PRODUCTION OF XYLOSE USING ACID HYDROLYSIS OF WHEAT

STRAW

H. F. AL-Rubaia'ay Researcher

husseinf313@vahoo.com

K. A. Shakir Prof.

Dept. Food Science - Coll. Agric.- Univ. Baghdad

dr khalida55@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to produce xylose by acid hydrolysis from lignocellulose by product . The process of acid hydrolysis carried out using dilute H₂SO₄ to hydrolyze the available sources of lignocellulosics in Province of Wassit being (corn stover, rice husk, reed and wheat straw) ,wheat straw was selected as the best source for hemicellulose (35)% as compared to the above mentioned sources. The hydrolysis process included two separated experiments ,acid hydrolysis of autoclaved and non- autoclaved wheat straw using the following reaction conditions [(0.5, 1 and 1.5%) sulfuric acid solution, (1, 2 and 3hrs) hydrolysis time and (1:10, 1:15 and 1:20) solid to liquid ratio]. The results indicated that the optimal reaction conditions for the recovery of xylose from autoclaved wheat straw was observed when 1% sulfuric acid solution, 1:15 solid: liquid ratio over 1 hr. incubation time was used . From scaling up to using 10 g wheat straw as substrate, an average xylose yield was 20.61g xylose/100 g of wheat straw (dry basis). Also, results indicated that the highest level of furfural (0.77) % was observed when 1.5% sulfuric acid solution over 3hrs hydrolysis. while, the lowest level (0.22)% was observed when 0.5% sulfuric acid after 1hr reaction time used, demonstrating that the reaction time and acid concentration has very significant role on concentration of furfural formation.

Key words: acid hydrolysis hemicellulose, wheat straw, monosaccharaides, furfural, lignocellulose

*Part of Ph.D. Dissertation of the first author.

المستخلص

اجريت الدراسة الحالية لانتاج سكر الزايلوز من المواد اللكنوسيليلوزية بطريقة التحلل الحامضي. اذ استخدمة تراكيز مختلفة من محلول حامض الكبريتيك المحفف (1.0.1و 1.5%) لتحليل كل من (تبن القمح وسبوس الرز وكوالح الذرة الصفراء والقصب). وقد جريت عملية التحلل على تبن القمح المعاملة بالاوتوكليف لكونيف لكونيف المصدر الافضل لانتاج الزايلوز. مزج تبن القمح مع محلول حامض الكبريتيك المحفف بنماية بالاوتوكليف وتلك غير المعاملة بالاوتوكليف لكونيف لكونيف لكونيف المصدر الافضل لانتاج الزايلوز. مزج تبن القمح مع محلول حامض الكبريتيك المحفف بنماية بالاوتوكليف وتلك غير المعاملة بالاوتوكليف لكونيف المصدر الافضل لانتاج الزايلوز. مزج تبن القمح مع محلول حامض الكبريتيك المحفف بنماية بالاوتوكليف كانت بواقع (1:10، 1:15 و 1:20) (و/ح) وتركت لمدة 3 ساعات وكانت النماذج تؤذ بعد كل ساعة لفحص نسبة الزايلوز المتحرر. اشارت النتائج المستحصلة الى ان افضل نسبة زايلوز كانت بواقع (10.6) غرائر) من المعاملة التي المستحصلة الى ان افضل نماية زايلوز كانت بواقع (10.6) غرائر) من المعاملة الذي المتحرر. اشارت النتائج المستحصلة الى ان افضل نماية زايلوز كانت بواقع (10.6) غرائر) وركما ورح) وتركت لمدة 3 ساعات وكانت النماذج تؤدذ بعد كل ساعة لفحص نسبة الزايلوز المتحرر. اشارت النتائج المستحصلة الى ان افضل نماية زايلوز كانت بواقع (10.6) غم/لتر) من المعاملة التي المتخدم فيها 1% محلول حامض الكبريتيك المخفف ونسبة خلط 1.5 وبعد 2 ساعة من بداء التفاعل. ووجد ان نسبة الفورفورال الناتجة كانت (7.70%) من تركيز الزايلوز الناتج وبعد 3 ساعات من بدء التفاعل عند استخدام محلول حامض الكبريتيك بنسبة 3.1% في حين اقل نسبة فورفورال كانت بواقع (20.2)% عند استخدام حامض الكبريتيك بنسبة خدام دامض الكبريتيك بنسبة خدام دامض الكبريتيك بنسبة خدام مالكبريتيك ويعد ساعة ورفورال كانت بواقع (20.2)% عند استخدام حامض الكبريتيك من الكبريتيك من ويد مان مردء التفاعل عند استخدام محلول حامض الكبريتيك بنسبة معام الكبريتيك بنسبة خدام مالكبريتيك بنسبة 3.1% ويد مالت ورفورال كانت بواقع (20.2)% عند استخدام حامض الكبريتيك بنمبة خلط 3.1% ويد مالمبة فورفورال كانت بواقع (20.2)% عند استخدام حامض الكبريتيك قدم ما بدء التفاعل وينسبة خلط 3.1% وي مالمبة فورفورال كانت بواقع (20.2)% عدد استخدام حامض الكبريمي ويد القى ويكبري ويد مالمم

