* Jeehan.O.K.A. Researcher B.H.H.Al-Badri Prof.

Economics-Coll. Agric. Enge.Scie-University.of Baghdad Jeehan.omar1208a@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq basim.h@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

The study was aimed to identify the concepts of water footprint and virtual water and how these two concepts could be used to achieve water savings at the local level to meet the water supply deficit in Iraq, which is expected to increase in the coming years through studying the water footprint and virtual water and their impact on the foreign trade for wheat and rice crops during the period 2000-2020 and estimating the most important indicators of virtual water and the water footprint of the study crops due to the importance of these criteria in determining the amount of increase or decrease in the area of the studied crops. According to the foreign trade policy. This study was concluded that the average total water footprint of the wheat and rice crops during the study period is 20.27,13.89 billion m³ respectively, and the average percentage of dependence on external water resources for both crops are 20.49%,67.98% respectivly, and the average percentage of self-sufficiency in water resources are 79.51%, 32.01% respectivly, and the average unit productivity of irrigation water for both crops is 0.19,0.10 kg/m³ respectivly during 2000-2020. The average for the water needs of wheat and rice crops during the study period were 6.04,10.19 m³/kg respectivly,the average amounts of water used in local production for both crops are 14.23,4.01 billion m³ respectivly, the average amounts of virtual water imported for both crops are 6.19,10.03 billion m³ respectivly, and the average value of the imported virtual water for both crops is 382,529 thousand dollars during the period 2000-2020.

Key words: foreign trade, water requirements, water policy, food security *Part of M.SC. thesis of the 1st author .

| خالد والبدري | مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية- 55:2024 (4):1403-1394 |
|--|--|
| لأستيرادات العراق من القمح والرز للمدة 2000-2020 | دراسة اقتصادية لحساب البصمة المائية والمياه الافتراضية |
| باسم حازم حميد البدري | *جیهان عمر خالد |
| استاذ | باحثة |
| م الهندسة الزراعية – جامعة بغداد | قسم الاقتصاد الزراعي – كلية علوه |

المستخلص

هدفت الدراسة الى التعرف على مفهومي البصمة المائية والمياه الافتراضية وكيف يمكن الاستفادة من هذين المفهومين في تحقيق وفر مائي على المستوى المحلي لمواجهة العجز في المعروض المائي في العراق والذي من المتوقع أن يتزايد في السنوات القادمة.وذلك من خلال دراسة البصمة المائية والمياه الافتراضية واثرهما على التجارة الخارجية لمحصولي القمح والرز خلال المدة2000-2020 وتقدير أهم المؤشرات للمياه الافتراضية والبصمة المائية لمحصولي الدراسة القمح والرز لأهمية هذه المعايير في تحديد مقدار الزيادة أو النقص في مساحة المحاصيل المدروسة وفقا لسياسة التجارة الخارجية. لقد توصلت الدراسة القمح والرز لأهمية هذه المعايير في تحديد مقدار الزيادة أو النقص في مساحة المحاصيل المدروسة وفقا لسياسة التجارة الخارجية. لقد توصلت الدراسة الى ان متوسط البصمة المائية الكلية لمحصولي القمح والرز خلال مدة الدراسة هو 20.27، 13.99 معلى الترابع، والذاتي من الموارد المائية المائية المائية الكلية لمحصولي القمح والرز خلال مدة الدراسة هو 20.27، 13.99 معلى الا الذارجية، وإن متوسط نسبة الاعتماد على الموارد المائية الخارجية لكلا المحصولين هو 20.90،20.90 كالي معلى التربي، وبلغ متوسط نسبة الاكتفاء الذاتي من الموارد المائية المحلية لمحصولي القمح والرز هو 7.91، 20.20%على الترتيب، كما بلغ متوسط انتاجية الوحدة من مياه الري لكلا الذاتي من الموارد المائية المحلية لمحصولي القمح والرز هو 7.91، 20.20%على الترتيب، كما بلغ متوسط انتاجية الوحدة من مياه الري لكلا الذاتي من الموارد المائية المحلية لمحصولي القمح والرز هو 7.95، 2020-2020 على الترتيب، كما بلغ متوسط انتاجية الوحدة من مياه الري لكن مولين 200، 0.10 كغم/ م³ على الترتيب خلال المدة2000-2020 وبلغ متوسط الاحتياج المائي لمحصولي المائية الدراسة متوسط كمية المائية المحلية لمحصولي القمح والرز هو 7.91، 20.20%على الارتيب، كما بلغ متوسط انتاجية الدراسة موالداتي من الموارد المائية المحلية لمحصولي المامة ولي مائمة ولي الاتياج المحلي لكلا المحصولين 20.00 مائير م³ على الترتيب، وان المحصولين كانت 0.32،400 م³ر مائي متوسط كمية المي المامت 20.01،100 مائي محصولي المانون متوسط قيمة المياه الافتراضية المستوردة لكلا المحصولين كانت 23.230 الف دولار على الترتيب خلال المدة 2000-2000 مليار م¹ على الترتيب، وان متوسط قيمة المياه الافتراضية المستو

