (5.99 μm) and the lowest value in P2S2 (2.95 μm). When phosphorus interacted with citric acid, treatment P3C1 was significantly superior to other treatments (5.55 µm), but with a nonsignificant differences from treatment P1C4 (5.50 µm) and the lowest value in P1C2 (3.65 µm). When the silicon overlapped with citric acid, the significant superiority was given to treatment S2C2 (6.67 µm) compared to all treatments and the lowest value in S3C3 (4.03µm). The interaction between the factors of the study resulted in a significant superiority of the P3S3C2 treatment over all treatments (6.63 µm) treatments and the lowest value in P1S3C3 (2.53µm).

Vascular bundles Thickness in the stem (um): The results in Table 6 indicate that adding phosphorus different significantly effect when the compared treatment excelled as it achieved the largest thickness of the vascular bundle (186.4µm) and the lowest value in P3 (137.5µm). As for the silicon, it had a significant effect represented in treatment S2 (174.7) and the lowest value in S1 (140.0µm). Citric acid had an effect, as the C4 treatment was superior in increasing the thickness of the vascular bundle to 167.20 um and the lowest value in C2 (154.3µm). The interaction between phosphorous and silicon, the treatment P1S2 showed a significant superiority over all treatments (238.5 µm) and the lowest value in P3S1 (120.6µm). The treatment P1C3 was significantly superior in increasing the thickness of the vascular bundle to 198.0µm and the lowest value in P3C2 (121.9µm). When the interaction between silicon and citric acid, the S3C3 treatment was significantly superior to all treatments in increasing the thickness of the vascular bundle in the leg to 204.2 µm and the lowest value in S3C1 (135.6µm). The interaction of the three factors of the study, P1S2C4 was superior to treatment (279.9 µm) and the lowest value in P3S1C4 (97.5µm).

Table 5. Effect of phosphorous, silicon and citric acid on the cuticle layer in the stem

Dhaanhawa	Ciliaan (C)		Citric acid	(C) kg Ha	ı	
Phosphorus	Silicon (S) kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S
(P)	ку па	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)	
P 1	S1 (0)	4.93	4.16	5.1	4.46	4.66
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	4.46	5.06	3.33	5.73	4.65
Kg Ha (U)	S3 (100)	3.26	2.61	2.53	6.3	3.67
P 2	S1 (0)	5.46	5.9	3.86	4.06	4.82
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	2.66	3.06	3.26	2.83	2.95
Kg Ha (100)	S3 (100)	6.06	6.53	5.83	5.53	5.99
P 3	S1 (0)	6.2	3.43	4.03	4.6	4.56
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	6.26	2.9	6.2	5.1	5.11
ку па (320)	S3 (100)	4.2	6.63	3.73	4.46	4.75
L.S.D. (Inter	action)		0.22			
	F	Phosphorus (P)) * Citric a	cid (C)		
Phosp	horus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)
P 1		4.22	3.95	3.65	5.5	4.33
	P 2	4.73	5.16	4.32	4.14	4.59
]	P 3	5.55	4.32	4.65	4.72	4.81
L.S.D.	$(\mathbf{C} * \mathbf{P})$		0.12			
		Silicon (S) *	Citric acid	l (C)		
	(\mathbf{S})	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)
	S1		4.5	4.33	4.37	4.68
S2		4.46	6.67	4.26	4.55	4.24
	S3	4.51	5.26	4.03	5.43	4.81
L.S.D. (C * S)			0.	25		0.12
Mean	Means (C)		4.48	4.21	4.78	
L.S.	D. (C)		0.1	14		

Table 6. Effect of phosphorous, silicon, citric acid on vascular bundles thickness in the stem

