EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHOROUS AND POTASSIUM LEVELS ON

THE PRODUCTIVITY OF INDUSTRIAL POTATOES

K. G. Saaseea

Researcher

N. J. K. Al-a'amry Prof.

Direct. of Baghdad Agric. Dept. Hort. Landscape Gardening ,Coll. of Agric. Eng. Sci.,

University of Baghdad Khalidggss1981@gmail.com nabiljwad 2013@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted using Potato(*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at the eastern Radwaniyah at private field during fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021 to study the effect of nitrogen levels to 350, 275, 200 kg N h⁻¹ (N₁, N₂, N₃) and phosphorous to 100, 180, 360 kg $P_2O_5 h^{-1}$ (P_1 , P_2 , P_3) and potassium to 100, 200, 300 kg $K_2O h^{-1}$ (K_1 , K_2 , K_3) to vegetative growth and yield of industrial potato, The seeds of the hybrid potato Sinora, Class A, were planted in the fall season on 15/9/2020 and Elite in the spring season on 31/1/2021. The experimental fertilizers were added in four batches and in proportions according to the stages of plant age, Factorial experiment with RCBD using three replications. The results showed that changing the levels of the elements used to N₃, P₃, K₃ led to a significant increases in most traits of vegetative growth for both seasons, while the change of phosphorous and potassium levels to P₂ and K₃ had a significant effect on the quantitative and qualitative yield for both seasons. The N₁P₂K₃ interaction gave the highest marketable tuber weight, marketable yield plant⁻¹, total yield for the fall and spring seasons, and the highest percentage of dry matter in tubers in the fall season.

Key words: Solanum tuberosum L., Macro nutrients, ground addition, Manufacturing.

صعصيع والعامري	مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2023 :54(6):1736-1726
بيوم في انتاجية البطاطا الصناعية	تأثير مستويات النتروجين والفسفور والبوتاس
نبيل جواد كاظم العامري	خالد كعيد صعصيع
استاذ مساعد	الباحث
حدائق- كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية_ جامعة بغداد	مديرية زراعة بغداد_ الكرخ قسم البستنة وهندسة ال
	المستخلص

الكلمات المفتاحية: .Solanum tuberosum L العناص الكبري, الاضافة الارضية, التصنيع.

