Sumaya A. Abdullah*

Ahmed S. Khalaf**

Lecturer Prof. * Dept. Field Crops, Coll. Agric. Engine. Sci., University of Salahaddin ** Dept. Field Crops, Coll. Agric. Engine. Sci., University of Duhok (F. mail: summary abdullab @gu adu hud) (F. mail: abmed bbalaf@uad ac)

(E-mail: sumaya.abdullah@su.edu.krd) (E-mail: ahmed.khalaf@uod.ac)

ABSTRACT:

A field experiment was conducted during two seasons 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 at the Grdarasha Research Station of the Agricultural Engineering Sciences College-Salahaddin University-Erbil. The experiment was designed as factorial within randomized complete block design (RCBD), with three replicates. The first factor included three target seeding rates of Triticale (\times *Triticosecale wittmack*, variety Admiral (200,250,and300seeds.m⁻²), while the second factor was three grain size grades whole, thousand kernels weight (TKW) 47.32 g, heavy seeds, TKW 54.04 and light seeds TKW 40.18 g. The results revealed to no significant influence of seeds weight or seeding rates and their interaction on this trait excluding whole sample seed (ungraded) at the rate target of 300 seeds.m⁻², which was significantly reduced flag leaf area. Leaf area index was significantly varied among seed weight and target seeding rates or by their interactions. The plant height of triticale although it was higher for heavy seeds and higher target seeding rates, but it was not reached significant level, either by seed grade or target seed rate and their interactions in both. Plant height ranges from 88.00 for heavy seeds at rate 300 seeds.m⁻² to 83.00 for light seeds at rate 200 seeds.m⁻² for the season 2019-2020. The highest tillers number was recorded for seed rate 300.m⁻² with whole ungraded seeds (176.00).

Keywords: Seeding rates, kernel weight, vegetative growth, triticale, admiral

عبد الله وخلف	مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية- 55:2024 (5):1847-1838
بة في صفات النمو الخضري	تأثير معدلات البذار المحددة على أساس وزن ألف ح
يزء الأول)	للقمح الشيلمي صنف ادمرال (الج
احمد صالح خلف	سمية احمد عبدالله
استاذ	مدرس
قسم المحاصيل الحقلية	قسم المحاصيل الحقلية
كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية جامعة دهوك	كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية –جامعة صلاح الدين – اربيل

المستخلص

نفذت التجربة في الموسمين 2019–2020 و2020–2021 في محطة أبحاث كرده رش لكلية علوم الهندسية الزراعية – أربيل, صممت تجربة عاملية بتصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعثاة وبثلاث مكررات، تضمن العامل الأول ثلاثة معدلات بذار صنف ادمرال (200 ، 200 ، 300 بذرة.م⁻²) بينما تضمن العامل الثاني ثلاثة تدريجات حجمية للبذور – حبوب كاملة (غير مدرجة)، وزن ألف حبة (250 ، 300 بذرة.م⁻²) بينما تضمن العامل الثاني ثلاثة تدريجات حجمية للبذور – حبوب كاملة (غير مدرجة)، وزن ألف حبة لوزن البذور أو معدلات النذار يقيلة ، 54.04 TKW موبذور خفيفة TKW 80.18 TKWغم. أظهرت النتائج عدم وجود تأثير معنوي لوزن البذور أو معدلات البذر وتداخلها على معظم الصفات، باستثناء البذور الكاملة للعينة غير المدرجة وبمعدل بذار 300 بذرة. م⁻²، والذي أدى إلى انخفاض كبير في مساحة ورقة العلم وكان دليل مساحة الورقة متباينًا بشكل كبير بين وزن البذور ومعدلات البذار وبحسب الظروف. رغم ان معدل ارتفاع النبات للبذور الثقيلة ومعدلات البذر العالية كانت متفوقة، إلا أنها لم تصل إلى مستوى المعنوية ، سواء من حيث درجة حجم الحبوب أو معدل البذار وتداخلاتها. تم تسجيل أعلى عدد للتفرعات عنوم عمل المور المالة غير المدرجة وبمعدل البذار وبحسب الفروف. رغم ان معدل ارتفاع النبات للبذور الثقيلة ومعدلات البذر العالية كانت متفوقة، إلا أنها لم تصل إلى مستوى المعنوية ، سواء من الفروف. رغم ان معدل ارتفاع النبات للبذور الثقيلة ومعدلات البذر العالية كانت متفوقة، إلا أنها لم تصل إلى مستوى المعنوية ، سواء من المدرجة حجم الحبوب أو معدل البذار وتداخلاتها. تم تسجيل أعلى عدد للتفرعات عند معدل البذار 300 م⁻² مع البذور الكاملة غير المدرجة حجم الحبوب أو معدل البذار وتداخلاتها. تم تسجيل أعلى عدد للتفرعات عند معدل البذار 300 م⁻² مع البذور الكاملة غير