الكلمات الافتتاحية: المواد اللكنوسيليلوزية، الهيميسيليبوز، التحلل الحامضي والزايلوز.

*البحث مستل من اطروحة دكتوراه للباحث الاول.

*Received:16/7/2017, Accepted:19/10/2017

INTRODUCTION

Xylose is a sugar purified from plants, which constitutes the hemicellulose, one of the main components of lignocellulose (36). Xylose has medicinal, and industrial wide food. applications (32). It has become popular in Europe, Japan, and the USA since the 1960s, and it received FDA approval (46). In addition to its uses in food applications, in the past decades, xylose from lignocellulose has been used extensively to produce a wide variety of fuels or chemical compounds by chemical or biotechnological processes, such as xylitol, surfactant, ethanol, furfural, 2,3green butanediol, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), and furan resins (44). Several Researchers (20) reported that the carbohydrate fraction of the plant cell wall can be converted into fermentable monomeric sugars. Nevertheless, the composition of each constituent varies from one plant species to another. Since the main component of the hemicellulosic fraction xylan, this heteropolymers has been is converted into many different value-added products such as xylose, xylitol, antioxidants and xylooligosaccharides (1). There is a lot of lignocellulosic biomass available all around the world as agro-residues (sugarcane bagasse, wheat straw, rice straw, corn stover, etc.). Among lignocellulosic biomass resources, wheat straw is one of the largest biomass feedstock in the world. FAO statistics reported a world annual wheat production in 2014 of 728 million tons, satisfy the growing demand of human consumption (28). The average ratio of wheat straw is 1.3 kg of straw per kg of wheat grain (16, 23), this means more than 900 million tons of wheat straw produce in 2014. The dilute acid hydrolysis of the biomass is, by far, the oldest technology for converting the chemical products. biomass to Other Researchers (29) stated that the use of dilute catalyze the hydrolysis acids to of hemicelluloses to its constituents is a wellknown and effective method. When this used, the composition method is and concentration of the hydrolysis product depends on the type of raw material used and the operational conditions employed. Compared with other hydrolysis methods, dilute acid hydrolysis is especially useful for the conversion of xylan in hemicellulose to xylose, which can be further fermented to xylitol or ethanol by many microbial strains.(39). The major disadvantage of dilute acid hydrolysis over enzymatic treatment is that it generates a hydrolysate that contains not only the sugar needed for bioconversion but also the degradation products of sugar and lignin as well as acetic acid, which could slow down or prevent the bioconversion of hydrolysate (27). Hence, it is important to choose less severe conditions that will the vield xylose while maximize of minimizing the formation of by-products such as furfural, hydroxyl methyl furfural (HMF), acetic acid, and lignin degradation products. Several Researchers (11, 45) Reported that in acidic pretreatment, hydrogen ions mainly catalyze the hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds and monosaccharide dehydration, resulting in degradation hemicellulose and furan production. A previous study by the authors showed that the different acids influence the degradation of xylan due to the dissociation constant (k_d) of each acid (31). As known, the Ka value of weak acid is changed as temperature changes. Several Researchers (16) have reported that more than 80% yield of xylose is obtained when the hydrolysis of hardwood hemicellulose is performed at 120-140 °C using 2.5% H₂SO₄. This study was aimed to investigate the optimum conditions for acidic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials to achieve the highest xylose release. **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Samples of agricultural wastes i.e. wheat straw, rice husks, corn cops and reed were collected from a local farm in Wassit and used as raw materials in this study. All the agricultural wastes samples were sunlightdried, milled, screened to select the fraction of particles with a size lower than 0.5 mm, homogenized into a single lot and stored at room temperature until used. The dried wheat straw samples were mixed with 20 ml of water then autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min, after autoclaving process wheat straw were mixed with sulphuric acid solution in a 250 mL flask. The following treatment condition was applied: incubation time of 1, 2 and 3h (65° C); mixing ratio of solid :liquid (1:10, 1:15 and 1:20) and concentrations of (H_2SO_4) was (0%,0.5 % 1 %, and 1.5 %). The dependent

