

## EFFECT OF TILLAGE, CROP ROTATION AND PREVIOUS CROP RESIDUES ON CLOVER, MAIZE AND MUNG BEAN PRODUCTIVITY

H. T. R. Al-Furaiji<sup>1</sup>

N. S. Ali<sup>2</sup>

Researcher

Prof.

<sup>1</sup> Mesopotamia State Company for Seeds - Ministry of Agriculture, Baghdad, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup> Dept. Soil Sci. and Water Resources, Coll. Agric. Engineering Sci., University of Baghdad

hussein.taha1107a@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

nooruldeen.s@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of tillage, crop residues and crop rotation on productivity of clover, maize and mung bean, at the experimental research station of the College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad in Aljadriya, Baghdad – Iraq during two seasons of 2021-2022. 1<sup>st</sup> trail was with two factors: residues (0%R and 100%R) and tillage (minimum (MT) and conventional (CT)) with four replicates. Results indicated the best values of height and dry matter yield were (70.25 cm and 5.558 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for (100%R+MT) compared with (65.5 cm and 4.985 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for (0%R+CT) respectively. The 2<sup>nd</sup> trail was with three factors: the same tillage and residues coupled with crop rotations (clover-maize) and (clover-mung bean). Results (representing the accumulated effect of both trials) indicated the best values of height, dry matter yield and grains and seeds yield were (236.25 and 111.5) cm, (4.560 and 14.745) Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, (6.840 and 3.754) Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for (100%R+MT) treatment compared to (210.0 and 101.25) cm, (4.048 and 11.337) Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, (5.685 and 2.829) Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with (0%R+CT) for maize and mung bean respectively and under crop rotations (clover-maize) for maize and (clover- mung bean) for mung bean.

Keywords: (*Vigna radiata* L.), (*Zea mays* L.), grains yield, dry matter yield, crop sequence .

\* Part of Ph.D. Dissertation of the 1<sup>st</sup> author.

الفريجي وعلي

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية 2024 -55:(عدد خاص) 277-283

تأثير الحراثة و التعاقب المحصولي وبقايا المحصول السابق في إنتاجية البرسيم والذرة الصفراء والماش

نور الدين شوقي علي<sup>2</sup>

حسين طه راضي الفريجي<sup>1</sup>

أستاذ

باحث

<sup>1</sup> شركة ما بين النهرين العامة للبذور - وزارة الزراعة , بغداد , العراق .

<sup>2</sup> قسم علوم التربة والموارد المائية - كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية - جامعة بغداد .

### المستخلص

نفذت تجربتان حقليةتان لمعرفة تأثير الحراثة وبقايا المحصول و التعاقب المحصولي في إنتاجية البرسيم والذرة الصفراء والماش. في المحطة البحثية التابعة لكلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية - جامعة بغداد في الجادرية، بغداد - العراق للموسمين الزراعيين لعام 2021 - 2022. كانت التجربة الأولى بعاملين هما: البقايا ( 0% بقايا و 100% بقايا) والحراثة (دنيا و تقليدية) بأربعة مكررات. أظهرت نتائج التجربة الأولى ان افضل القيم لصفات ارتفاع النبات وحاصل المادة الجافة للبرسيم (70.25 سم و 4.985 ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup>) للمعاملة (100% بقايا+ حراثة دنيا) بالقياس إلى (65.5 سم و 4.985 ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup>) للمعاملة (0% بقايا+ حراثة تقليدية) على الترتيب. كانت التجربة الثانية بثلاث عوامل: العاملان السابقين الحراثة و البقايا مصحوبة بعامل ثالث هو تعاقب المحاصيل (برسيم-ذرة صفراء) و (برسيم- ماش). نتائج التجربة الثانية (تمثلت بالتأثير التراكمي لكلا التجريبتين) وكانت افضل النتائج لارتفاع النبات و حاصل المادة الجافة و حاصل الحبوب و البذور (236.25 و 111.5) سم و (4.560 و 14.745) ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup> و (6.840 و 3.754) ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup> للمعاملة (100% بقايا+ حراثة دنيا) بالمقارنة مع (210.0 و 101.25) سم و (4.048 و 11.337) ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup> و (5.685 و 2.829) ميكا غرام هـ<sup>-1</sup> للمعاملة (0% بقايا+ حراثة تقليدية) للذرة الصفراء و الماش و (برسيم- ماش) للماش.