الكلمات المفتاحية: معدلات البذار, وزن الحبوب، نمو خضري, تريتكالي, ادمرال

INTRODUCTION

Triticale (×Triticosecale wittmack) is а human-made crop developed by crossbreeding wheat (Triticum spp.) and rye (Secale cereale L.), where favorable alleles from both progenitor species are incorporated; high yield potential and good grain quality of wheat with disease and environment tolerance of rye (24). Triticale has huge potential for both grain and forage production, in spite of the fact that research to move forward the crop for way better adjustment and grain quality is slacking behind that of other little grains (6). Triticale gives better fodder when intercropped with legumes, in quantity and quality, compared to monoculture (25) and gave high yield of forage green than barley. Despite important grain composition but low breadmaking value, triticale is still considered to be non-bread cereal and simultaneously thought little of from the dietary point of view. Triticale has lowest gluten content; effective viscoelasticity then the prepared bread has low quality compared towards wheat bread (17). The target for triticale utilization depends since the characteristics of the variety, which is higher protein varieties can be used such as concentrated feed used for poultry, ruminants and non-ruminants, and varieties through high biomass can be used for grazing, silage or hay (22). The average total protein content of triticale grains varies from 10.2% to 15.6% of the dry matter. Triticale has a high nutritional value, contains considerable quantity of protein, fiber, vitamins and minerals (29). Triticale possess larger grain size in comparison to durum wheat, therefore at the same plant populations it has to set the seeder to 25–40% above the setting recommended for wheat, and the recommended seeding rates different from purpose and growing conditions (9). Seed size and seeding rates effect on triticale growth have been investigated by many researchers; that reduction in root and shoot length of variety (26). The 75 kg.ha⁻¹ (approx. 175 seeds.m⁻²) was an optimum seeding rate for longer growing seasons of winter triticale (10); The seeding rate for spring triticale range from 180 to 250 seeds.m⁻ $(80 \text{ to } 110 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1})$ (42). Lower seeding rates significantly increased the number of grains and vice versa and reported that increased tillers with increase in seed rate (13). The main stem and primary tillers contribution differed in the number of spiklet, grain number and 1000 grain weight with different seeding rates (4, 31, 32). Increasing seeding rates caused early flowering, increased plant height, biological yield and harvest index (7, 40). Comparing different seed rates, found that plant height was not affected significantly (1). Seeds with large food conserves became more food vigor which happened in large seed (3). Seed size plays a vital role in physical indicator of seed qualities that affects vegetative growth and has a positive relationship to yield, market grade factors and harvest capability (36). Furthermore, bv increasing seed size the potential of germination and emergence were higher in triticale (26). Seed size had significant influenced on all traits in laboratory and field with the exception of germination percentage and harvest index, most traits significantly increased by increasing seed size (46). Seeding rate along with varying seed size is the most agronomic important practice which significantly influenced the grain yield of wheat (38). Seeding rates and seed sizes had significant effect on the number of fertile tillers per unit area in wheat (14). On the base of researches overview, the present study was suggested to study the effect of seeding rates based on seed weight on vegetative growth attributes of triticale variety Admiral in part one of the research work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted at the Grdarasha Research Station of the Agricultural Engineering Sciences College - Salahaddin University- Erbil (Latitude 36° 4' N and Longitude 44°2' E; 415 masl, having annual rainfall range (250- 600 mm) during two successive growing seasons 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. The experiment was designed as a factorial within randomized complete block design (RCBD), with three replicates. The first factor was three levels of target seeding rates $(200, 250, \text{ and } 300 \text{ seeds.m}^{-2})$ adjusted on germination % and establishment % (10), the second factor was three grades of thousand kernel weight (TKW, whole or ungraded, with TKW 47.32 g, heavy seeds, TKW 54.04 g prepared by sieve aperture size >3.5mm and light seeds TKW 40.18 g by sieve aperture size < 3.5 > 3mm.