Dhaanhama	Ciliaan (C)	(Citric acid	(C) kg Ha ⁻¹	I		
Phosphorus	Silicon (S) kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S	
(P)	ку па	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)		
P 1	S1 (0)	188.0	202.1	129.2	100.4	154.9	
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	227.4	202.9	243.8	279.9	238.5	
kg na (v)	S3 (100)	129.73	178.6	220.8	133.9	165.8	
P 2	S1 (0)	93.5	102.4	159.7	222.9	144.6	
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	218.3	155.8	102.2	174.7	162.8	
ку па (100)	S3 (100)	122.6	181.3	182.9	190.8	169.4	
P 3	S1 (0)	153.1	121.8	110	97.5	120.6	
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	131.1	112.8	124.6	123.0	122.9	
kg Ha (320)	S3 (100)	154.4	131.2	208.8	181.8	169.0	
L.S.D. (Int	L.S.D. (Interaction)		18.7				
	P	hosphorus (P) * Citric a	acid (C)			
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)	
P :	1	181.7	194.5	198.0	171.4	186.4	
P	2	144.8	146.5	148.3	196.1	158.9	
P	3	146.2	121.9	147.8	134.1	137.5	
L.S.D.	(C * P)		10.8				
		Silicon (S) *	Citric aci	d (C)			
(5	S)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)	
S1	S1		142.1	133	140.3	140.0	
	S2		157.2	156.9	192.5	174.7	
S3		135.6	163.7	204.2	168.8	168.1	
L.S.D. (C * S)).8		5.4	
	Means (C)		154.3	164.7	167.2		
L.S.D	(C)		6.	23			
4 1 1 1 6 41 1 1	/			1 (2)	0.0	1 41 1 4 1	

Cuticle leaf thickness (µm)

Treatment P3 excelled in increasing the thickness to 3.81 μ m (Table 7) and the lowest value in P1 (3.34 μ m). As for silicon, the S3 treatment was superior and led to an increase

in thickness (3.80 μ m) and the lowest value in S2 (3.19 μ m). While citric acid had no role in increasing the thickness, as the control treatment C1 excelled (3.83 μ m) and the lowest value in C3 (3.20 μ m). As for the

interaction between phosphorus and silicon, the treatment P2S3 excelled significantly compared to the rest of the treatments (4.99 $\mu m)$ and the lowest value in P2S2 (1.81 $\mu m)$. and when phosphorus interacted with citric acid, the treatment P3C1 had a significant superiority compared to the rest of the treatments (4.57 $\mu m)$, but with a non-significant difference from the treatment P1C4

(4.45 μ m). As for the interaction between silicon and citric acid, the comparison treatment S1C1 excelled significantly compared to the rest of the treatments (4.58 μ m). The treatment P3S3C2 was significantly superiored to all treatments, as the thickness of the cuticle layer in its leaves was 5.63 μ m and the lowest value in P2S2C4 (1.40 μ m).

Table 7. Effect of phosphorous, silicon, citric acid on thickness of the cuticle layer in the Leaf

	iospiioi ous, siii	Citric acid (C) kg Ha ⁻¹						
Phosphorus	Silicon (S)					To the Co		
(P)	kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S		
(-)		(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)			
P 1	S1 (0)	4.20	3.16	4.10	3.33	3.70		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	3.36	4.16	2.33	4.73	3.65		
kg Ha (U)	S3 (100)	2.26	1.66	1.53	5.30	2.69		
P 2	S1 (0)	4.33	4.90	2.83	3.06	3.78		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	1.53	2.06	2.26	1.40	1.81		
ку па (100)	S3 (100)	5.06	5.53	4.76	4.60	4.99		
D 2	S1 (0)	5.23	2.43	3.03	3.56	3.56		
P 3	S2 (75)	5.30	1.90	5.20	4.10	4.12		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S3 (100)	3.20	5.63	2.73	3.40	3.74		
L.S.D. (In	L.S.D. (Interaction)		0.34					
Ì	Pl	hosphorus (P	P) * Citric a	acid (C)				
Phospho		C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)		
P		3.27	3.0	2.65	4.45	3.34		
P	2	3.64	4.16	3.28	3.02	3.53		
P	3	4.57	3.32	3.65	3.68	3.81		
L.S.D.	(C * P)		0.	0.09				
		Silicon (S) * Citric acid (C)						
	\mathbf{S})	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (S)		
$\widetilde{\mathbf{S1}}$		4.58	3.50	3.32	3.32	3.68		
S2		3.4	2.71	3.26	3.41	3.19		
S3		3.51	4.27	3.01	4.43	3.80		
L.S.D. (C * S)				19		0.09		
Means (C)		3.83	3.49	3.2	3.72			
	L.S.D. (C)			11				
Libib: (C)								