الكلمات المفتاحية : التجارة الخارجية – الاحتياجات المائية – السياسة المائية ، الامن الغذائي

^{*}البحث مستل من رسالة ماجستير للباحث الاول

INTRODUCTION

Iraq suffers a severe declining catastrophic in water resources; due to disagreement share water neighboring to the countries Turkey, Syria and Iran(17). The water crisis has turned into a global problem with the effects of both economic and social growth and climate change. The researchers came up with important water concepts and terms that not only have an environmental dimension, but also carry important political and economic dimensions. Among these concepts,the concept of "water footprint" appeared in 2002 (1). The water footprint is defined as the amount of fresh water used in the production of a particular product, directly or indirectly, from the moment of production and processing of the raw materials constituting the product until it reaches to the final consumer (6). Just as the production of any goods or service must require water, the water that enters the production process is called virtual water, and the term virtual water means the amount of requires produce water to а commodity(11). The importance of foreign trade in the economies of different countries around world is due to the difficulty of dispensing any country from the rest of the world, regardless of their level of development and to live in isolation from the outside world and that developing countries vary in terms of natural or relative and absolute advantages that are specialized in producing certain types of goods and they works to export the surplus out of it, which allows them to cover the other imported goods(10)The foreign trade in agricultural products form an important factor for developing countries, including Iraq, because of their great importance in the conduct of agricultural products to regional global markets and and supply there agricultural products from the world (5).Agricultural imports are considered as a part of the agricultural activity, through the role they play in providing agricultural and food commodities to face the deficit in agricultural products and meet increasing in demand of these products (4). Foreign trade in general and in agricultural products in particular especially in Iraq has a vital role in growth of the agricultural sector, which it means that they have a long-term co

integration relationship between agr.growth and foreign trade (3). Water is one of the important and vital issues to achieve economic development in agricultural development, and the world facing in recent times scarcity on the one hand and the growing of needs requirements of it on the other hand. Since the demand for food is in essence a demand for water in one way or another, which led to the emergence of the concept of virtual water (9). The issue of water scarcity is one of the major challenges facing Iraq, due to the severe shortage of imports of the Tigris and Euphrates rivers and the lack of rain and snow. (2). The research started from a problem that could be identified that Iraq has been suffering for years from a continuous decline in water revenues across the Tigris and Euphrates until it entered the stage of water scarcity, and several factors stand behind this critical water situation, the most important of which are climatic changes represented in the lack of rainfall and the drought of rivers, in addition to the factors negatively affect water imports from the two rivers (16). This study was aimed to: Estimating the most important indicators of the water footprint of the study crops (wheat and rice) due to the importance of these criteria in determining the amount of increase or decrease in the area of the studied crops in accordance with the foreign trade policy and Estimating the most important indicators of virtual water for the study crops (wheat and rice).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The development of agricultural production in general and the development of human food in particular is a major concern of agricultural economic policy planners, especially in developing countries. Among them is Iraq. (8), Structure of Foreign Agricultural Trade in Iraq foreign Iraq's agricultural trade, in both exports and imports, is highly volatile due to its many factors, political and economic and their association with the economic conditions of the major rowers, making them susceptible to the effects of extreme fluctuations in the prices of international commodities and the volatility of the world economy(11). Water footprint studies have different multiple purposes and are applied in many contexts. Each purpose requires its own scope analysis.

Water footprint can be estimated for different entities, so it is very important to determine which water footprints can be studied and estimated (13). Estimating the water footprint of a consumer or a group of consumers or an entire economic sector of consumers has become a matter of interest, and this is done within a specific geographical area such as a governorate or a country, and also for surface water collection basins or river basins. The water footprint of a region is the product of compiling a number of water footprints for a number of products, goods and services in this region, and the water footprint as an analytical tool that can be useful in understanding the activities and services related to the scarcity and pollution of fresh water and the expected effects, as well as understanding what can be done to ensure that these activities and products do not affect the sustainability of fresh water in terms of quantity or quality, and it is a tool that provides a vision for learners, helping them understand what needs to be done (17) . Estimating and measuring the actual water content of a product or commodity is not an easy task, because there are many factors that affect the amount of water used in production processes, and the following factors should at least be taken into consideration when estimating and calculating the virtual water content of any product or commodity:

1. The place and time period (season) for the production of the product, commodity or crop.

2. Measuring the quantities of water used in the case of irrigated crop production, as well as the quantities of water polluted as a result of irrigation, if any.