Land preparation

The representative soil samples were taken as two kilograms from various locations at depth of (0-30 cm) before plowing, samples were air dried, then packed in polyethylene bags reserved at laboratory for analysis (Table 1). The fallow land was ploughed with moldboard plough during twentieth of November 2019 followed by rotavator to crush clod, pulverize and smoothen the soil for better aeration and preparation of seedbed. After that the land was divided according to the experiment layout into three blocks, of two meters apart, each consists of 9 plots with one meter distance, each of 2 m⁻² area (2.5m length \times 0.8 m width from four lines of 0.20 m distance in between). The seeds (Table 2) were sown manually on five of December, 2019, as the seeds were dropped along within the row prepared by hand row opener at a depth of three cm with the adjusted specified target seeds.m⁻² and then for the plot of 2m⁻², thereafter covered by with soil by tine hand rake.

Seeds source and preparation

Seeds of Triticale (X *Triticosecale wittmack*) variety Admiral was supplied by Agriculture Research Development (ARD) company at Erbil.

Physica	l characters	Chemical chara	cters
Sand (%)	38.47	Available N (ppm)	59.67
Silt (%)	51.50	Available P (ppm)	2.01
Clay (%)	10.03	Available K (ppm)	0.94
Texture	silty clay loam	E.C. (mmhos /cm)	0.38
		рН	7.53

*Laboratory Soil and Water Department of the Agricultural Engineering Sciences College – Duhok.

Table 2. Some measured traits for whole (non-graded) and size graded seeds of triticale variety Admiral

	vuite	ty Hummun			
Triticale	Traits	Whole seeds	Heavy seeds >3.5 mm	Light seeds < 3.5>3 mm	
	Hectoliter wt. (kg/hl)	70.84	71.52	70.00	
Admiral variety	1000-kernels weight (g)	47.32	54.04	40.18	
	Germination (%)	94.00	94.00	94.00	
rget seeding rates for	or all seed size grades	formula:	seed	rate	kg/ha

=

The target seeding rates for all seed size grades were adjusted on the base of germintion% and field establishment %, which was considered as 80% (Table 3) (12), according to the

target seed number per m2 X 1000 seed weight,g X 100 germination% x establshment % (80)

Table 3. Target seeding rates adjustment.

		I uble e	- Target set	cuing ru	teb uujubt	mente		
Seed grade	Target rate seed.m ⁻²	TKW (g)	Equation factor	Ger. (%)	Estab. 80%	kg.ha ⁻¹	g.m ⁻²	g. plot (2 m ⁻²)
Whole seed	200	47.32	100	94	80	125.85	12.59	25.18
Heavy seed	200	54.04	100	94	80	143.72	14.37	28.74
Light seed	200	40.18	100	94	80	106.86	10.69	21.38
Whole seed	250	47.32	100	94	80	157.31	15.73	31.46
Heavy seed	250	54.04	100	94	80	179.65	17.97	35.94
Light seed	250	40.18	100	94	80	133.58	13.36	26.72
Whole seed	300	47.32	100	94	80	188.78	18.88	37.76
Heavy seed	300	54.04	100	94	80	215.59	21.56	43.12
Light seed	300	40.18	100	94	80	160.29	16.03	32.06

Table 4. Monthly rainfall,	, minimum, maximum an	d average temperature wer	e recorded

		Season 2	019-2020			Seas	on 2020-202	1
Month	Rainfall mm	Temp. C⁰min	Temp. C⁰max	Average C ⁰	Rainfall mm	Temp. C⁰min	Temp. C ^o max	Average C ⁰
September	0.00	24.90	36.60	31.00	0.00	26.90	38.90	33.20
October	24.70	20.20	30.90	25.30	2.30	20.00	32.50	26.00
November	4.20	11.60	22.60	16.60	37.00	13.70	21.50	18.40
December	55.10	8.50	16.10	11.90	29.30	10.10	20.20	17.10
January	97.10	5.70	13.00	8.90	42.80	5.60	12.90	9.00
February	66.30	6.90	14.20	10.30	27.50	6.80	14.80	10.80
March	127.50	11.90	20.50	16.00	26.10	11.70	20.00	15.80
Apr	21.30	15.10	24.80	20.00	0.20	17.60	28.90	23.70
May	11.60	21.30	32.30	27.20	0.20	24.30	35.30	30.30
Total	407.1				165.4			