Mesophyll layer thickness

Mesophyll is a tissue of parenchyma cells located between the upper and lower epidermal layers of the leaf responsible for photosynthesis The results in Table 8 indicate that there is non-significant effect of the added phosphorus or silicon alone (table 8). As for citric acid, it had a significant effect on increasing the thickness of the mesophyll layer, as treatment C4 led to an increase in thickness (72.30 μm) and the lowest value in C1 (63.57 μm). The treatment P2S1 outperformed all treatments (78.70 μm) and

the lowest value in P3S3 (61.30 μ m). When phosphorus interacted with citric acid, it was observed that the treatment P3C4 excelled in increasing the thickness to 76.72 μ m. At the bilateral interacted between silicon and citric acid, the significant superiority of treatment S1C4 (81.98 μ m). The interaction between phosphorus, silicon and citric acid led to an increase in the thickness of the mesophyll layer in the leaves of P1S2C2 treatment plants (106.03 μ m) and the lowest value in P1S1C1 (53.33 μ m).

Table 8. Effect of phosphorus, silicon, citric acid on leaf mesophyll layer thickness

Phosphorus	Silicon (S)		Citric acid	(C) kg Ha ⁻¹				
•		C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S		
(P)	kg Ha ⁻¹	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)			
P 1	S1 (0)	53.33	54.93	62.30	94.43	66.25		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	54.4	106.03	63.20	53.43	69.27		
ку па (0)	S3 (100)	72.17	61.77	95.30	80.90	77.53		
P 2	S1 (0)	85.33	93.13	71.27	65.07	78.70		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	60.40	65.60	59.83	57.67	60.88		
kg Ha (100)	S3 (100)	71.70	66.40	50.9	69.03	64.51		
P 3	S1 (0)	57.73	66.67	76.83	86.43	71.92		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	58.37	63.60	60.73	68.23	62.73		
ку па (320)	S3 (100)	58.70	53.97	57.03	75.48	61.30		
L.S.D. (In	L.S.D. (Interaction)		8.20					
		Phosphorus (P) * Citric aci	d (C)				
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)		
P	1	59.97	74.24	73.60	76.26	71.02		
P :	2	72.48	75.04	60.67	63.92	68.03		
P	3	58.27	61.41	64.87	76.72	65.32		
L.S.D.	(C * P)		2.37					
		Silicon (S)	* Citric acid ((C)				
(:	S)	C1	C2	С3	C4	Means (S)		
S 1	1	65.47	71.58	70.13	81.98	72.29		
S	2	57.72	78.41	61.26	59.78	64.29		
S	S3		60.71	67.74	75.14	67.78		
L.S.D. (C * S)		4.	74		2.37		
Means	Means (C)		70.23	66.38	72.30			
L.S.I	D. (C)		2.	73				

Leaf area (dcm² plant⁻¹)

The results in Table 9 showed the significant superiority of treatment P2 (454.2 dcm² plant¹) compared to the control treatment (374.5 dcm², plant¹). Likewise, for silicon, as its third concentration was higher, represented by treatment S3 (462.6 dcm² plant¹) and the lowest value in S1 (377.9 dcm² plant¹). While citric acid did not had a significant effect, there were no significant differences between its four concentrations. The bilateral interaction between phosphorus and silicon led

to the P2S3 treatment significantly superior to the rest of the treatments (527.0 dcm²plant⁻¹). When phosphorus interacted with citric acid, the P2C1 treatment excelled as it reached 476.2 dcm²plant⁻¹. The interaction between silicon and citric acid shows superior of the treatment S3C3 (516.2 dcm²plant⁻¹). As for the triple interaction among the study factors, it was noted in the same table the significant superiority of the P2S3C3 treatment over all treatments (631.3 dcm²plant⁻¹) and the lowest value in P1S1C1 dcm² plant⁻¹.

Table 9. Effect of phosphorous, silicon and citric acid on leaf area (dcm² plant⁻¹)