3. Measuring the efficiency of water use in the production of goods, products and crops.

4. Calculation and inclusion of wasted and polluted water in the estimation.

5. Calculating the virtual water ratios of the intermediate inputs to the virtual water content of the commodity, final product or crop (15, 16). To achieve its objectives, the study relied on the descriptive economic analysis method in explaining some economic aspects in addition to quantitative economic analysis, as some indicators and standards were applied in calculating the water footprint and virtual water . The study relied mainly on the

exploitation of blue water in irrigated lands. The virtual water and the water footprint were calculated and estimated, and their most important indicators are:

1- Amount of water used in local production.

2- Water requirements for the production of the crop.

3- Default amount of imported water.

4- Default value of imported water.

5- External water footprint.

6- Internal water footprint.

7- Total water footprint.

8- Percentage of dependence on external water resources.

9- Percentage of self-sufficiency from the local water resources.

10- Unit productivity of irrigation water

RESULTS AND DICUSSION

Estimation of the water footprint and its indicators for the study crops in Iraq for the period 2000-2020: The water footprint has an important impact in shaping agricultural policy, especially with regard to production policy, irrigation policy and foreign trade policy for agricultural products. The study estimated the most important indicators of the water footprint of the study crops during the period (2000-2020).

1. Wheat : Agriculture is a biological industry with highly vulnerable to natural factors, so the cultivation of wheat crop is very risky in lands, characterized by high levels of soil salinity, leading to high risk and uncertainty component(14). Wheat important economic is an crop, various development projects adopted by the government to improve the level of production of all crops Despite the efforts to increase the production of grain crops, especially the wheat, the total production is still insufficient to meet the growing consumption needs, which led to widening of the food gap in addition to the increase in population and the increasing demand for food (12). By studying and reviewing the data of table 1 and figure 1, found that the external water footprint of wheat crop reached its lowest in 2003, estimated at 0.01 billion cubic meters, and reached its highest value in 2008 when it was estimated at 34.48 billion cubic meters, with an average of 6.19 billion cubic meters. It was also found that the internal water footprint reached its lowest in 2000, estimated at 4.04 billion cubic meters, and reached its maximum in 2020, when it was estimated at 21.85 billion cubic meters, with an average of about 14.08 billion cubic meters. The total water footprint of the wheat crop ranged between a minimum of about 4.07 billion cubic meters in 2000, as this year was scarce of rain, and a maximum of about 49.08 billion cubic meters in 2008, with an average of about 20.27 billion cubic meters. The total water footprint is the sum of the internal water footprint and the external water footprint, and the water footprint of the wheat crop may be large, because it is the most important cereal crop in Iraq and cultivated in large areas as well as the exposure of its cultivated areas to high evaporation rates. It was also found that the percentage of dependence on external water resources for the wheat crop ranged between a minimum of about 0.06 % in 2003 due to lack of imports or not being recorded in this year due to abnormal conditions, and a maximum of about 70.25 % in 2008, with an average of about 20.49%, while the percentage of self-sufficiency of the local water resources for the wheat crop ranged between a minimum of about 29.75 % in 2008, and a maximum of about 99.94 % in 2003, with an average of about 79.50 %. This represents a great pressure on Iraqi water resources, which have already entered the stage of water scarcity. The unit productivity of irrigation water for wheat crop ranged between a minimum of 0.09 kg/m³ in 2000 and 2008, and a maximum of 0.19 kg/m³ which is low productivity.



| Figure 1. The total water footprint of the wheat crop in Iraq for the period 2000- 2020 |
|---|
| Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 1. |
| T-11-1 W-4 f |

| Table 1. Water lootprint and its indicators for the wheat crop in fraq during the period 2000-2020 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Years | External water | Internal water | Total water | Dependence rate on | Self-sufficiency rate | Unit productivity |