*Data source: Meteorological Directory- Erbil province The following vegetative growth traits were recorded during heading, complete spike emergence stage (ZGS-5) according to (45) growth stage scale; for each plot ten representative flag leaf area for the main tiller of the middle row was measured by the specific leaf area

 $LAI = \frac{(leaves area of harvested sample cm2}{leaves weight of harvested sample,g} X$ $LAI = \frac{dry weight sampling area,g}{sampling area cm2} X$

Accordingly the fresh weight (g) of the ten leaves and the sum of their area was measured, known the fresh weight of total leaves of 20 cm length of middle row; the area of the leaves of 20 cm row length can be estimated by formula used by Singh and Singh, 1992: leaf blade length cm x mid leaf blade width cm x factor 0.70. Leaf area index (LAI) was measured according to (44) and modified formula of (11).

leaves weight of harvested segments,g

area of harvested land	segment,cm2
leaf area cm2	(11)
leaf dry weight g	(11)

simple mathematical proportional. Then the LAI equation was applied (modified as we used fresh weight, since (30) reported that the correlation between leaf area index with both fresh and dry weight was, 0.98.

Leaf area index = total leaf area cm2 of 20 cm row length land area (20 cm row length x 20 cm row space)

Means of ten main tillers height (cm) from ground level to the spike peduncle or neck, total number of tillers.m⁻² (number of tillers in one middle row 2.5 m length x 0.2m row space $x2 = 1m^{-2}$), similarly the number of reproductive tillers (bearing spike), and non-reproductive tillers (with no spike) were calculated.

Data analysis: The collected data were subjected to analysis of variance utilizing SAS version 9.1 (39), Duncan's Multiple Range Test (18) was used for means comparison at 0.05 level of significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The vegetative traits of triticale as affected by seeding rate and thousand kernel weight (TKW) included:

Flag leaf area: The results of flag leaf for seasons 2019-2020 and 2020-2021, displays in Table (5) reveal to non-significant influences of seed weight or seeding rates and their interaction on this trait excluding the interaction for the season 2019-2020 was significant, whole sample seed (ungraded) at the rate target of 200 seeds.m⁻² gave the highest value (12.37), whereas the lowest was for the 300 seed rate with the same grade size (8.96). Generally the results refers to a severe reduction in leaf area for the season 2020-2021, which was certainly affected by drought stress due to shortage in rainfall of this season (165.40 mm) (Table 4) which correspondent by (16).

	CIII Seasoi	l, 2019-2020 all	u 2020-2021		
	Seaso	on 2019-2020			
Target rate	Seed	l grade based on T	KW*	Mean of seed rate	
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	Mean of seeu rate	
200	12.37 a	11.12 ab	9.96 ab	11.15	
250	10.30 ab	10.77 ab	11.59 ab	10.88	
300	8.96 b	11.77 ab	10.88 ab	10.54	
Mean of seed grade	10.54	11.22	10.81		
	Seas	on 2020-2021			
Target rate	Seed	grade based on T	KW	Maria faradarata	
seeed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	Mean of seed rate	
200	3.72	4.11	2.90	3.58	
250	3.60	3.07	3.89	3.52	
300	4.65	4.19	3.95	4.26	
Mean of seed grade	3.99	3.79	3.58		

Table 5. Effect of seeding rate and seed weight and their interaction on triticale flag leaf area,
cm ⁻² season, 2019-2020 and 2020-2021

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at α 5%.

* TKW (thousand kernels weight).

Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Leaf area index values in Table (6) reveal to significant differences among seed weight and target seeding rates or by their interactions. In general it was higher in the season 2019-2020 than the season 2020-2021; that was attributed to more annual rainfall for the season 2019-2020, which conversed on growth, amount of rainfall in both season (407.80 mm and 165.40 mm), respectively (Table 4). The maximum leaf area index was recorded 4.63 and 4.58 for targets seed rate of 250 and 300 seeds.m⁻², respectively; for the season 2019-

2020 (Table 6). While, the minimum was (4.16) for the target seed rate of 200 seed.m⁻². Regarding seed weight, both grades, heavy and whole surpassed light grade for this trait (5.0, 4.82 and 3.54, respectively. Meanwhile the interaction of heavy grade with target rate 300 seeds.m⁻² resulted in higher value (5.24), whereas the lowest was for light seed grade interaction with target seed rate 200 seeds.m⁻² (3.24). Such role of seed size on vegetative growth and its positive relationship to yield reported by Rukavina et al (36) and Willenborg et al (43).