Received:13/11/2021 Accepted:13/3/2022

INTRODUCTION

Potato crop (Solanum tuberosum L.) is considered one of the important vegetable crops in the world, belonging to the Solanaceae family, and it ranks fourth among the important food crops (17, 30), It is a rich source of carbohydrates, starch, protein and amino acids (20), and it provides raw materials for many food industries, as part of its production is used for home consumption, while the other part goes to the manufacturing process (32). Due to the substantial market need for potatoes in Iraq, this country has become a fruitful field for scientific research (5, 6, 14, 15, 16, 36, 37). Recent researchers efforts have been concentrated on processing potato varieties since the enormous market for chips and potato snacks (11, 12, 34). Mineral fertilizers are the best solution to increase production and overcome the global food problem, and three of the most important mineral fertilizers that potato plants need are nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium (18). Nitrogen contributes blocks in building and producing the protoplasm. It takes part in protein constructer (1). It also contributes in building enzymes and nucleic acids and some Phosphorous hormones. enters the composition of nucleic acids and energy-rich compounds (ATP) and has a role in activating the process of carbon metabolism and enters in the formation of enzymatic companions as it encourages root growth and then improves the absorption of nutrients. Potassium is one of the most abundant elements in the soil but It exists in an unfree form combined with other ions (27) It has a big role in regulating the providing nutrients and processed food from roots to vegetative growth and vice versa. It also help on regulating the osmotic effort of cells, which contributes to the process of root absorption of water, nutrients, and plays a prominent role in the process of opening and closing stomata, which affects the transpiration process (24), Several studies were showed that fertilizing potato plants with NPK fertilizers had led to a significant increases in most vegetative growth, such as plant height, number of leaves and leaves area (4, 8, 13, 21, 28, 29, 35). It also led to an increase in the quantitative and qualitative traits of the yield (26, 31). This study was aimed to obtain the best growth, yield and quality of industrial potato tubers ender NPK fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After preparing the land and carrying out the required agricultural operations, the field was divided into 81 agricultural plots (experimental units) with a length of 2.5 and a width of 1.0 m. Each agricultural plot contains 20 plants distributed on both sides of the agricultural board, the distance between one plant and another is 0.25 m, leaving a distance of 0.5 meters between the experimental units and 1.0 meters Between sectors to ensure that transactions do not overlap by planting the Senora hybrid in one of the private fields in the eastern Radwaniyah region within the work area of the Baghdad Agriculture Directorate - Al-Karkh on 15 / September 2020 for the fall season using class A seeds, and on January 31/2021 for the spring season using class E seeds. The experiment was carried out using factorial experiment with with three replications. This RCBD experiment included three factors and each factor had three levels, where the first level for factor is according each to the recommendation of Ali (2) and as the following: Nitrogen levels: 200, 275, 350 kg N hectare⁻¹ and has the symbols N_1 , N_2 and N_3 . Phosphorous levels: 100, 180, 360 kg P₂O₅ hectare⁻¹ and symbolized by the symbols P_1 , P_2 and P₃. Potassium levels: 100, 200, 300 kg K₂O hectare⁻¹ and symbolized by the symbols K_1 , K_2 and K_3 , The fertilizers were added in four stages and in proportions according to the stages of plant stage and as shows in Table1, where urea fertilizer (46% N) was used as a source of nitrogen and MAP fertilizer (52% P₂O₅) as a source of phosphorous and potassium sulfate (50% K₂O) as a source of potassium, and the compared using LSD with probating 0.05 (3). Vegetative Growth traits were measured as plant height (cm) and number of leaves (leaves plant⁻¹) and leaves area (dm² plant⁻¹) according to Sadik et al. (33), and traits of quantitative and qualitative yield such as marketable tuber weight (gm tuber⁻¹) and marketable yield of one plant (kg plant⁻¹), the total yield (ton h^{-1}) and the percentage of dry matter (%).

Table 1. shows the	percentage of fertilizer	used according to	the stages of plant life
	F		

		The percentage of fertil	izer from the total amount	
fertilizer type	before planting	After of the plant emergence	Vegetative growth stage	tuber growth stage
Ν	20	40	30	10
P_2O_5	40	30	20	10
K ₂ O	10	20	20	50

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height (cm): Results in Table 2 show that the average plant height was not significantly affected by the change in the levels of the mineral elements used in the study in the fall season, although the higher level of them gave the largest value of this trait, while in the spring season, the effect of changing the levels of the used elements was In the study, significantly in this trait, in nitrogen, the N₃ level gave the highest plant height of 76.85 cm, compared to the lowest height, which was 70.85 cm at the N_1 level. Length (72.03 cm), while potassium, the higher level (K₃) gave the highest plant height of 59.75% compared to K_1 , which gave the lowest length of 70.96%. The results of the binary interaction between the study factors showed a significant effect on plant height for both seasons In the fall season, the interaction treatments N_2P_2 , N_3K_1 and P_2K_2 gave the

highest plant height, which was 86.88, 86.44 and 87.77 cm, respectively, compared to the treatments N_1P_2 , N_1K_1 and P_1K_2 , which gave the lowest plant height, which was 79.88, 75.66 and 76.88 cm, respectively. N₃P₃, N₁K₃ and P3K2 had the highest plant height at 78.44, 78.66 and 78.66 cm, respectively, while the treatments N_1P_2 , N_1K_1 and P_1K_1 gave the lowest plant height at 67.33, 64.55 and 66.88 cm, respectively. In the same direction, there was a significant triple interaction between the study factors for both seasons, as the treatment $N_2P_2K_2$ excelled in the fall season by giving the highest plant height of 89.00 cm compared to the treatment $N_1P_2K_1$ which gave the lowest length of 74.33 cm, while the treatment of the interaction $N_1P_1K_3$ excelled in the spring season by giving the highest height For potato plants, it was 87.33 cm compared to the treatment $N_1P_1K_1$, which gave the shortest length of 61.00 cm.