| Years | External water | Internal water | Total water | Dependence rate on | Self-sufficiency rate | Unit productivity |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | footprint | footprint (2) | footprint | External water | from local water | of irrigation water |
| | (1) | | (3) | resources (%) | resources (%) | (kg/m ³) |
| | | | (1+2) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 2000 | 0.03 | 4.04 | 4.07 | 0.82 | 99.18 | 0.09 |
| 2001 | 0.02 | 5.35 | 5.37 | 0.34 | 99.66 | 0.17 |
| 2002 | 0.02 | 16.69 | 16.71 | 0.09 | 99.91 | 0.15 |
| 2003 | 0.01 | 16.54 | 16.55 | 0.06 | 99.94 | 0.13 |
| 2004 | 0.02 | 13.64 | 13.66 | 0.16 | 99.84 | 0.12 |
| 2005 | 0.02 | 16.31 | 16.33 | 0.11 | 99.89 | 0.14 |
| 2006 | 0.02 | 14.03 | 14.05 | 0.14 | 99.86 | 0.15 |
| 2007 | 0.02 | 15.99 | 16.01 | 0.11 | 99.89 | 0.14 |
| 2008 | 34.48 | 14.60 | 49.08 | 70.25 | 29.75 | 0.09 |
| 2009 | 23.06 | 12.86 | 35.92 | 64.21 | 35.79 | 0.13 |
| 2010 | 9.53 | 14.12 | 23.65 | 40.29 | 59.71 | 0.19 |
| 2011 | 17.16 | 16.68 | 33.84 | 50.70 | 49.30 | 0.17 |
| 2012 | 13.95 | 17.61 | 31.56 | 44.20 | 55.80 | 0.17 |
| 2013 | 10.09 | 18.81 | 28.90 | 34.92 | 65.08 | 0.22 |
| 2014 | 4.84 | 21.72 | 26.56 | 18.22 | 81.78 | 0.23 |
| 2015 | 1.69 | 10.56 | 12.25 | 13.81 | 86.19 | 0.25 |
| 2016 | 0.54 | 9.42 | 9.96 | 5.42 | 94.58 | 0.32 |
| 2017 | 1.76 | 10.74 | 12.50 | 14.10 | 85.90 | 0.28 |
| 2018 | 6.90 | 8.04 | 14.94 | 46.21 | 53.79 | 0.27 |
| 2019 | 2.12 | 16.13 | 18.25 | 11.61 | 88.39 | 0.27 |
| 2020 | 3.74 | 21.85 | 25.59 | 14.62 | 85.38 | 0.29 |
| Minimum | 0.01 | 4.04 | 4.07 | 0.06 | 29.75 | 0.09 |
| Maximum | 34.48 | 21.85 | 49.08 | 70.25 | 99.94 | 0.32 |
| Average | 6.19 | 14.08 | 20.27 | 20.49 | 79.51 | 0.19 |

Source: Calculated by the researchers according to the following equations:- Column (1) External Water Footprint = Virtual amount of imported water - Virtual amount of imported water = Amount of imports in tons * Water needs. -Column (2) Internal water footprint = Amount of water used in production - Amount of virtual water exported. - Amount of virtual water exported = Amount of exports in tons * Water needs. -Column (4) Dependence on external water resources = (External water footprint / Total water footprint) * 100. -Column (5) Percentage from self-sufficiency in local water resources = (Internal water footprint / Total water footprint) * 100. -Column (6) Productivity of irrigation water unit = Crop productivity per dunum / Water needs per crop dunum

2. Rice: By studying and reviewing the data of table 2 and figure 2, it found that the external water footprint of the rice crop reached its lowest in 2000, estimated at 0.01 billion cubic meters, and reached its maximum in 2001, when it was estimated at 25.23 billion cubic meters, the reason for this difference was the reliance on the cultivated area. with an average of about 9.90 billion cubic meters. It was also found that the internal water footprint reached its lowest in 2018, estimated at 0.31 billion cubic metres, and reached its maximum in 2019 when it was estimated at 7.34 billion cubic metres, with an average of about 3.99 billion cubic metres. The total water footprint of the rice crop ranged between a minimum of about 1.18 billion cubic meters in 2000, and a maximum of about 29.23 billion cubic meters in 2001, with an average of about 13.89 billion cubic meters. It was also found that the percentage of dependence on external water resources for the rice crop ranged between a minimum of about 0.85% in 2000, and a

maximum of about 97.39 % in 2018 due to the

dependence on imports in this year because the production was only 30 thousand tons, because

of limiting the water quota and preventing its

cultivation in the governorates of AL-Najaf

and AL-Qadisiyah for this year, with an

average of about 67.98%, and this percentage was high in most years of study, while the percentage of self- sufficiency in the local water resources for the rice crop ranged between a minimum of about 62.1% in 2018, and a maximum of about 99.15% in 2000, with an average of about 32.01%. This represents a great pressure on Iraqi water resources due to the high water requirements for rice. The unit productivity of irrigation water ranged between a minimum of 0.05 kg/m³ in 2001 and a maximum of 0.15 kg/m³ in 2014, with an average of 0.10 kg/m³. Thus, we see that the productivity of the water unit is considered low for the rice crop.