	ind	ex (LAI).			
	Seas	son 2019-2020			
Target rate	Seed g	rade based on TK	W	Mean of seed	
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate	
200	4.55 c	4.69 bc	3.24 e	4.16 b	
250	4.71 abc	5.07 abc	3.95 d	4.58 a	
300	5.22 ab	5.24 a	3.44 e	4.63 a	
Mean of seed grade	4.82 a	5.00 a	3.54 b		
	Sea	son 2020-2021			
Target rate	Target rate Seed grade based on TKW				
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate	
200	3.21 b	2.85 bc	1.82 d	2.63 b	
250	3.10 b	3.16 b	2.08 d	2.78 b	
300	3.63 a	3.74 a	2.58 c	3.32 a	
Mean of seed grade	3.31 a	3.25 a	2.17 b		

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at a 5%.

Plant height (cm): Tallness in wheat plants is mostly associated with the genetic makeup of

the parental material of varieties; but this trait is also influenced by the quality and quantity of inputs applied. The plant height of triticale although it was higher for heavy seeds and higher target seeding rates, but it was not reached significant level, either by seed grade or target seed rate and their interactions in both successive growth seasons 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 (Table 7). Plant height ranges from 88.00 for heavy seeds at rate 300 seeds.m⁻² to 83.00 for light seeds at rate 200 seeds.m⁻² for the season 2019-2020. Similar trend was observed for the season 2020-2021 which was 39.76 cm and the lowest was for light grade at rate 200 seeds.m⁻². These results were concurred those of Jedel and Salmon (23) and Faris and De Pauw (21) who also referred that seeding rate did not significantly affect the plant height of wheat. The influence of rainfall shortage was obvious on this traits, as in the total rainfall second season was (165.40 mm) Table (4). Furthermore these results confirmed by Mut et al (35) who demonstrated drastic reduction in plant height with decreasing precipitation rainfall at growing season.

	Seas	on 2019-2020		
Target rate	Seed	Mean of seed		
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate
200	86.53	84.86	83.00	84.80
250	84.03	87.00	85.93	85.65
300	84.40	88.00	85.80	86.06
Mean of seed grade	84.98	86.62	84.91	
	Seas	son 2020-2021		
Target rate	Seed grade based on TKW			Mean of seed
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate
200	35.61	34.20	29.73	33.03
250	33.63	37.06	35.10	35.26
300	35.50	39.76	35.46	36.10
Mean of seed grade	34.26	37.01	33.13	

Table 7. Effect of seeding	rate and seed weight and	d their interaction o	n plant height (cm).

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at α 5%.

Number of total tillers.m⁻²

Tillering is a core component of plant architecture Moeller et al (34). Varying tiller number may produce significant differences in spike morphology Kebrom et al (27), Evers et al (20), and Baktash and Naes (8). Table (8) display the total tillers number.m⁻²; neither seed rate nor seed grade weight influence significantly on total number of tillers in both growth seasons, whereas the interaction was significant. Although Mian and Nafziger, (26), have demonstrated that higher germination percentage from larger seeds may be beneficial in establishing plants under dry soil conditions, but the effect of seed size was not evidence in the present work; the most astonishing results that all tillers were reproductive or bearing spike for the season 2019-2020. The highest tillers number was recorded for seed rate $300.\text{m}^{-2}$ with whole ungraded seeds (176.00), whereas the inferior value 97.33 for the interaction of seed rate 200.m⁻² with whole ungraded seeds, for the season 2019-2020. This result is in conformity with the findings of Akhter et al (2), Sarker et al (37), Khurshid (28) and Dhahi and Baktash (15) who stated that number of tillers per m^{-2} increased with the increase in seed rate at wheat. There was inconsistency in results of this trait and inverse in the second season as the highest value was for seed rate $300.\text{m}^{-2}$ with the light seed grade 237.33, but the lowest was for the same seed rate with ungraded seeds (125.33 seed.m⁻²).