variables were the yield of xylose. Also non autoclaved wheat straw, treated with dilute sulfuric acid under same conditions as that of autoclaved wheat straw. After hydrolysis, the liquid fraction (wheat straw hydrolysate) was filtered through Whatman No: 1 filter paper and the pH was raised to 9 with calcium oxide and decreased to pH 6 with sulfuric acid consecutively. The hydrolysate was concentrated under vacuum at 70°C to increase xylose concentration. After these treatments, the hydrolysate was mixed with 10% activated charcoal, agitated (200 rpm, 30°C, 1 h) and then filtered. the filtrate was analyzed for solubilized sugars (xylose) and byproducts (furfural).

Analytical methods

Dry mater was measured according to the method described by Several Researchers (48): The material was dried at 60 °C until dry mater content of (90-92)% Protein content of wheat straw was determined by the Kjeldahl method (protein as 6.25 x N). Moisture, lipid and ash content were determined according to the method described by AACC (3).=

Reducing Sugar Measurement

Total reducing sugars were quantified according to the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method using a modified DNS reagent (21). A standard curve for the DNS assay was prepared using xylose as a suitable standard and expressing the activity in terms of reducing sugars released as D-xylose equivalents. The assay was performed triplicates. (cellulose. in hemicellulose And lignin) was determined according to the method described by Several Researchers (43).

Charcoal adsorption

Powdered charcoal (Probus, Madrid, Spain) was activated with hot water and dried at room temperature. Charcoal detoxification of hydrolyzates was carried out by mixing hydrolyzates with charcoal (mass ratio: 10 g /l) at room temperature under stirring for one hour (7). The liquid phase was recovered by filtration and used for making culture media. Colorimetric assay of furfural

The determination of furfural concentration of the plant samples was carried out spectrophotometrically based on measuring the absorbance at 277 nm using the standard curve derived from known levels of furfural in water (10).

Statistical analyses

The collected data were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) by GENSTAT computer software package. Differences between treatment means were compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) ≤ 0.05 probability level.

RESULTS AND DISSECTION

Composition of lignocellulosic materials The results of chemical composition (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) analysis of raw materials used in this study are given in Table 1. Lignocellulosic materials are principally composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. The difference these in main components might be due to the genetics, location and growth conditions. Among these constituents, hemicellulose is of particular interest because of its unique properties for xylose production and composition.

Table 1. percenta	age of cellulose, l	hemicellulose,	lignin and	ash in experim	nental lignocellulos	e
	material(cor	n cob, wheat s	straw, rice	husk and reed)	

Parameters (%)	Corn cob	Wheat Straw	Rice Husk	Reed
Dry mater	92.32	92.35	93.41	93.52
Cellulose	36.60	37.46	38.43	45.16
Hemicellulose	33.77	34.97	22.60	16.40
Lignin	21.20	20.53	16.67	24.73
Ash	4.93	4.43	11.80	5.13

Results in Table 1 showed that the percentage of hemicellulose contents in wheat straw, corn cob , rice husks and reed were 34.97, 33.77, 22.6 and 16.40 %, respectively. Hemicellulose

is the second major compound of these biomass sources, varied between 16.40 and 34.97 % of dry mass. These composition similar to those reported in literature for the

AL-Rubaia'ay* & Shakir

same material, whose values vary from 39 to 45 % for cellulose, 26–36 % for hemicellulose and 11-25 % for lignin (6). These contents differ between raw materials and also with plant variety, location, time of year and collection system, as well as with the particular analytical methods used. A large number of types of lignocellulose biomass has been evaluated as xylan-rich; therefore, the selection of biomass should meet three criteria: it must be abundant and located within the transportation radius, it must contain high amounts of xylan and xylose, and it should not contain too many impurities that will increase the risk of contamination during bioconversion and purification (49). High amounts of pentosans are also present in agricultural residues, such as in sugarcane bagasse, corn cobs, corn fiber as well as in wheat and rice straw ((38). Also, (8) reported that corn cobs, sugarcane bagasse, and wheat/ rice straw are the major materials that have been investigated as biomass for the production of xylitol.