Table 2. Effect of changing nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and their interaction
on the height of potato plants (cm) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021

Chemical fertilizers			Fall sease	on 2020/20	21		Spring season 2021				
Circ	finical fer tinzers	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K_3	N*P		
	\mathbf{P}_1	77.67	75.00	88.67	80.44	61.00	61.33	87.33	69.89		
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	74.33	86.67	78.67	79.89	66.67	63.33	72.00	67.33		
	P ₃	75.00	88.33	84.33	82.56	66.00	83.33	76.67	75.33		
	\mathbf{P}_1	82.67	79.33	87.33	83.11	66.33	75.67	72.67	71.56		
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	83.33	89.00	88.33	86.89	76.67	70.67	69.33	72.22		
_	P ₃	76.67	85.67	78.33	80.22	72.00	74.00	72.00	72.67		
	P ₁	84.00	76.33	84.67	81.67	73.33	77.33	73.33	74.67		
N_3	\mathbf{P}_2	87.00	87.67	75.67	83.44	82.67	75.33	74.33	77.44		
	\mathbf{P}_3	88.33	83.67	82.33	84.78	74.00	78.67	82.67	78.44		
	L.S.D 0.05		9.64		5.57		11.65		6.72		
	Κ	81.00	83.52	83.15		70.96	73.30	75.59			
	L.S.D 0.05		N.S				3.88				
	N*K	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Ν	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Ν		
	N_1	75.67	83.33	83.89	80.96	64.56	69.33	78.67	70.85		
	N_2	80.89	84.67	84.67	83.41	71.67	73.44	71.33	72.15		
	N_3	86.44	82.56	80.89	83.30	76.67	77.11	76.78	76.85		
	L.S.D 0.05		5.57		N. S		6.72		3.88		
	P*K	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Р	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_{3}	Р		
	\mathbf{P}_1	81.44	76.89	86.89	81.74	66.89	71.44	77.78	72.04		
	\mathbf{P}_2	81.56	87.78	80.89	83.41	75.33	69.78	71.89	72.33		
	$\overline{\mathbf{P}_3}$	80.00	85.89	81.67	82.52	70.67	78.67	77.11	75.48		
	L.S.D 0.05		5.57		N. S		6.72		3.88		