الكلمات المفتاحية: (*Vigna radiata* L.)، (*Zea mays* L.)، حاصل الحبوب، حاصل المادة الجافة، الدورة الزراعية .

\* جزء من أطروحة دكتوراه للباحث الأول

Received: 28/2/2023, Accepted:21/6/2023

## INTRODUCTION

The main aim of all agricultural management practices is to increase crop yield and conserve the soil from degradation (5, 6, 9, 14, 19 and 20). The ultimate aim for soil ecological management is to create an appropriate place under the soil surface (i.e. Rhizosphere) characterized by good stable soil structure, good content of soil organic carbon, good biodiversity, and good nutrients reserve for high and good quality crops to ensure human food security and keep the environment clean. This can be achieved through reducing tillage as possible, avoiding soil crusting and compaction, adopting good crop rotation, using intercropping and applying of amendments (8, 9, 16, 17, 19, 22 and 23). Leaving crop residues on the soil surface increased dry matter yield and grain yield of maize by 109.57% and 54.0% respectively (1). Using crop residues of the last crop and minimum tillage application improved the soil's physical, chemical, and biological properties reflecting on its productivity as a result of improving soil properties (10, 25 and 28). Using crop residues and zero tillage with crop rotation increased the yield of the wheat - mung bean - rice cropping system (3). Using crop residues improved soil structure and increased soil organic carbon and crop yield (15). Planting legume plants in the crop rotation and using their residues increased the availability of nutrients in the soil, especially nitrogen (24). Jeghata and Muhawish indicated that using conservative tillage and residues improved some soil properties which were reflected in good crop productivity in a gypsiferous soil (18). Using good soil amendments (i.e. organic fertilization) had a good effect on soil properties (chemical & physical) led to an increased in yield of plants by 30% (2, 5, 6 and 27). The main aim of the

ongoing study was to evaluate the effects of some soil management practices on clover, maize and mung bean productivity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at the experimental research station of the College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad in Aljadriya, Baghdad, Iraq. (33° 16' 02" N . 44° 22' 33" E) during two seasons "fall and spring of 2021-2022". The two trials were conducted in a randomized complete block design with four replicates to investigate the effect of tillage, crop residues and crop rotation management practices on clover, maize, and mung bean productivities. In the 1<sup>st</sup> experiment, two factors were used: the first was the residues of the previous crop alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), 100% residues (100%R) and 0% residues (0%R) the 2<sup>nd</sup> factor was tillage minimum tillage (MT) and conventional tillage (CT) in split-plot arrangement planted with clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) (the experiment started in 20<sup>th</sup> of November 2021 and finished in 1<sup>st</sup> of March 2022). Soil samples were collected before trial to test the soil's chemical, physical, and biological properties. Results of soil analysis show in Table 1 for soil before land cultivation. The 2<sup>nd</sup> experiment followed the 1<sup>st</sup> one in the spring season using tillage, crop residues, and crop rotation clover – maize (*Zea mays* L.) and clover - mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) productivities. Both crops were sown at the same plots of the previous clover crop (the experiment started in 22<sup>th</sup> of March 2022 and finished in 1<sup>st</sup> of August 2022) in a split plot arrangement. Three plants were fertilized in the two trials according to Ali (7). Growth, yield, and grains yield increments were measured for the three crops at the termination of each trial.