	Cit of phosphore			(C) kg Ha ⁻¹		icii piant)
Phosphorus (P)	Silicon (S) kg Ha ⁻¹	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S
(r)	ку па	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)	
P 1	S1 (0)	210.7	274.4	295.5	341.9	280.6
kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	381.8	459.4	428.4	395.8	416.4
ку па (0)	S3 (100)	452.5	387	443.2	422.8	426.4
P 2	S1 (0)	377.9	365.3	323.2	411.5	369.5
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	449.3	526.3	463.3	425.7	466.2
ку па (100)	S3 (100)	601.3	377.5	631.3	497.7	527.0
P 3	S1 (0)	499.2	502.7	473.6	459	483.6
kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	417.4	449.5	408.4	448	430.8
ку па (320)	S3 (100)	411.2	430.2	474	422.3	434.4
L.S.D. (Interaction)			33.3			
		Phosphorus (1	P) * Citric aci	d (C)		
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)
P	1	348.3	373.6	389.0	386.8	374.5
P	2	476.2	423.1	472.6	445.0	454.2
P	3	442.6	460.8	452.0	443.1	449.6
L.S.D.	(C * P)		19.2			
		Silicon (S) 3	Citric acid ((C)		
()	S)	C1	C2	С3	C4	Means (S)
S	1	362.6	380.8	364.1	404.1	377.9
S2		416.2	478.4	433.4	423.2	437.8
S3		488.3	398.2	516.2	447.6	462.6
L.S.D. (C * S)			38	3.4		19.2
Means	(C)	422.4	419.2	437.9	425.0	
L.S.I	D. (C)		N	.S		

Yield per plant (kg plant⁻¹)

The results in Table (10) show significant effect of phosphorus (P3) in increasing the plant yield to 3.09 kg Plant⁻¹ and the lowest value in P1 (2.59 kg Plant⁻¹). When studying the effect of silicon, the results showed that treatment S3 was significantly superior in increasing the yield up to 3.04 kg and the lowest value in S1 (2.56 kg Plant⁻¹). Treatment C4 was superior in increasing the plant yield to 2.95 kg plant⁻¹ and the lowest value in C1 (2.79 kg Plant⁻¹). As for the binary interaction between phosphorous and silicon, the

treatment P3S3 excelled in increasing the plant yield to 3.29 kg and the lowest value in P1S1 (2.01 kg Plant⁻¹). In the bilateral interaction between phosphorous and citric acid, the significant superiority was given to the treatment P3C4 (3.20 kg plant⁻¹). When silicon interacted with citric acid, the superiority of the two treatments S3C3 and S3C4 was observed (3.08 kg plant⁻¹). When the three factors of the study overlapped, P3S3C4 (3.48 kg plant⁻¹) was superior to all treatments and the lowest value in P1S1C1 (1.60 kg Plant⁻¹).

Table 10. Effect of phosphorus, silicon and citric acid on plant yield (kg plant⁻¹

		Citric acid (C) kg Ha ⁻¹						
hosphorus	Silicon (S)	C1	C2	C3	C4	P * S		
(P)	kg Ha ⁻¹	(0)	(2)	(4)	(6)			
D 1	S1 (0)	1.60	1.90	2.19	2.36	2.01		
P 1 kg Ha ⁻¹ (0)	S2 (75)	2.72	3.02	3.10	2.92	2.94		
kg Ha (0)	S3 (100)	2.79	2.7	2.90	2.63	2.75		
P 2	S1 (0)	2.81	2.63	2.56	2.94	2.74		
kg Ha ⁻¹ (160)	S2 (75)	3.07	2.78	2.79	2.98	2.91		
Kg Ha (160)	S3 (100)	3.01	2.94	3.21	3.14	3.08		
D 2	S1 (0)	2.96	2.92	2.83	2.93	2.91		
P 3 kg Ha ⁻¹ (320)	S2 (75)	2.86	3.21	3.02	3.20	3.07		
kg Ha (320)	S3 (100)	3.24	3.32	3.12	3.48	3.29		
L.S.D. (In	teraction)		0.37					
	P	hosphorus (P) * Citric a	acid (C)				
Phospho	orus (P)	C1	C2	C3	C4	Means (P)		
P	1	2.37	2.54	2.73	2.64	2.59		
P	2	2.97	2.78	2.85	3.02	2.91		
P	3	3.02	3.15	2.99	3.20	3.09		
L.S.D.	(C * P)		0.21					
	Silicon (S) * Citric acid (C)							
()	(S)		C2	C3	C4	Means (S)		
S1		2.46	2.48	2.53	2.75	2.56		
S	S2		3.00	2.97	3.03	2.99		
S	S3		2.99	3.08	3.08	3.04		
L.S.D. (C * S)			0.	21		0.11		
Means	Means (C)		2.82	2.86	2.95			
L.S.D. (C)			0.	12				