									2	
T 11 0		· · · · · ·			• • • • • •	1 41	•		l tillers.m ⁻² .	
I Shie X	HITECT	or seeding	t rate and	COOD V	veight g	nd their	meracti	an an tata	I TILLARG M	
I apic 0.	LIIUU	or scouma	i att anu	SUCU N	i uzni a	nu uiti	muuau	υπ υπ ιυια		

	Se	ason 2019-2020				
Target rate	Seed	Seed grade based on TKW				
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate		
200	97.33 d	171.33 ab	126.00 bcd	131.56		
250	132.67 a-d	150.00 abc	157.33 abc	146.67		
300	176.00 a	116.67 cd	174.00 ab	155.56		
Mean of seed grade	135.34	146.00	152.45			
	Se	ason 2020-2021				
Target rate	Seed	Mean of seed				
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate		
200	152.00 ab	144.67 b	128.00 b	141.56		
250	136.67 b	196.00 ab	177.33 ab	170.00		
300	125.33 b	153.33 ab	237.33 a	172.00		
Mean of seed grade	138.00	164.67	180.89			

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at α 5%.

Number of reproductive tillers.m⁻² for the season 2020-2021

The number was varied in the second season 2020-2021 (Table 9). It was obvious that fluctuation occurs for both climatic factors precipitation and temperature during January to June of both seasons which affect crop growth Aslam et al (5). The results revealed to no significant difference between seed grade on this trait, while target seeding rate and the

interaction was significantly influence on this trait. The rate of 300 seed.m⁻² and the interaction of this rate with light seed grade recorded highest values of fertile tillers in the season 2020-2021, which were 105.33 and 136.67, respectively. Whereas the lowest value was 66.89 for the rate 200seed.m⁻² and the interaction of this rate with the light grade seed (54.67).

Table 9. Effect of seeding rate and seed weight and their interaction on number of fertile
\tilde{c} \tilde{c} \tilde{c}
tillers m ⁻²

Torget rote	S			
Target rate plants/m-2	Seed grade based on TKW			Mean of seed rate
	Whole	Heavy	Light	
200	65.33 b	80.67 ab	54.67 b	66.89 b
250	74.00 ab	90.67 ab	79.33 ab	81.33 ab
300	73.33 ab	106.00 ab	136.67 a	105.33 a
Mean of seed grade	70.89	92.44	90.22	

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at α 5%.

Number of non-reproductive tillers.m⁻² for the season 2020-2021: General climate change scenario prior sowing precipitation reveals negative influence on crop yield ENNOS (19). Then due to the drought conditions that occurred in the season 2020-2021, and shortage in the amount of rainfall (165.40 mm) (Table 4) the crop grew vegetative, but it failed to complete its life cycle and form spikes, thus the number of nonfertile tillers was recorded and displays in Table (10). The drought stress adversely influenced even on field establishment as total number of tillers much less than half of sown seed rate and the analysis indicated to no significant effect of seed rate or seed grade or their interaction on this trait.

	iertile	thers.m .		
	Se	eason 2020-2021		
Target rate	Seed g	Mean of seed		
seed.m ⁻²	Whole	Heavy	Light	rate
200	86.67	64.00	73.33	74.67
250	62.67	105.33	98.00	88.67
300	52.00	47.33	100.67	66.67
Mean of seed grade	67.12	72.22	90.67	

Table 10. Effect of seeding rate and seed weight and their interaction on the number of nonfertile tillers.m⁻².

Note: Within the individual factor or their interaction, the values that share the alphabet do not differ significantly according to the DMRT, 1955 at α 5%.

REFERENCES

1. AbdelKarim, W. M., D. B. Youssef, T. K. Hashem, Q. K. Abdel, and H. H. Karim. 2015. Effect of genotype and seed quantities of rye wheat on grain yield and its components. Babylon University Journal: Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 23, p. 1, pp. 400-410. (In Arabic).

2. Akhter, M. M., A. E. Sabagh, M. N. Alam, M. K. Hasan, E. Hafez, C. Barutçular, and M. S. Islam. 2017. Determination of seed rate of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) varieties with varying seed size. Scientific Journal of Crop Science, 6(3), 161-167.

3. Amico, R. U., G. V. Zizzo, S. Agnello, A. Sciortino, and G. Iapichino. 1994. Effect of seed storage and seed size on germination, emergence and bulbelt production of *Amaryllis belladonnal* L. Acta Hortic (ISHS), 362, 281-288.