Composition of wheat straw

Table 2. lists the main chemical proportions of the wheat straw. The percentage of xylose in the experimental wheat straw as compared to these which was mentioned in the literature studies. It has been noticed that the experimental wheat straw contained 41.42% glucose and 24.13%, xylose. Whet straw is mostly composed out of lignocellulose; cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Part from those compounds it also contains some proteins, sugars, organic acids, ash, wax and little to no lipids (30). Because the crops grow under multiple unique conditions, it results in a wide diversity when it comes to the quantitative composition of straw (22). Wheat straw is an agricultural byproduct, which is mainly composed of cellulose (34-43%), hemicellulose (26-35%) and lignin (14-21%) (39). Also, Bohdan and Dahman (4) reported that wheat straw presents many interesting characteristics and consists mainly of cellulose (30-40%), hemicellulose (20-35%) and lignin (15-25%),and the most abundant hemicellulosic polymers are xylans. Ortiz et al (26) stated that the main components of wheat straw are cellulose ~34-43%, hemicelluloses ~26-35% and lignin around ~14-21%, which differ slightly in composition owing to dissimilar varietal, geographical, and climatic influences in the growth of wheat straws . In addition, wheat straw contains nearly as much D-xylose in polysaccharide form as corncobs and wood (2). The considerably high hemicelluloses content in wheat straw compared to other raw materials, indicates the potential of this material for production of xylose, which may be used as a raw material for production of xylitol.

Fable 2 Percentage of chemicals composition based weight dry of wheat straw compare with
other references

Component	Percentage %	Percentage % in references	References
Protein	$4.15{\pm}~0.32$	2.4 - 5.8	(2)
Ash	7.25±0.41	4–6	(2)
lipid	0.48±0.11	0.6	(2)
Lignin	20.33±0.63	14–25	(4)
Pentosan as Xylose	24.13±0.54	23.4-26	(12)
Glucose	41.42±0.47	41.3	(2)

Pretreatment of wheat straw Acidic treatments

Table 3 and 4. Were shows the amount of xylose in autoclaved and non autoclaved acid treated wheat straw hydrolysate through 1, 2, and 3 hrs hydrolysis using different concentration of sulfuric acid (0.5, 1 and 1.5%), it was found that the reaction time,

mixing ratio and acid concentration were important factors influencing the hydrolysis process.

NO.Treat.	Mix. ratio	H ₂ SO ₄ %		Time /h	
			1	2	3
				Xylose %	
T1	1:10	0.5	16.28± 0.32a *	16.91± 0.035a	17.12±0.41 b
T2	1:15	0.5	17.78± 0.51b	17.70 ± 0.26 b	$17.85 \pm 0.28 b$
Т3	1:20	0.5	17.80±0.73 b	17.96± 0.62b	18.11± 0.53 b
T4	1:10	1	19.43± 0.15c	19.70± 0.05c	19.80± 0.02c
Т5	1:15	1	19.64± c0.40	20.61±0.16 d	20.28±0.12 c
Т6	1:20	1	19.91±0.24 c	20.40±0.38d	20.44±0.38 c
Т7	1:5	1.5	20.07± 0.24d	19.87± 0.53c	19.45±0.16 d
T8	1:15	1.5	19.71±0.16 c	19.66±0.24 c	19.38±0.21 d
Т9	1:20	1.5	19.88±0.24 c	19.85±0.12c	19.41± 0.32 d

Table 3. Xylose concentration of acid hydrolysate from autoclaved wheat straw treated with
different concentration of H ₂ SO ₄ at different mixing ratio and incubated for 1,2and 3 hrs at
650

The effect of chemical reagents on the lignocellulose material can be attributed to the fluctuation of pH values as a resent of difference hydrogen ion or hydroxyl ion concentration. It has been noticed from table (3) that the yield of xylose in autoclaved acid treated wheat straw hydrolysate varied from 16.28% to 20.61%, and the highest value was in T5 depending on the treatment condition. Table 3, all released autoclave to release to the autoclave to autoclave to autoclave the relatively set of the treatment condition. Table 3, all released autoclave to release the autoclave to autoclave the autoclave to relatively set of the treatment condition. Table 3, all released autoclave to autoclave the autoclave to autoclave the relatively set of the treatment condition.

those values ranged from 14.64% to 18.22%, and the highest value was observed in T6.

For non- autoclaved hydrolysate (table 4)

Table 3, also show that the amount of xylose released was significantly affected by autoclave treatment in contrast to non autoclave treatment. On the other hand, the reaction time with autoclaved straw was relatively shorter, typically 2 h, compared to 3h in non autoclaved treatments. Ranganathan *et al* (34) found that autoclaving wheat straw at temperatures 120–210 °C and treating with 0.5–1.5% acid, was able to produce 80–95% xylose.