Phosphorus fertilization led to an increases in most anatomical traits due to its role in increasing the division of protoderm, which is responsible for producing epidermis cells, leading to an increases in its thickness (Table Additionally, phosphorus directly participates in the composition of nucleic acids RNA and DNA, as well as phospholipids in cell membranes. Phosphate, with its negative charge, acts as a link between the lipophilic cholesterol and hydrophilic choline, and it is involved in the synthesis of energy compounds ATP and ADP (9,11). All of these factors contribute to an increase in carbohydrate accumulation, which the plant utilizes for the growth of its organs, including the primary

root, lateral roots, and an increase in root hair density (12,26). Increasing the thickness of lateral roots leads to an increase in plant absorption rate and reduces the chances of damage, and the effect of phosphorus extends beyond the diameter of lateral roots. It also affects the thickness of the root epidermis (Table 3), indicating an increase in root hair activity. Root hairs are extensions of a single cell from the epidermal cells, and this enhances root function. Phosphate fertilization also increased the thickness of the cuticle layer in the stem and leaves of pepper plants (Tables 5 and 7). This layer, composed of cutin and wax, plays a significant role in reducing water loss, regulating transpiration, gas, and solute transport, as well as protecting the plant from biotic stresses such as insect attack and abiotic stresses like drought (7).Furthermore, phosphate fertilization led to increases in leaf area (Table 9), indicating plant stimulation by increasing the number of leaves and single leaf area. Regarding silicon, the addition of potassium silicate has led to an increase in the diameter of lateral roots, root epidermis, and vascular bundle thickness in the pepper plant root (Table 2, 3, and 4) this is due to its role in activating the roots, as confirmed by (22), which leads to an increases in the efficiency of and mineral absorption, enhancing the efficiency of photosynthesis and the production of essential compounds in the plant's growth and development, subsequently activating all growth parameters, especially the roots (34). The results had emphasized the importance of citric acid, as it has led to an increase in the thickness of root epidermis, vascular bundle thickness in the pepper plant stem, and the thickness of the mesophyll layer in the leaf (Table 3, 6, and 8). Organic acids produced in plants, such as citric acid, gluconic acid, oxalic acid, lactic acid, tartaric acid, and aspartic acid, play a crucial role in lowering soil pH, releasing phosphorus ions, chelating iron and aluminum ions, and calcium ions (10). Citric acid also affects silicon availability indirectly, as its availability depends on various factors, including soil acidity. Plant roots can only absorb silicon in the form of silicic acid (H₄SiO₄). Therefore, the presence of citric acid in the plant root environment can lower the soil pH, even for a limited period, allowing the roots to absorb silicon.

CONCLUSION

Phosphorus, silicon and citric acid have an indirect role in increasing production by strengthening the anatomical structure starting from the roots to the other parts, and this is what the research results have proven. One of the most important problems of the pepper plant is the exposure of its branches to breakage or bending during the fruit harvesting process or even due to other service operations. This may be due to the weakness of the branch connection area or due to the weight of the fruits, leading to damage to the

branch bearing the fruit, leading to a decrease in the plant's productivity.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

DECLARATION OF FUND

The authors declare that they have not received a fund.

REFERENCES

1. Abdelaal, K. A., Y. S. A. Mazrou, and Y. M. Hafez., 2020. Silicon foliar application mitigates salt stress in sweet pepper plants by enhancing water status, photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme activity and fruit yield. Plants. 9(6):733-747.

https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9060733

- 2. Al juboori, A. W. A. and M. M. Mohammed., 2021. Effect of phosphorous and amino acid on growth and yield of pea. Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci. Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 81-84.https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9060733
- 3. Al-balawna, Z. A. and I. I. Abu-Abdoun., 2021. Fate of citric acid addition on mineral elements availability in calcareous soils of jordan valley. Nternational Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry. 22(2): 82-89. https://doi.org/10.9734/irjpac/2021/v22i23038
- 4. Al-Hajani, R. M. A., N. N. F. Haded, and S. F. A. Al Bamarny., 2022. Influence of citric acid, ginger extract and storage period on fruit quality of local orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck). Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences.53(4):850-856.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v53i4.1597

- 5. Ali, N. E. S., H. S. Rahi and A. W. A. R. Shaker., 2014. Soil Fertility. ISBN 978-9922-601-73-1. pp:307.
- 6. Arif, M. T. U., M. I. Zahan, M. M. Karim, S. Imran, C. T. Hunter, M. S. Islam, M. A. Mia, M. A. Hannan, M. S. Rhaman, M. A. Hossain, M. Brestic, M. Skalicky and Y. Murata.,l 2021. Citric acid-mediated abiotic stress Tolerance in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 22, 7235. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22137235
- 7. Arya, G. C., S. Sarkar, E. Manasherova, A. Aharoni and H. Cohen., 2021. The plant cuticle: An ancient guardian barrier set against long-standing rivals. Front. Plant Sci. 12:663165.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.663165