4. Al-Hassan, M. F. H. and J. W. Mahmood.2023. The contribution of the main stem and branches of the approved cultivar km5180 to the growth characteristics by the effect of the number of seeds per square meter. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(6):1794-

1801. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i6.1878

5. Aslam, M.A.A., M. Ahmed, A. S. Fayyaz-Ul-Hassan, R. Hayat, and O. Afzal. 2018. Quantification of Impact of Sowing Dates on Productivity of Rainfed Wheat. Science, Technology and Development, 37, 28–35.

6. Ayalew, H., T. T. Kumssa, T. J. Butler, and X. F. Ma. 2018. Triticale improvement for forage and cover crop uses in the southern great plains of the United States. Frontiers in plant science, 9, 1130.

7. Baktash, F.Y., and A. M. Dhahi. 2018. Evaluation performance genotypes of bread wheat to yield, yield components, biological yield and harvest index. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences,49(1):11-20.

8. Baktash; F. Y. and M. A. Naes. 2016. Evaluation bread wheat pure lines under effect of different seeding rates for grain yield and it,s component. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 47(5):1132-1140.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v47i5.488

9. Birchip Cropping Group. 2004. Triticale agronomy—2004.

http://www.farmtrials.com.au/trial/13801.

10. Bishnoi, U. R. 1980. Effect of seeding rates and row spacing on forage and grain production of triticale, wheat and rye. Crop Sci. 20: 107-108.

11. Campillo, C., M. I. Garcia, C. Daza, and M. H. Prieto. 2010. Study of a non-destructive method for estimating the leaf area index in vegetable crops using digital images. Hortscience, 45(10), 1459-1463.

12. Cereal seed guide. 2014. Quality, performance, convenience. pggwrighsongrain.co.nz.

13. Chaudhary, M. A., A. Ali, M. A. Siddique, and R. Sohail. 2000. Growth and yield response of wheat to different seed rates and wild oat (Avena fatua) competition durations. Pak. J. Agric. Sci, 37, 152-154.

14. Chaudhry, A. U., and I. Hussain. 2001. Influence of seed size and seed rate on phenology, yield and quality of wheat. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 4(4), 414-416.

15. Dhahi A.M. and F. Y. Baktash. 2018. Evaluation performance of bread wheat pure lines to growth traits and proline. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 49(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v49i1.198

16. Dhahi A.M. and F. Y. Baktash. 2018. Impact of moisture depletion percentages on some growth characters and yield for selected genotypes of bread wheat. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 49(2):160-170. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v49i2.160

17. Doxastakis, G., I. Zafiriadis, M. Irakli, H. Marlani, and C. Tananaki. 2002. Lupin, soya and triticale addition to wheat flour doughs and their effect on rheological properties. Food chemistry, 77(2), 219-227.

18.Duncan, D. D. 1955. Multiple Range and Multiple F-test Biometrics. 11(1): 40-42.

19. ENNOS, A. R. 1991. The mechanics of anchorage in wheat Triticum aestivum L.: I. The anchorage of wheat seedlings. Journal of Experimental Botany, 42(12), 1601-1606.

20. Evers, J. B., A. R. Van der Krol, J. Vos, and P. C. Struik. 2011. Understanding shoot branching by modelling form and function. Trends in plant science, 16(9), 464-467.

21. Faris, D. G., and R. M. De Pauw. 1981. Effect of seeding rate on growth and yield of three spring wheat cultivars. Field Crops Research, 3, 289-301.

22. Glamočlija, N., M. Starčević, J. Ćirić, D. Šefer, M. Glišić, M. Ž. Baltić, R. Marković, M. Spasić, and Đ. Glamočlija. 2018. The importance of triticale in animal nutrition. Veterinary Journal of Republic of Srpska, XVIII (1), 84–94, DOI: 10.7251/Vetjen1801073G.

23. Jedel, P. E., and D. F. Salmon. 1993. Seeding rate response of Wapiti triticale in short-season growing areas. Canadian journal of plant science, 73(1), 65-71.

24. Kandić, V., D. Dodig, M. Stevanović, and N. Grčić. 2020. ZP Admiral- facultative triticale variety. IX International Symposium on Agricultural Sciences AgroReS 2020 Proceedings, 24th September, 2020 of Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina p., 19-24.