Table 4. Xylose concentration of acid hydrolysate from non- autoclaved wheat straw treated with different concentration of H_2SO_4 at different mixing ratio and incubated for 1,2 and 3 hr

of	650	C
aı	03	U

NO.	mix.	H ₂ SO ₄ %	Time /h		
Treat.	ratio		1	2	3
				Xylose %	
A1	1:10	0.5	14.64±0.22a *	14.81±0.18 a	15.12±0.43 a
A2	1:15	0.5	15.60±0.35 b	15.70±0.27 b	16.32±0.36 c
A3	1:20	0.5	15.65± 0.51b	15.76±0.48 b	16.09±0.38 c
A4	1:10	1	16.87±0.46 c	17.49± 0.17d	17.65± 0.21d
A5	1:15	1	16.93±0.12 c	17.52± 0.24d	17.87± 0.29d
A6	1:20	1	17.28±0.77 d	17.77± 0.16d	18.22± 0.30e
A7	1:10	1.5	17.61± 0.24d	17.82±0.32 d	18.11± 0.69e
A8	1:15	1.5	17.63±0.17 d	17.83±0.08 d	17.92± 0.48e
A9	1:20	1.5	17.70±0.47 d	18.06±0.26 e	17.93±0.42 d

*Means followed by different letters between rase and column are significantly different (p<0.05).

In general, the statistical analysis results showed significant differences between the highest xylose yield which obtained from autoclaved acid treated wheat straw compared to that from non –autoclaved acid treated wheat straw. This because that autoclave processing makes wheat straw more accessible and increase surface area for hydrolysis. In previews studies, physical treatments using to increase accessible surface area of biomass is usually followed by physiochemical pretreatments. Bohdan and Dahman (4) stated that A pretreatment is required to break up the recalcitrant structure of lignocellulose and the accessibility of hydrolytic improve enzymes to their substrates. Steam explosion is effective pretreatment the most of lignocellulosic biomass that is currently used

for commercial ethanol production from wheat straw (41,17). Several Researchers (13) found that the hemicellulose fraction can be easily removed by acid treatment, and that the resulting hydrolysate is rich in fermentable sugars, primarily xylose, which could serve as a fermentation medium for the production of xylitol. Table 4 also illustrated that, in nonautoclaved wheat straw, xylose yield varied from 14.64 %, when the hydrolysis carried out with low acid concentration (0.5%) for 1h 1% acid/3h (A1), to 18.22 for (A6). Meanwhile, it has been noticed that as the mixing reaction time, ratio and acid concentration increased, xylose concentration also increased up to a maximum value in (A6), and after that it is gradually decreased and reaction time mixing ratio acid concentration increased, this due to fast degradation of xylose into furfural at higher acid concentration. It was found that the sugar concentration increased with increasing of hvdrolvsis time, This is due to the hydronium ion of acid attack to ester bond in lignincarbohydrate complex, resulting the releasing of sugar monomer into the solution (33). After 60 min, the sugar concentration remarkably reduced with increasing of reaction time. This is because of its toxic compound (FIMF and Lewlinic acid). The furfural was increased with increasing of hydrolysis time (37). Also Table 4 shows the effect of the solid to liquid ratio on the amount of xylose recovered from wheat straw hydrolysate. The lowest xylose concentration (14.64%) was obtained when 10 g of wheat straw treated with 100 ml of 0.5% sulfuric acid for 1h. While the highest xylose concentration (18.22%) was obtained when 200 ml of 2% sulfuric acid was reacted with 10 g of dry wheat straw for 3hrs. This result is in agreement with that found in the literature: The concentration of released sugars during pretreatment process is directly dependent upon the type of lignocellulosic material, composition of substrates, temperature, reaction time, acid concentration, solid-toliquid ratio and the reactors employed in the process (1, 15, 19, 24). Table 3 indicated that autoclaving wheat straw resulted different xylose concentration as compared to nonautoclaved wheat straw upon acid hydrolysis for (1, 2 and 3) hrs. It is obvious in Table 3,