- 8. Baenas, N., M. Belovic, N. Ilic, D. A. Moreno and C. G. Viguera.,2019. Industrial use of pepper (Capsicum annum L.) derived products: technological benefits and biological advantages Food Chemistry. V (274). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.04
 7.
- 9. Bahadur, B., L. Sahijram, M. V. Rajam and K. V. Krishnamurthy., 2015. Plant Biology and Biotechnology. ISBN 978-81-322-2285-9.pp:509-510.

https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-81-322-2286-6

10. Bahadur, B., L. Sahijram, M. V. Rajam, and K. V. Krishnamurthy., 2015. Plant Biology and biotechnology. ISBN 978-81-322-2285-9.pp:309-315.

https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-81-322-2286-6

11. Bechtaoui, N., M. K. Rabiu, A. Raklami, K. Oufdou, M. Hafidi, and M. Jemo., 2021. Phosphate-dependent regulation of growth and stresses management in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12, p.679916.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.679916

12. Bhatla, S. C and M. A. Lal., 2018. Plant Physiology, Development and metabolism. ISBN pp: 499.

https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-13-2023-1

13. Datnoff, L.E., F. A. Rodrigues and K. Seebold., 2015. Silicon and plant diseases. ISBN 978-3-319-22929-4. pp: 7-19.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22930-0

14. Emongor, V. E. and O. Mabe., 2010, August. Effects of phosphorus on growth, yield and yield components of chilli pepper (Capsicum annuum L.). In XXVIII International Horticultural Congress Science and Horticulture for People (IHC2010): International Symposium 936 (pp. 327-334).

https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2012.936.

15._Ferreira, E. B.; P. P. Cavalcanti and D. A. Nogueira., 2014. ExpDes: An R package for ANOVA and experimental designs. Applied Mathematics. Scientific Research.5(19): 2952-2958.

16. Ferron-Carrillo, F. and M. Urrestarazu., 2021. Effects of Si in nutrient solution on leaf

cuticles. Scientia horticulturae, 278, p. 109863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109863
17. Gupta, A. K., A. Maheshwari and R. Khanam., 2020. Assessment of phosphorus

Khanam., 2020. Assessment of phosphorus fixing capacity in different soil orders of India. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 43(15), pp.2395-2401.

https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.17715 85

18. Hegazi, A. M., A. M. El-Shraiy and A. A. Ghoname., 2017. Growth, yield and nutritional quality of sweet pepper plants as affected by potassium and phosphate fertilizers varying in source and solubility. Curr. Sci. Int, 6(2), pp.445-457.

https://www.curresweb.com/csi/csi/2017/445-457.

19. Hussein, W. A. and M. M. Muhammed., 2017. The response of white eggplant plants to foliar application with boron and potassium silicate. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., (48) No. (1-1) (394-401).

https://doi.org/10.21608/ajas.2016.3874

- 20. Jayawardana, H. A. R. K., H. L. D. Weerahewa, and M. D. J. S. Saparamadu., 2014. Effect of root or foliar application of soluble silicon on plant growth, fruit quality and anthracnose development of capsicum. Tropical Agricultural Research 26 (1): 74 81. https://doi.org/10.4038/tar.v26i1.8073
- 21. Khanal, P., P. Chaudhary, A. Adhikari, M. Pandey, S. Subedi, S. Acharya and T. P. Sharma., 2021. Effect of various phosphorus levels on growth and yield of chilli (Capsicum annuum) in deukhuri, dang of nepal. Fundamental and Applied Agriculture. 6(1): 78–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/faa.52998
- 22. Kotb, H. M., Hegazi H. H., I. M. Ghoneim and M. N. Feleafel., 2018. Effect of water regime, pruning system and potassium silicate on dry mass production of sweet pepper plants grown in calcareous soil under greenhouse. Alex. J. Agric. Sci. 63, No.2, pp. 105-118. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/alexja.2019.28569
- 23. Lambers, H., 2022. Phosphorus acquisition and utilization in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 73:17–42.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-102720-125738

24. Lob, S., N. S. Sa'ad., N. F. Ibrahim, N. C. Soh, R. M. Shah and M. S. H. Zaudin., 2023. Enhanced Growth of Chili (*Capsicum annuum*

L.) by silicon nutrient application in fertigation system. Malaysian Applied Biology. 52(2):13-19.