32. Mahmood, J. W. and M. F. H. Al-Hassan. 2017b. Regulation of tillering in wheat and its relationship with grain yield. 2. Contribution percentages of the main stem and primary tillers in the number of spikelets, the number of grains and the weight of 1000 grains. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 48(2): 540-550. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v48i2.421

33. Mian, M. A. R., and E. D. Nafziger. 1994. Seed size and water potential effects on germination and seedling growth of winter wheat. Crop Science, 34(1), 169-171. 25. Karadağ, Y., and U. Büyükburç. 2003. Effects of seed rates on forage production, seed yield and hay quality of annual legumebarley mixtures. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 27(3), 169-174.

26. Kaydan, D., and M. Yagmur. 2008. Germination, seedling growth and relative water content of shoot in different seed sizes of triticale under osmotic stress of water and NaCl. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7(16), 2862-2868.

27. Kebrom, T. H., W. Spielmeyer, and E. J. Finnegan. 2013. Grasses provide new insights into regulation of shoot branching. Trends in plant science, 18(1), 41-48.

28. Khurshid, F. F. 2022. Effect of sowing methods on the yield and yield components of wheat under two seed rates at Suleimani region. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 53(1):99-

110. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v53i1.1513

29. Kruma, Z., E. Straumite, T. Kince, D. Klava, K. Abelniece, and A. Balgalve. 2018. Influence of technological parameters on chemical composition of triticale flakes. Agronomy Research, 16(S2), 1417–1424. https://doi.org/10.15159/AR.18.109.

30. Labbafi, M., H. Khalaj, I. Allahdadi, F. Nadjafi, and G. A. Akbari. 2019. Using models for estimation of leaf area index in Cucurbita pepo L. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 18(1), 55-60.

31. Mahmood, J. W. and M. F. H. Al-Hassan .2017a. Regulation of tillering in wheat and its relationship with grain yield. 1. Contribution percentages of the main stem and primary tillering the number of spikes and grain yield. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 48(2): 528-

539. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v48i2.420

34. Moeller, C., J. B. Evers, and G. Rebetzke. 2014. Canopy architectural and physiological characterization of near-isogenic wheat lines differing in the tiller inhibition gene tin. Frontiers in plant science, 5, 617.

35. Mut, Z., I. Sezer, and A. Gulumser. 2005. Effect of different sowing rates and nitrogen levels on grain yield, yield components and some quality traits of triticale. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences.

36. Rukavina, H., I. Kolak, H. Sarcevic, and Z. Satovic. 2002. Seed size, yield and harvest

characteristics of three Croatian spring malting barleys. Die Bodenkultur, 53(1), 9-12.

37. Sarker, M. A. Z., P. K. Malaker, M. Bodruzzaman, and N. C. D. Barma. 2009. Effect of management and seed rate on the performance of wheat varieties with varying seed sizes. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, 34(3), 481-492.

38. Sarker, M. A. Z., P. K. Malaker, M. Saifuzzaman, and D. B. Pandit. 2007. Effect of variety and seed rate on the yield of wheat. Bangladesh J. Agric. Environ. 3: 75, 82.

39. Sas, S.A.S., and S. U. S. Guide. 2003. Version 9.1. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA.

40. Saudi, A. H., M. F. H. Al-Hassan and J. W Mahmood. 2016. Effect of sowing by different seeding rates on qualitative traits and viability of four (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars seeds. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 47(2): 452-

460. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v47i2.587

41. Singh, U., and B. Singh. 1992. Tropical grain legumes as important human foods. Economic Botany, 46(3), 310-321.

42. Tompkins, D. K., G. E. Hultgreen, A. T. Wright, and D. B. Fowler. 1991. Seed rate and row spacing of no-till winter wheat. Agron. J. 83: 684-689.

43. Willenborg, C. J., J. C. Wildeman, A. K. Miller, B. G. Rossnagel, and S. J. Shirtliffe. 2005. Oat germination characteristics differ among genotypes, seed sizes, and osmotic potentials. Crop Science, 45(5), 2023-2029.

44. Wolf, D. D., E. W. Carson, and R. H. Brown. 1972. Leaf area index and specific leaf area determinations. J. Agron. Educ, 1, 24-27.

45. Zadoks, J. C., T. T. Chang, and C. F. Konzak. 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed research, 14(6), 415-421.

46. Zareian, A., A. Hamidi, H. Sadeghi, and M. R. Jazaeri. 2013. Effect of seed size on some germination characteristics, seedling emergence percentage and yield of three wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars in laboratory and field. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 13(8), 1126-1131.