**Table 1. Chemical , physical , biological and fertility properties of the soil before planting\***

property	Value	Unit	
Hydrogen potential (pH) (1:1)	8.25	-	
Electrical conductivity (EC) (1:1)	1.85	dS m <sup>-1</sup>	
Available nitrogen	28.00		
Available phosphorus	13.25		
Available potassium	174.01	mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	
Available iron	5.65		
Available zinc	3.75		
Carbonate minerals	345.0		
Soil organic matter	16.13	g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	
Soil organic carbon	9.35		
Active carbon	128.44	mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	
Cations	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	8.95	
	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	4.55	
	K <sup>1+</sup>	2.35	
	Na <sup>1+</sup>	3.47	m mol L <sup>-1</sup>
	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	5.1	
Anions	Cl <sup>1-</sup>	21.5	
	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>1-</sup>	2.95	
	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	Nil	
	CEC	19.45	C mol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> soil
Soil aggregate stability	26.45	%	
Saturated hydraulic conductivity	1.96	cm h <sup>-1</sup>	
Sand	353.0	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	
Silt	519.0		
Clay	128.0	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	
Soil texture class	Silty loam		
water content			
at 33 kPa	23.4		
at 1500 kPa	12.0	%	
Available water	11.4		
Biological properties			
Total bacteria count	4.5 * 10 <sup>9</sup>	CFU g <sup>-1</sup> soil	
Total fungi count	3 * 10 <sup>3</sup>		
Alkaline phosphatase enzyme activity	108.49	Microgram p-nitro phenol g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil h <sup>-1</sup>	

\*Measurements done according to methods mentioned (11, 12 and 26).

## RESULTS AND DISSCUSION

### First experiment

**Plant height:** Results of the 1<sup>st</sup> trial indicated that height of clover was affected by both residues and tillage factors giving the best result with (100% R + MT) which was 70.25 cm with increment percent 16.12% compared with 65.50 cm for (0%R + MC). Using crop

residues (100%R) increased significantly of plant height which was 69.12 cm with increment percent 4.92% compared with 65.88 cm for (0%R) treatment. Minimum tillage (MT) increased significantly plant height which value was 68.25 cm with increment percent 2.25% compared with 66.75 cm for (CT) treatment (Table 2)

**Table 2. Effect of crop residues and tillage on clover height (cm)**

Residues %	Tillage		Mean of residues
	Conventional tillage (CT)	Minimum tillage (MT)	
0	65.50	66.25	65.88
100	68.00	70.25	69.12
LSD 0.05	0.95		0.77
Mean of tillage	66.75	68.25	
LSD 0.05	0.83		

### Dry matter yield

The dry matter yield of clover was affected by treatments (Table 3). The best dry matter yield was with 100%R + MT treatment giving 5.558 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an 11.5% increment compared to that of 0%R + CT with 4.985 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Using

crop residues (100%R) increased dry matter weight of clover significantly to 5.421 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 7.71% increment compared to 5.033 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with (0%R). Using MT increased dry matter weight of clover significantly 5.319 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 3.58% increment compared to 5.135

Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for CT treatment. From tables 2 and 3 it can be indicated that residues of previous crop (alfalfa) and minimum tillage had a very clear effect on clover height and dry matter

yield. This can be due to the role of these two factors in improving soil properties (4). These results are in agreement with some researchers (13 and 21).

**Table 3. Effect of crop residues and tillage on clover dry matter yield (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Crop residues %	Tillage		Mean of residues
	Conventional tillage (CT)	Minimum tillage (MT)	
0	4.985	5.080	5.033
100	5.284	5.558	5.421
LSD 0.05		0.194	0.208
Mean of tillage	5.135	5.319	
LSD 0.05		0.079	

**Second experiment**

**Plants height:** Table 4 shows the effect of crop residues and tillage under crop rotation on the height of maize and mung bean. Crop residues using (100%R) showed a significant increase in the height of maize under crop rotation (clover–maize) with a value of 233.75 cm with an increment of 10% compared with (0%R) giving 212.50 cm. Using crop residues

(100%R) appeared to have a significant effect on the height of mung bean under crop rotation (clover–mung bean) with a 109.00 cm value with a 6.99% increment compared to 101.88 cm of (0%R). The interaction treatment (100%R+MT) was the best treatment under both clover-maize and clover–mung bean rotations.