xvlose concentration was positively proportional to acid concentration with the increase in acid concentration, reaction time, and the highest value (20.61%) was noticed with (T5) treatment (1% acid concentration, 2h reaction time and 1:15 mixing ratio), after that xylose concentration gradually decreased as the acid concentration, reaction time, increased (T6, T7, T8 and T9), in those treatments the highest yield of xylose observed after 1h of reaction time, whereas after 3h those values were at lower level (Table 3). In autoclaved samples, through any reaction time, as acid concentration increased xylose concentration decreased and this could be due to fast degradation of xylose into furfural at high acid concentration. Karimi et al., (15) illustrated that the acid hydrolysis is the most widely used pretreatment process for hydrolyzing biomass to produce monomeric sugars. In hydrolysis step, acid releases protons that break heterocyclic ether bonds between the different sugar monomers in the polymeric formed by the celluloses chains and hemicelluloses producing pentose and hexose sugars. In dehydration step, sugars dehydrate to form degradation products such as furfural. Among the factors that influence the efficiency of acid hydrolysis, temperature, reaction time and acid concentration are the most widely investigated factors. However, some authors investigated the influence of particle size of biomass and solid/liquid ratio (14). The acid concentration is considered one of the most important factors regarding the release of sugars. High concentrations of acid may decompose the hemicellulosic structure, producing inhibitors and also causing damage equipment used. Therefore, to the an appropriate acid concentration is essential for acid hydrolysis of lignocellulose at industrial scale (40). Roberto et al., (35) stated that the optimum condition for xylose production was 1% H₂SO₄ and reaction time of 27 min was found of corn cob hydrolysate. In general, it is observed that mild temperature led to a significant recovery of sugars while higher temperatures caused more sugar degradation, aiding the formation of inhibitors (47). According to Gírio et al. (9) findings, sulphuric acid/hydrochloric acid concentrations for hemicellulose hydrolysis are in the range of 0.5–1.5 % and temperatures between 121°C and 160 °C. The pretreatment conditions will depend on the type and species of the used vegetal biomass. Neureiter *et al.* (25) found that the acid concentration is the most significant factor for hemicellulose hydrolysis. During acid pretreatment, more severe processes cause fast sugar degradation and thus gave poor hydrolytic efficiency (5). Roberto *et al.* (35) investigated the conversion of rice straw into reducing sugar (xylose) in a semi-pilot reactor Hydrolysis of rice straw by dilute sulfuric acid at different time were investigated. It can be seen from figure 2. that the levels of furfural increased with the increase in reaction time and acid concentration, the highest level of furfural (0.77) % was observed when 1.5% sulfuric acid was used for 3hrs reaction time, while, the lowest level (0.22)% was observed when 0.5% sulfuric acid was used for 1hr reaction time, demonstrating that the reaction time and acid concentration has very significant role on concentration of furfural formation



Figure 2. Concentration of furfural at different reaction conditions (0.5, 1 and 1.5% of H₂SO₄, 1,2 and 3 hrs and 1:15 solid: liquid ratio) acidic hydrolysis of autoclaved wheat straw

However, when the sulfuric acid concentration was increased more than 1% the concentration of the xylose obtained decreased slightly. This reduction in xylose may have occurred as a result of degradation of xylose to furfural, which would be consistent with previous reports. Both Lee et al (18) and Torget et al., (42) reported that xylose is more sensitive to degradation to furfural, particularly at acid concentrations over 1% and reaction temperatures higher than 120°C. The results of acids hydrolysis of wheat straw indicated that the optimal reaction conditions for the recovery xylose from wheat of straw hemicellulosic fraction was an 1% sulfuric acid concentration, a reaction time of 1h and a solid to liquid ratio of 1:15. High xylose yields 20.61% were attained when the wheat straw was pretreated (autoclaved). The results of this study are of considerable importance to the commercial production of xylose using agricultural residue as resources more abundant.

REFERENCES

1. Akpinar O.; K. Erdogan and S. Bostanci, 2009. Production of xylooligosaccharides by controlled acid hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials. Carbohyd Res 344:660–666

2. Allan B. Y. Liavoga, and A. Paul 2007. Release of D-Xylose from Wheat Straw by Acid and Xylanase Hydrolysis and Purification of Xylitol J. Agric. Food Chem., 55, 7758–7766

3. American Association of Cereal Chemistry (AACC), 2000. Approved Methods. 10th ed. St. Paul, Minnesota.

4. Bohdan, V. and Y. Dahman, 2011 Assessment of pretreatments and enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw as a sugar source for bioprocess industry international journal of energy and environment olume 2, Issue 3,.427-446

5. Bösch P, O. Wallberg, E. Joelsson, M. Galbe and G. Zacchi, 2010. Impact of dual temperature profile in dilute acid hydrolysis of spruce for ethanol production. Biotechnol Biofuels 3:15