 $\underline{\text{https://doi.org/10.55230/mabjournal.v52i2.264}}_{8}$

25. Ma, J. F., 2003. Functions of silicon in higher plants. Prog. Mol. Subcell. Biol, 33, pp.127-147.

https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-642-55486-5.pdf#page=136

26. Mitra, G. N., 2015. Regulation of nutrient uptake by plants. New Delhi: Springer, 10, pp.978-

981.<u>https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1</u> 007/978-81-322-2334-4.pdf

27. Moscone, E.A., M. A. Scaldaferro, M. Grabiele, N. M. Cecchini, Y. S. García, R. Jarret, J. R., Daviña, D. A. Ducasse, G. E. Barboza and F. Ehrendorfer., 2006, July. The evolution of chili peppers (Capsicum-Solanaceae): a cytogenetic perspective. In VI International Solanaceae Conference: Genomics Meets Biodiversity 745 (pp. 137-170).https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2007 .745.5

28. Naeem, M., A. A. Ansari and S. S. Gill., 2017. Essential plant nutrients uptake, use efficiency, and management. ISBN 978-3-319-58840-7. pp: 148.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58841-4 29. Niu, Y.F., R. S. Chai, G. L. Jin, H. Wang, C. X. Tang Y. S. and Zhang. 2013. Responses of root architecture development to low phosphorus availability: a review. Annals of botany, 112(2), pp.391-408.

https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs285

30. Palevitch, D. and L. E. Craker., 1996. Nutritional and medical importance of red pepper (*Capsicum* spp.). Journal of herbs, spices & medicinal plants, 3(2), pp.55-83.https://doi.org/10.1300/J044v03n02_08

31. Silber, A., M. Bruner, E. kenig and S. Assouline., 2005. High fertigation frequency and phosphorus level: Effects on summergrown bell pepper growth and blossom-end rot incidence. Plant and Soil, 270(1), pp.135-146. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-004-1311-3

32. Smith, F. W., 2002. The phosphate uptake mechanism. Plant and Soil, 245(1), pp.105-114.

https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020660023284 33. Tellez, L. I. T., A. G.Jimenez, H. F. E.

Sepulveda, S. M. R.Olvera, J. J. B.-Bello and F. C. G. Merino., 2020. Silicon induces hormetic dose-response effects on growth and concentrations of chlorophylls, amino acids and sugars in pepper plants during the early developmental stage. Peer J 8:e9224. doi: 10.7717/peerj.9224

34. Tripathi,P., S. Subedi, A. L. Khan, Y. S. Chung and Y. Kim., 2021. Silicon effects on the root system of diverse crop species using root phenotyping technology. Plants 10, 885. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10050885

35. Verma, K. K., X. P. Song, D. D. Tian, D. J. Guo, Z. L. Chen, C. S. Zhong, A. Nikpay, M. Singh, V. D. Rajput, R. K. Singh, and T. Minkina, 2021. Influence of silicon on biocontrol strategies to manage biotic stress for crop protection, performance, and improvement. Plants, 10(10): 2163. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10102163

36. Xu, R., J. Huang, H. Guo, C. Wang, and H. Zhan, 2023. Functions of silicon and phytolith in higher plants. Plant Signaling & Behavior, 18(1): 1-7.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2023.21988 48

37. Xu, X., T. Zhu, N. Nikonorova, and I. De Smet, 2018. Phosphorylation-mediated signalling in plants. Annual Plant Reviews Online, pp.909-932.

 $\frac{https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119312994.apr07}{02}$

38. Yu-tao, C. U.., L. I. Shun-jin, W. A. N. G. Yuan, S. U. N. Kai, and L. I. Hao-ran, 2023. Effects of phosphorus application rates and methods on the yield, phosphorus uptake and utilization of pepper. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizers, 29(12), pp.2322-2331. https://dx.doi.org/10.11674/zwyf.2023205

39. Zhang, X., B. Zhong, M. Shafi, J. Guo, C. Liu, H. Guo, D. Peng, Y. Wang, and D. Liu, 2018. Effect of EDTA and citric acid on absorption of heavy metals and growth of Moso bamboo. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(19), pp.18846-18852.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2040-0