**Table 4. Effect of crop residues and tillage under crop rotation on plant height of maize and mung bean (cm)**

Crop residues	Maize			Mung bean		
	Tillage system		Residues mean	Tillage system		Residues mean
	CT	MT		CT	MT	
0% R	210.00	215.00	212.50	101.25	102.50	101.88
100% R	231.25	236.25	233.75	106.50	111.50	109.00
LSD 0.05		16.57	17.64		6.19	6.41
Tillage mean	220.63	225.63		103.88	107.00	
LSD 0.05		NS*			NS	

\* NS: Non significant

**Dry matter yield of plants**

Table 5 shows the effect of crop residues and tillage on maize and mung bean dry matter yield. Single factors and the interactions among them were affected positively and significantly by the treatments used. Using (100%R) increased of dry matter weight for maize and mung bean which were 4.407 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 14.525 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with increments percent 7.44% and 15.24% compared with 4.156 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 12.604 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> to (0%R) treatments for maize and mung bean respectively. Minimum tillage (MT) increased dry matter weight of maize and mung bean

significantly which values were 4.358 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 14.308 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an increments percent (4.99% and 11.6%) compared to 4.151 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 12.821 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for CT treatment for maize and mung bean respectively. The interaction (100%R+ MT) gave the best values 4.560 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 14.745 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an increments percent 12.65% and 30.06% compared to 4.048 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 11.337 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with (0%R+CT) treatment for maize and mung bean respectively. Planting maize and mung bean after clover gives the best results with the treatment (100%R+MT).

**Table 5. Effect of crop residues and tillage under crop rotation on dry matter yield of maize and mung bean (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Crop residues	Maize			Mung bean		
	Tillage system		Residues mean	Tillage system		Residues mean
	CT	MT		CT	MT	
0% R	4.048	4.156	4.102	11.337	13.871	12.604
100% R	4.254	4.560	4.407	14.304	14.745	14.525
LSD 0.05		0.088	0.077		0.138	0.138
Tillage mean	4.151	4.358		12.821	14.308	
LSD 0.05		0.075			0.099	

**Weight of 1000 grains and seeds**

Results in Table (6) show that treatments did not have any significant differences between

treatment means of factors and their interaction on weight of 1000 grains of maize and seeds of mung bean.

**Table 6. Effect of crop residues and tillage under crop rotation on the weight of 1000 grains of maize and mung bean seeds (g)**

Crop residues	Maize			Mung bean		
	Tillage system		Residues mean	Tillage system		Residues mean
	CT	MT		CT	MT	
0% R	281.0	283.0	282.0	33.43	34.73	34.08
100% R	290.5	307.2	298.9	35.28	35.85	35.56
LSD 0.05	NS		NS	NS		NS
Tillage mean	285.8	295.1		34.35	35.29	
LSD 0.05	NS			NS		

**Grains and seeds yield (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The grains yield of maize and seeds yield of mung bean as affected by treatments is shown in Table 7. Using crop residues (100%R) increased significantly in grains yield of maize and seeds of mung bean which were 6.660 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3.433 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an increments percent 14.22% and 18.54% compared to 5.831 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.896 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for (0%R) treatment for maize and mung bean respectively. Using MT increased in grains yield of maize and seeds of mung bean which were 6.409 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3.358 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an increments percent 5.38% and 13.03%

compared with CT treatment which were 6.082 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.971 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for maize and mung bean respectively. Interaction treatment (100%R+MT) gave the best values (6.840 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3.754 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> with an increments percent 20.32% and 32.70% compared to 5.685 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.829 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for (0%R+CT) for maize and mung bean respectively. As the grains yield of maize and seeds of mung bean can be considered as the sink for other growth and yield components it can be seen the best yield was with (100%R+MT) treatment under both crop rotations.

**Table 7. Effect of crop residues and tillage under crop rotation on grains yield weight of maize and mung bean seeds (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Crop residues	Maize			Mung bean		
	Tillage system		Residues mean	Tillage system		Residues mean
	CT	MT		CT	MT	
0% R	5.685	5.977	5.831	2.829	2.962	2.896
100% R	6.479	6.840	6.660	3.112	3.754	3.433
LSD 0.05	0.106		0.114	0.087		0.085
Tillage mean	6.082	6.409		2.971	3.358	
LSD 0.05	0.039			0.065		

**Grains and seeds yield increments**

From Table 8, it can be seen that the increment in grains yield of maize was around 20% and

seeds yield of mung bean was 33% due to the adoption of these management practices.