6. Canilha L, V. Santos, G. Rocha, J. Silva, M. Giulietti, S. Silva. 2011 A study on the pretreatment of a sugarcane bagasse sample with dilute sulfuric acid. J Indust Microbiol Biotechnol;38(9):1467–75

7. Canilha L, W. Carvalho, M. Felipe; E. Almeida and J. Silva. 2008. Xylitol production from wheat straw hemicellulosic hydrolysate: Hydrolysate detoxification and carbon source used for inoculum preparation. Brazillian Journal of Microbiology, 39:333-6

8. Cheng, K..; J. Zhang,.; H. Ling.; W. Ping,.; W. Huang,; J. Ge,. and J. Xu, . 2009. Optimization of pH and acetic acid concentration for bioconversion of hemicellulose from corncobs to xylitol by Candida tropicalis. Biochem. Eng. J, 43, 203– 207.7.

9. Gírio, F., C. Fonseca, , F. Carvalheiro , L. Duarte, S. Marques, and R. Bogel- Lukasik, 2010. Hemicelluloses for fuel ethanol: A review. Bioresource Technology, 101, 4775–4800.

10. Herrera, A.; S. Téllez-Luis,.;J. Ramírez. and M. Vázquez, 2003 Production of xylose from sorghumstraw using hydrochloric acid. J. Cereal Sci., 37, 267–274

11. Hsu, T. C., G. Guo., W. Chen, and W. Hwang, 2010. "Effect of dilute acid pretreatment of rice straw on structural properties and enzymatic hydrolysis,"Bioresour. Technol. 101(13), 4907-4913

12. Jacobs, R.S. 1999. Paper making properties of Washington State wheat straw. Ph.D. dissertation, , University of Washington pp. 122

13. Jeevan, P.; R. Nelson and A. Edith, 2011. Optimization studies on acid hydrolysis of corn cob hemicellulosic hydrolysate for Microbial production of xylitolJ. Microbiol. Biotech. Res., 1 (4):114-123

14. Kapdan I.K, F. Kargi and R. Oztekin 2011. Effects of operating parameters on acid hydrolysis of ground wheat starch: Maximization of the sugar yield by statistical experiment design. Starch- Stärke 63:311–318 15. Karimi, K.; S. Kheradmandinia, and M. J. Taherzadeh, M. J. 2006. Conversion of rice straw to sugars by dilute-acid hydrolysis. J. Biomass and Bioenergy, 30, 247-253. 16. Kim S.E., H. Lee., W. Bang., I. Choi., K. Kim. 2009. Functional characterization of a bacterial expansin from Bacillus subtilis for enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. Biotechnol. Bioeng., 102, 1342-1353

17. Larsen J.; M. Petersen,; L. Thirup,; H. Li., and F. Iversen . 2008. The IBUS process lignocellulosic bioethanol close to a commercial reality. Chem. Eng. Technol., 31(5), 765-772

18. Lee, Y. C. Lin, T. Johnson, and R. Chambers, 1978. Biotechnol. Bioeng. Symp., 8: 75-88.

19. Lenihan, P.; A. Orozco,.; E. O'Neill,; M. Ahmad,.; D. Rooney and G. Walker, 2010 Dilute acid hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. Chem. Eng. J., 156, 395–403

20. Lin, L., R. Yan, Y. Liu and W. Jiang, 2010. In-depthinvestigation of enzymatic hydrolysis of biomasswastes based on three major components: Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Bioresource Technol., 101: 8217-8223.

21.Miller G.L. 1959. Use of dinitrosalicylic aci d reagent for determination of red-cing sugars. Anal. Chem. 31: 426-428.

22. Mirjam V., 2016. Development of Characterization Methods for Lignocellulosic Biogas Substrates. Department of Chemical Engineering. M.Sc. Thesis PP.122

23. Montane, D. X. Farriol, J. Salvadó, P. Jollez and, E. Chornet, 1998, Application of steam explosion to the fractionation and rapid vapor-phase alkaline pulping of wheat straw, Biomass and Bioenergy. 14:3, 261-276

24. Mosier, N.; C. Wyman.; B. Dale, B.; R. Elander,; Y. Lee,.; M. Holtzapple, and M. Ladisch, 2005. Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 96, 673–686

25. Neureiter M, H. Danner, C. Thomasser, B. Saidi, and R. Braun, 2002. Dilute-acid hydrolysis of sugarcane bagasse at varying conditions. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 98–100:49–58

26. Ortiz, K.D. Sayre, B. Govaerts, R. Gupta, G.V. Subbarao, T. Ban, D. Hodson, J.M. Dixon, J.I. Ortiz-Monasterio and M. Reynolds, 2008. "Climate change: can wheat beat the heat?" Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 126:.46–58,. 27. Parajó, J.C.; H. Domínguez, and J. Domínguez, 1995. Production of xylitol from raw woodhydrolysates by Debaryomyces hansenii NRRL Y-7426. Bioprocess Eng., 13, 125–131

28. Parveen, K. M. Diane, M. Barrett, J Michael, and S. Pieter, 2009. "Methods for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for efficient hydrolysis and biofuel production," Ind. Eng. Chem Res., 48, pp: 3713-3729.