Figure 2. The total water footprint of the rice crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020 Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 2

| Years | External | Internal water | Total water | Dependence rate | Self-sufficiency | Unit |
|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| | water | footprint (2) | footprint | on External | rate from local | productivity of |
| | footprint | | (3) | water resources | water resources | irrigation |
| | (1) | | (1+2) | (%) | (%) | water (kg/m ³) |
| | | | | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 2000 | 0.01 | 1.17 | 1.18 | 0.85 | 99.15 | 0.09 |
| 2001 | 25.23 | 4.00 | 29.23 | 86.32 | 13.68 | 0.05 |
| 2002 | 11.08 | 3.87 | 14.94 | 74.11 | 25.89 | 0.10 |
| 2003 | 5.62 | 1.92 | 7.54 | 74.54 | 25.46 | 0.08 |
| 2004 | 7.89 | 5.02 | 12.90 | 61.12 | 38.88 | 0.08 |
| 2005 | 9.92 | 6.15 | 16.06 | 61.73 | 38.27 | 0.08 |
| 2006 | 15.82 | 7.20 | 23.02 | 68.72 | 31.28 | 0.08 |
| 2007 | 8.02 | 7.14 | 15.16 | 52.90 | 47.10 | 0.09 |
| 2008 | 12.42 | 4.86 | 17.28 | 71.88 | 28.13 | 0.09 |
| 2009 | 12.04 | 3.15 | 15.19 | 79.26 | 20.74 | 0.09 |
| 2010 | 11.91 | 2.75 | 14.66 | 81.24 | 18.76 | 0.09 |
| 2011 | 10.84 | 3.79 | 14.63 | 74.09 | 25.91 | 0.10 |
| 2012 | 10.52 | 4.58 | 15.09 | 69.67 | 30.33 | 0.13 |
| 2013 | 9.64 | 5.51 | 15.15 | 63.63 | 36.37 | 0.14 |
| 2014 | 6.31 | 4.55 | 10.86 | 58.10 | 41.90 | 0.15 |
| 2015 | 8.62 | 1.58 | 10.20 | 84.51 | 15.49 | 0.11 |
| 2016 | 6.76 | 2.21 | 8.97 | 75.36 | 24.64 | 0.14 |
| 2017 | 6.26 | 3.19 | 9.45 | 66.24 | 33.76 | 0.14 |
| 2018 | 11.56 | 0.31 | 11.87 | 97.39 | 2.61 | 0.10 |
| 2019 | 9.88 | 7.34 | 17.22 | 57.38 | 42.62 | 0.13 |
| 2020 | 7.58 | 3.50 | 11.08 | 68.41 | 31.59 | 0.13 |
| Minimum | 0.01 | 0.31 | 1.18 | 0.85 | 2.61 | 0.10 |
| Maximum | 25.23 | 7.34 | 29.23 | 97.39 | 99.15 | 0.15 |
| Average | 9.90 | 3.99 | 13.89 | 67.98 | 32.01 | 0.10 |

Table 2 . Water footprint and its indicators for the rice crop in Iraq during the period 2000-2020

Source: Calculated by the researchers according to the following equations:

Column (1) External Water Footprint = virtual amount of imported water

- Virtual amount of imported water = Amount of imports in tons * Water needs.

Column (2) Internal water footprint = Amount of water used in production - Amount of virtual water exported

-Amount of virtual water exported = Amount of exports in tons * Water needs.

Column (4) Dependence on external water resources = (External water footprint / Total water footprint) * 100

Column (5) Percentage of self-sufficiency in local water resources = (Internal water footprint / Total water footprint) * 100.

Column (6) Productivity of irrigation water unit = Crop productivity per dunum / Water needs per crop dunum

Estimation of virtual water and its indicators for the study crops in Iraq for the period 2000-2020 : Virtual water is an innovative economic idea that searches for invisible water. People do not only consume water for drinking, bathing, etc., but there are other implicit aspects that were not previously appreciated, especially in the areas of food production. According to this concept, a cup of coffee consumes about 140 liters of water was used in irrigation, production and preparation of coffee tree, and producing one kilogram of rice consumes about 300 liters of water, and producing one kilogram of beef needs 15000 liters of water on average, so virtual water is the total amount of water used in the production of food, especially for imported and exported agricultural products. Therefore, the concept of virtual water has become an economic concept as long as it relates to quantities for an important aspect in foreign trade (exports and imports) (7).