**Table 8. Grains yield increments\* for maize and mung bean seeds (%)**

Crop residues	Maize		Mung bean	
	Tillage system		Tillage system	
	CT	MT	CT	MT
0% R	-	5.14	-	4.70
100% R	13.97	20.32	10.00	32.70

\* %yield increment = {(treatment yield – control yield) / (control yield)}\*100

Results in (Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 7) show the good yield and growth properties of plants and that return to the role of crop residues and minimum tillage in improving soil properties of tested soil and this will be reflected in microorganisms activity which play the main role in decomposing of organic matter (crop residues) and on soil fertility as well through increasing nutrients availability and uptake (4).

Minimum tillage also plays a very important role in conserving organic carbon and microorganisms colonies from degradation compared with conventional tillage. Improvements in soil properties were reflected in maize and mung bean crops' growth and yield. planting maize after clover (legume) and alfalfa (legume) in a crops rotation improved maize yield. Planting mung bean

after clover and alfalfa (all legumes) gave the best results with mung bean. Legume plants included in such rotations should improve soil supply with more nutrients especially nitrogen through fixation (9). In addition, planting cover crops (clover) improves soil moisture and soil organic matter (5, 6, 19 and 20 ).

### CONCLUSION

Using integrated management practices: crops rotation, residues of previous crop and minimum tillage can have a very clear impact on soil properties so using such practices can be recommend.

### REFERENCES

1. Abbasi, M. K., M. Azhar, and M. T. Majid. 2009. Cumulative effects of white clover residues on the changes in soil properties, nutrient uptake, growth and yield of maize crop in the sub-humid hilly region of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. *African Journal of Biotechnology* . 8 (10): 2184-2194
2. Abdulridha. A.N. and S.K. Essa. 2023. Use of organic matter and sand in improving properties of some soils of holy Karbala governorate affected by phenomenon of cracking. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 54(1):268-281. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i1.1699>
3. Alam, K., M. Isalm, N. Salahin and M. Hasanuzzaman. 2014. Effect of tillage practices on soil properties and crop productivity in wheat - mung bean - rice cropping system under subtropical climatic conditions. *The Scientific World Journal*. Article ID 437283, pp: 15
4. Al-Furaiji, H. T. R., and N. S. Ali .2023 .Effect Minimum Tillage ,Crop Rotation and Crop Residues as Management Practices on Soil Health. Accepted for publication in *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 56(6), 2025.
5. Alhalfi, D. A. N. and S. S. J. Alazzawi. 2022a. Effect of organic fertilizer sources and chemical fertilization on soil chemical properties and yield of summer squash . *Neuro Quantology*, 20(5):1554-1565
6. Alhalfi, D. A. N. and S. S. J. Alazzawi. 2022b. Effect of organic fertilizer sources and chemical fertilization on soil physical traits and yield of summer squash. *Iraqi Journal of Market Research and Consumers Protection* .14(2):74-81
7. Ali, N. S. 2012. *Fertilizer Technology and Uses*. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad. University House for Printing, Publishing & Translation. pp:1-202.
8. Ali, N. S. and D. H. M. Albayati. 2018. The role of broad bean and onion intercropping on productivity of both crops and nitrogen budget in soil. *The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*,49(1):21-26. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v49i1.200>
9. Ali, N. S., M. M. Allawi and N. H. Majeed. 2022. Rhizosphere Management and Agricultural Sustainability. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad. Aloom Center for Printing, Baghdad, Iraq. pp: 1-320
10. Alwash, A.A., and F.S. Al-Aani. 2023. Performance evaluation of seed drill-fertilizer under two different farming systems and tractors practical speeds. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 54(4):1155-1162. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i4.1809>
11. Aoda, M. I. and N. T. Mahdi. 2017. *Methods of Soil Physical Properties Analyses*. University House For Printing, Publishing & Translation. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. pp:1- 215
12. Black, C. A., D. D. Evans, L. E. Ensminger, J. L. White and F. E. Clark (Eds). 1965. *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties*. Am. Soc. Agron., Inc. Madison. Wisconsin, USA. P:1569
13. Das, A., D. P. K. Lyngdoh, R. Lal, J. Layek, and R. G. Idapuganti. 2018. Tillage and cropping sequence effect on physico-chemical and biological properties of soil in Eastern Himalayas, India. *Elsevier J. Soil and Tillage Research*. 180 : 182-193
14. Hashim, F.A., and K.A. Hassan. 2023. Transformation of phosphorous in gypsiferous soils as affected by different fertilizers, land use and incubation periods. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 54(5):1364-1373. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i5.1837>
15. Higashi, T., M. Yunghui, M. Komatsuzaki., S. Miura, T. Hirata, H. Araki, N. Kaneko, and H. Ohta. 2014. Tillage and cover crop species affect soil organic carbon in