29. Pessoa, A I. M. Mancilha, S. Sato, 1996, J. Biotechnol., 51, 83-88

30. Pavlostathis SG, and J. M. Gossett 1985. Alkaline treatment of wheat straw for increasing anaerobic biodegradability. Biotechnol Bioeng, 27:334–344.

31. Qin, L., Z. Liu, B. Li, B. Dale, and Y.Yuan, 2012. "Mass balance and transformation of corn stover by pretreatment with different dilute organic acids," Bioresour. Technol. 112, 319-326

32. Rafiqul, I. and M. Sakinah, 2012. Kinetic studies on acid hydrolysis of Meranti wood sawdust for xylose production. Chemical Engineering Science 71, 431–437

33. Raieev K, M. Gaurav, B. Venkatesh, E. Charles, and E. Wyman, 2009. Physical and chemical characterizations of corn stover and poplar solids resulting from leading pretreatment technologies, Bioresource Technology. 1 00 3948-3962

34. Ranganathan, D.G.; D. Mac Donald, and N. Bakhshi, 1985. Kinetic studies of wheat straw hydrolysis using sulphuric acid. Can. J. Chem. Eng., 63, 840–844

35. Roberto IC, S. Mussatto, and R. Rodrigues 2003. Dilute-acid hydrolysis for optimization of xylose recovery from rice straw in a semipilot reactor. Ind Crops Prod 17:171–176

36. Saha B.C. 2003. Hemicellulose bioconversion. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 30, 279-291

37. Shuai Zu. L. Wen-zhi. Z. Mingjian. L.
Zthong. W. Ziyu. J. Hasan b. Hou-min Chang.
20I4 Pretreatment of corn stover for sugar production using dilute hydrochloric acid followed by lime. Bioresource Technology.
152 36-370

38. Sreenivas R.; C. Pavana and R. Venkateswar, 2007. Biotechnological

production of Xylitol from Hemicellulosic Materials, In Lignocellulose Biotechnology, Ed. Kuhad, R.C. and Singh, A., I.K. International Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 377-385

39. Sun, Y. and J. Cheng, 2005. Dilute acid pretreatment of rye straw and bermudagrass for ethanol production. Bioresour. Technol., 96, 1599–1606.

40. Taherzadeh, M.J.; K. and K. Karimi, 2008. Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes to improve ethanol and biogas production: A review. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 9, 1621–1651

41. Tolan J.S. 2002. Imogen's process for producing ethanol from cellulosic biomass. Clean Techn. Environ. Policy, 3, 339-245

42. Torget, R. P. Walter, M. Himmel, and K. Grohmann, 1991, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 28/29, 75-86.

43. Van Soest, P., J. Robertson and B. Lewis, 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci., 74:3583-3597

44. Wang, T. and S. Lu, 2013. Production of xylooligosaccharide from wheat bran by microwaveassisted enzymatic hydrolysis. Food Chem., 138: 1531-1535.

45. Wei, I.; I. Podersimo,.; C. Igathynathane, and D. Batchelor. 2009. Process engineering evaluation of ethanol production from wood through bioprocessing and chemical catalysis. Biomass and bioenergy, v.33, p.255-266

46. Xu P. and M. Lou 2012. Xylose: Production, Consumption, and Health Benefits. Nova Science Pub Inc, New York

47. Yang B. and C. Wyman 2008. Pretreatment: the key to unlocking low cost cellulosic ethanol. Biofuels Biopr Bioref 2:26–40

48. Yoney L. G. Ariel K. Keikhosro, J. Mohammad. B. Taherzadeh, and M. Carlos, 2010. Chemical characterization and diluteacidhydrolysis of rice hulls rom an a

rtisan millBioResources 5(4), 2268-2277

49. Zhang, H.R. 2012 Key drivers influencing the large scale production of xylitol. In d-Xylitol; Silva, S.S., Chandel, A.K., Eds.; Springer, Berlin and Heidelberg,; pp 267–289.