1.Wheat : By studying and reviewing the data of table 3 and figures 3,4,5 and 6, it was found that the water needs used in wheat production ranged between a minimum of about 3.08 m^3 / kg in 2016, and a higher limit of about 11.63 m³ / kg in 2008 because it was a year of scarce rain and therefore wheat production in it was less than usual, with an average of about 6.04 m³/kg. The amount of water used in wheat production ranged between a minimum of 4.04 billion cubic meters in 2000, and a maximum of 21.85 billion cubic meters in 2020, with an average of 14.23 billion cubic meters. While the amount of imported virtual water ranged

between a minimum of 0.01billion cubic meters in 2003, and a maximum of 34.48 billion cubic meters in 2008, with an average of 6.19 billion cubic meters. The value of imported virtual water ranged between a minimum of about 140 thousand dollars in 2016 and a maximum of about 14,460 thousand dollars in 2008, with an average of about 3820 thousand dollars.





Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 3



Figure 4. Amount of water used in the local production of wheat in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 3



Figure 5. Amount of virtual water imported for the wheat crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 3



Figure 6. Value of the virtual water imported for the wheat crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020.

| Tuble 5. virtual water and its indicators for wheat crop in fraq for the per | 100 2020 |
|--|---------------|
| Table 3 Virtual water and its indicators for wheat crop in Irac for the per | ind 2000-2020 |
| Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 3 | |

| Year | Water needs | Amount of water | Imported | Imported virtual | Imported |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | (m ³ /kg) | used in local | virtual water | water value | quantities of |
| | (1) | production (billion | quantity | (thousand dollars) | wheat |
| | | m ³) | (billion m ³) | (4) | (ton) |
| | | (2) | (3) | | (5) |
| 2000 | 10.53 | 4.04 | 0.03 | 7880 | 3185 |
| 2001 | 6.00 | 5.42 | 0.02 | 5000 | 3000 |
| 2002 | 6.48 | 16.79 | 0.02 | 2950 | 2417 |
| 2003 | 7.49 | 17.45 | 0.01 | 1980 | 1276 |
| 2004 | 8.55 | 15.66 | 0.02 | 3510 | 2501 |
| 2005 | 7.32 | 16.31 | 0.02 | 3630 | 2535 |
| 2006 | 6.74 | 14.06 | 0.02 | 3920 | 2833 |
| 2007 | 7.26 | 15.99 | 0.02 | 5720 | 2423 |
| 2008 | 11.63 | 14.60 | 34.48 | 14460 | 2963320 |
| 2009 | 7.56 | 12.86 | 23.06 | 6020 | 3050409 |
| 2010 | 5.14 | 14.12 | 9.53 | 2400 | 1854525 |
| 2011 | 5.94 | 16.68 | 17.16 | 6800 | 2888833 |
| 2012 | 5.75 | 17.61 | 13.95 | 4730 | 2425381 |
| 2013 | 4.50 | 18.81 | 10.09 | 3660 | 2241683 |
| 2014 | 4.30 | 21.72 | 4.84 | 2420 | 1126009 |
| 2015 | 3.99 | 10.56 | 1.69 | 540 | 423744 |
| 2016 | 3.08 | 9.42 | 0.54 | 140 | 175087 |
| 2017 | 3.61 | 10.74 | 1.76 | 460 | 488187 |
| 2018 | 3.69 | 8.04 | 6.9 | 2000 | 1870612 |
| 2019 | 3.71 | 16.13 | 2.12 | 890 | 570646 |
| 2020 | 3.50 | 21.85 | 3.74 | 1060 | 1068000 |
| Minimum | 3.08 | 4.04 | 0.01 | 140 | 1276 |
| Maximum | 11.63 | 21.85 | 34.48 | 14460 | 3050409 |
| Average | 6.04 | 14.23 | 6.19 | 3820 | 1007933.8 |

Source: Calculated by the researchers according to the equations:

Column (1) Water needs = Water ration per unit area / Crop productivity

Column (2) Amount of water used in production = Amount of production in tons*Water needs (total)

Column (3) Virtual amount of imported water = Amount of imports in tons * Water needs (total)

Column (4) Value of imported virtual water = Amount of imported virtual water * Price of the imported unit

2. Rice : By studying and reviewing the data of table 4 and figures 7,8,9 and 10, it was found that the water needs used in rice production ranged between a minimum of about 6.78 m³ / kg in 2014, and a higher limit of about 19.74 m³/kg in 2001, with an average of about 10.19 m³/kg. The amount of water used in rice production ranged between a minimum of 0.31 billion cubic meters in 2018, the year in which rice cultivation was determined in the governorates of AL-Najaf and AL-Oadisivah due to water scarcity, and a maximum of 7.34 billion cubic meters in 2019, with an average of 4.01 billion cubic meters. While the amount of imported virtual water ranged between a minimum of 0.01 billion cubic meters in 2000, and a maximum of 25.23 billion cubic meters in 2001, with an average of 10.03 billion cubic meters. The value of imported virtual water ranged between a minimum of about 2060 thousand dollars in 2003, and a maximum of about 9690 thousand dollars in 2018, with an average of about 5293.3 thousand dollars, which is the highest study crop in terms of water needs and the amount of imported virtual water and its value.