- Andosol, Kanto, Japan. Soil and Tillage Research. 138: 64 – 72
16. Intergovernmental Technical Panel on Soils (ITPS). 2015. Status of The World's Soil Resources Main Report (FAO, Rome).pp:1-607
17. Jasim, T. S. and B. Hamid. 2023. Effect of organic and bio-fertilization on some qualitative and productive traits of barley plant. ISAESC."IOP conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science Journal". UK. V. 1189.pp: 1315-1755
18. Jekhata, H. A. S., and N. M. Muhawish. 2021. Effect of three tillage systems, levels of plant residues and type of crop on some physical properties and organic carbon fractions in a Gypsiferous soil. College of Basic Education Researchers J. 17 (1): 929-954
19. Magdoff, F., and H. V. Es. 2021. Building Soils For Better Crops (Ecological Management For Healthy Soils). 4<sup>th</sup> Handbook Series Book 10, Published by The Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE) Program, With Funding From The National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U. S. Department of Agriculture. PP:1-230
20. Masood, T. K., and N. S. Ali. 2022. Effect of soil organic carbon content in different soils on water holding capacity and soil health. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 1158 022035.PP:1315-1755
21. Miransari, M., N. Midranishiah, S. E. Marlina, S. E. Rahim, and Hawayanti. 2017. Utilization of organic fertilizer on sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) crops at shallow swamp Land. Engin. Techno. Int. Conference. 97: 1-8
22. Mohammed, H. A., and K. U. Hasan. 2022a. Effect of bacterial bio-fertilization on phosphorus budget, growth and yield of Faba bean when intercropped with wheat. International J. of Health Science, 6(55):9200-9212
23. Mohammed, H. A., and K. U. Hasan. 2023. Effect of bacteria and yeast bio fertilization on nitrogen budget, growth, and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) when intercropping with faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Bionatura Journal. Issue 1(8).1 . <http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/CSS/2023.08.01.79>
24. Mooleki, S. P., Y. Gan, R. L. Lemke, R. P. Zentner, and C. Hamel. 2016. Effect of green manure crops, termination method, stubble crops and fallow on soil water, available N and exchangeable P. Canadian. J. of Plant Sci. 96(5): 867 – 886
25. Riahinia, F., and H. Emami. 2021. Effects of crop residues and tillage operations on soil quality indices. Polish Journal of Soil Sciences. 0079-2985
26. Salim, Ch. Sh., and N. S. Ali. 2017. Guide for Chemical Analyses of Soil, Water, Plant and Fertilizers. University House For Printing, Publishing & Translation. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. pp: 1-277
27. Shaker, U.B., and J. Abdul Rasool. 2023. Role of organic fertilizer and boron foliar application on growth and productivity of potato for processing. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 54(5):1478-1486. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i5.1847>
28. Sommer, R., C. Piggini, D. Feindel, M. Ansar, L. V. Delden, K. Shimonaka, J. Abdalla, O. Douba, G. Estefan, A. Haddad, R. Haj-Abdo, A. Hajdibo, P. Hayek, Y. Khalil, A. Khoder, and J. Ryan. 2014. Effects of zero tillage and residue retention on soil quality in the Mediterranean Region of Northern Syria. Open Journal of Soil Science. 4(3): 44383.