Figure 7. Water needs of rice crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 4



Figure 8. Amount of virtual water imported for the rice crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 4



Figure 9. Amount of water used in the local production of rice in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 4



Figure 10 . Value of the virtual water imported for the rice crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020 .

Source: Prepared by the researchers based on the data in table 4

| | Table 4. Virtual water and its indicators for file crop in fraq for the period 2000-2020 | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Year | Water needs (m ³ /kg) | Amount of water used in | Imported virtual water quantity | Imported Virtual water value | Imported quantities | | | |
| | (1) | local production | (billion m^3) | (thousand dollars) | of rice | | | |
| | (-) | (billion m ³) | (3) | (4) | (ton) | | | |
| | | (2) | (-) | | (1011) | | | |
| 2000 | 11.66 | 1.16 | 0.01 | 3560 | 1200 | | | |
| 2001 | 19.74 | 4.21 | 25.23 | 6390 | 1278167 | | | |
| 2002 | 9.53 | 3.97 | 11.08 | 3240 | 1162000 | | | |
| 2003 | 12.96 | 1.94 | 5.62 | 2060 | 433512 | | | |
| 2004 | 12.11 | 5.04 | 7.89 | 2100 | 651654 | | | |
| 2005 | 11.94 | 6.15 | 9.92 | 3460 | 830645 | | | |
| 2006 | 11.90 | 7.20 | 15.82 | 5540 | 1329113 | | | |
| 2007 | 10.89 | 7.14 | 8.02 | 3320 | 736039 | | | |
| 2008 | 11.76 | 4.86 | 12.42 | 6950 | 1056016 | | | |
| 2009 | 10.92 | 3.15 | 12.04 | 6230 | 1102263 | | | |
| 2010 | 10.60 | 2.75 | 11.91 | 6220 | 1123199 | | | |
| 2011 | 9.66 | 3.79 | 10.84 | 6180 | 1122245 | | | |
| 2012 | 7.60 | 4.58 | 10.52 | 7150 | 1384191 | | | |
| 2013 | 7.31 | 5.51 | 9.64 | 7000 | 1317874 | | | |
| 2014 | 6.78 | 4.55 | 6.31 | 3060 | 930487 | | | |
| 2015 | 8.70 | 1.58 | 8.62 | 6430 | 991063 | | | |
| 2016 | 7.32 | 2.21 | 6.76 | 4270 | 923484 | | | |
| 2017 | 7.19 | 3.19 | 6.26 | 5110 | 870730 | | | |
| 2018 | 10.27 | 0.31 | 11.56 | 9690 | 1126193 | | | |
| 2019 | 7.66 | 7.34 | 9.88 | 7350 | 1290124 | | | |
| 2020 | 7.54 | 3.50 | 7.58 | 5850 | 1004345 | | | |
| Minimum | 6.78 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 2060 | 1200 | | | |
| Maximum | 19.74 | 7.34 | 25.23 | 9690 | 1384191 | | | |
| Average | 10.19 | 4.01 | 10.03 | 5293.3 | 984025.9 | | | |

 Table 4. Virtual water and its indicators for rice crop in Iraq for the period 2000-2020

Source: Calculated by the researchers according to the equations:

Column (1) Water needs = Water ration per unit area / Crop productivity

Column (2) Amount of water used in production = Amount of production in tons*Water needs (total)

Column (3) Virtual amount of imported water = Amount of imports in tons * Water needs (total).

Column (4) Value of imported virtual water = Amount of imported virtual water * Price of the imported unit

The search concluded some conclusions : The studied cereal crops (wheat and rice) are water-consuming crops, and therefore their water footprint is high, and their virtual water content is also high, and thus they constitute a great burden on water resources, especially as they are widely used crops, and importing the crop from a humid country (with large water resources) is more economically and more efficient (cheaper) than importing the same crop from a dry country (which suffers from water scarcity), and the water footprint of the rice crop was the largest, due to its high need for water and the large quantities imported from it during the study period, and high internal water footprint in water consuming crops such as rice puts great pressure on water resources in Iraq, rate of rice was higher in terms of virtual water indicators (water needs, amount of imported virtual water, value of imported virtual water) because it is a waterconsuming crop and is widely used on the table of the Iraqi consumer as well as its considerd within the vocabulary of the ration system. High population increase in Iraq leads to double caution, on the one hand, it increases the total water footprint of the study crops and at the same time leads to pressure on water resources and reduces the average share of the Iraqi individual from surface water resources. So the search recomonded the following : The necessity of knowing the virtual water content of the crops to be imported, as saving water through import alone is not sufficient because the crop that must be imported should be determined after determining the agricultural and water plans, and importing products with high water content, and the necessity of calculating the water footprint of various crops to reach the percentage of self-sufficiency in the local water resources and the percentage of dependence on external water resources, and inclusion of the virtual water strategy within the comprehensive management of water resources and linking agricultural policy with water and economic policy to achieve water and food security , encouraging farmers to replace part of the areas planted with rice with other alternative crops, by providing subsidies to producers, focusing on obligating farmers to water rations and supplementary irrigation through intensive efforts of agricultural extension agencies and the media in all its forms to publicize the extent of the problem, as the first basis for addressing it starts from the farmer, determining the current and future water needs and conducting a comprehensive survey of the water supply, adopting policies and programs regulating the import of agricultural commodities in a way that helps in regulating and stabilizing prices to protect local products. the necessity of reaching an agreement with the countries upstream of the and Euphrates rivers and Tigris their tributaries Turkey, Syria and Iran to divide the water, in order to ensure its continuous availability.

REFERENCES:

1. Abd-Alhameed and Mohammed,E.A, 2022, The role of virtual water trade in water challenges in the Middle East ,Volume 23 Issue 4 (31).

2. Al-Aasar, K.M and W.H.A,Mohammed. 2016. The water footprint and its impact on the foreign trade of Egyptian goods, The Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Economics -Volume 26 - Issue 2 :697-714.

DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/1222/1/012023

3. Al-Badri,B.H. and R.A. Abdul Rahim . 2011. Measuring the impact of water losses in irrigated agriculture on water scarcity in Iraq for the agricultural season (2009). Journal of College of Administration and Economics. Issue 87:1-12.

4. Al-Danasuri, F. M., F. M. Muhammad, A. A. Badir, and A. M. Ashraf. 2019 An economic study of the water footprint and virtual water trade of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Sciences.45 (4).

5. Al-Naseeh,A. K. 2002 . Reality of water use in agriculture in Iraq - Master's thesis -Department of Agricultural Economics/ College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad. 6. Atif.A , 2021 , Virtual Water and National Water , <u>https://marsad.ecss.com.eg/65445/</u>

7. Bedewi , E. M. and H.N. Mohammed . 2016. Production and trade of some agricultural crops in light of the concept of virtual water. Journal of Agricultural Economics and Social Sciences, Mansoura University, Volume (7), Issue (4): 455-465 .

8. Fawzi, A. T. and R.T,Alwasity. 2021. an economic analysis of the factors affecting eggs importing in iraq for the period (2003-2018) and predection the eggs importing for the period (2019-2025), Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences:52(3):675-681. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v52i3.1357

9. Frhat, F.M and Famha, N.A , 2022, Ways to confront water poverty. Challenges and treatment

https://www.siyassa.org.eg/News/18294.aspx

10. Hamdoon ,A.A , 2021 . Economics of Water Security and Achievement of Sustainable Development in Iraq , JOURNAL OF UNIVERSITY OF BABYLON For Pure and Applied Sciences , 2; No.29Vol. .

11. Mekonnen , M.M , A.Y. Hoekstra and M.M.Aldaya . 2011. The Water Footprint Assessment Manual. First published by Earthscan. London. Washington, DC, https://waterfootprint.org.

12. Rashid, A.J. 2017 . Water resources in Iraq. First edition, Without publishing house, www.merefa2000.com.

13. Salam, O. M. 2017. The Egyptian water footprint: Is the water and food security index. Cairo, www.noor-book.com.

14. Salim ,H.A and Dawood , S.M , 2022 , Estimation of the water footprint for the strategic crops in Iraq: wheat and barley as a case study ,Journal of Water Resources and Geosciences Vol. 1, Issue 2.

15. Yaseen K. Al-Timimi, F. Y. Baktash. 2024. Monitoring the shift of rainfed line of 250 mm over Iraq. 55(3):931-940. https://doi.org/10.36103/h10cqh53

16. Zangana, L. M, B. I Al-Temimi and S. A, Aljuhaishi. 2021. Analytical study of rate volume liquid water content in low clouds over Iraq, Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 52(4):783-792.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v52i4.1387