Number of leaves plant⁻¹

Results in Table3 shows that the number of leaves of potato plants was significantly affected by the change in the levels of the experimental factors for both seasons, as the use of nitrogen at the level N₃, which gave the highest number of leaves of potato plants, with to 44.82 and 35.83 leaves plant⁻¹ for both seasons. respectively, compared to 40.45 leaves plant⁻¹ at the N_1 level for the fall season and 28.40 leaves $plant^{-1}$ at the N₂ level for the spring season. It was also noted that the increase in the level of phosphorous used to P_3 in the fall season led to a significant increases in the number of leaves plant⁻¹, reaching 46.49 leaves plant⁻¹. compared to the level P₁, which gave 41.05 leaves plant⁻¹, while the change in the level of phosphorous led to a nonsignificant increase in this trait, as for potassium, we note that the K₃ level gave the highest number of leaves, which reached44.33 and 33.19 leaves plant⁻¹ for the two seasons, respectively. Compared to the K₂ level, which gave 42.28 and 30.05 leaves $plant^{-1}$, respectively. As for the effect of the binary interaction between the factors of the study, we notice a significant effects on the number of plant leaves for both seasons, if the interaction between nitrogen and phosphorous leads to the appearance of significant differences in this trait and for both seasons, if the treatment N_3P_3 (47.42 leaves plant⁻¹) gave the highest number of leaves for the fall season, Treatment N₃P₂ (37.03 leaves plant⁻¹) gave the highest number of leaves in the spring season compared to treatment N_1P_1 (36.69) leaves plant⁻¹) in the fall season and treatment N_2P_2 (26.85 leaves plant⁻¹) in the spring season. The same applies to the interaction between nitrogen and potassium, as the treatment N₃K₃ excelled in the fall season with the highest number of leaves that reached 46.14 leaves plant⁻¹ compared to the treatment N_1K_1 which gave 39.08 leaves plant⁻¹ and the treatment N3K2 in the spring season (36.92 leaves plant⁻¹) compared to the treatment N_2K_2 (26.19). leaves plant⁻¹), and there was a significant interaction between phosphorous and potassium for both seasons, the treatment P_3K_2 (46.67 leaves plant⁻¹) in the fall season and the treatment P_2K_3 (34.73 leaves plant⁻¹) in the spring season compared to treatments P_2K_1 and P_2K_2 (37.67 and 27.69 leaves plant⁻¹) for the two seasons respectively, and the triple interaction showed the superiority of treatment $N_3P_3K_3$ in the fall season and treatment $N_3P_2K_2$ in the spring season by giving them the highest number of leaves reached 47.92 and 37.71 leaves plant⁻¹ respectively compared to treatments $N_1P_2K_1$ and $N_2P_2K_2$, which gave the lowest number of leaves 33.17 and 20.88 leaves plant⁻¹ for the two seasons, respectively.

Table 3. Effect of changing nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and their interaction
on the number of leaves of potato plants (leaves plant ⁻¹) for the fall season 2020/2021 and
anning 2021

				spring	g 2021						
Chemical fertilizers			Fall sea	son 2020/202	1		Spring season 2021				
		K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	N*P		
	P ₁	38.50	36.00	35.58	36.69	27.96	25.42	31.58	28.32		
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	33.17	40.42	44.83	39.47	27.31	24.50	34.23	28.68		
	P ₃	45.58	45.67	44.33	45.19	29.96	31.60	34.81	32.13		
	P ₁	45.67	39.67	43.50	42.94	31.25	31.50	30.25	31.00		
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	38.33	41.25	44.93	41.51	25.56	20.88	34.13	26.85		
	P ₃	46.42	46.83	47.33	46.86	31.15	26.19	24.67	27.33		
	P ₁	46.00	40.50	44.00	43.50	30.35	35.58	36.67	34.20		
N_3	\mathbf{P}_2	41.50	42.67	46.50	43.56	37.54	37.71	35.83	37.03		
	P ₃	46.83	47.50	47.92	47.42	34.77	37.46	36.58	36.27		
	L.S.D 0.05		4.98		2.88		5.93		3.42		
	K	42.44	42.28	44.33		30.65	30.09	33.19			
	L.S.D 0.05		1.66				1.98				
	N*K	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Ν	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_{2}	K ₃	Ν		
	N_1	39.08	40.69	41.58	40.45	28.41	27.17	33.54	29.71		
	N_2	43.47	42.58	45.26	43.77	29.32	26.19	29.68	28.40		
	N_3	44.78	43.56	46.14	44.82	34.22	36.92	36.36	35.83		
	L.S.D 0.05		2.88		1.66		3.42		1.98		
	P*K	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Р	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_{2}	K_3	Р		
	P ₁	43.39	38.72	41.03	41.05	29.85	30.83	32.83	31.17		
	\mathbf{P}_2	37.67	41.44	45.42	41.51	30.14	27.69	34.73	30.85		
	\mathbf{P}_3	46.28	46.67	46.53	46.49	31.96	31.75	32.02	31.91		
	L.S.D 0.05		2.88		1.66		3.42		1.98		

Leaves area (dm² plant⁻¹)

Results in Table 4 shows that the leaves area was significantly affected by the increase in the levels of experimental factors for both seasons, as the increase in nitrogen level to N₃ gave the highest leaves area amounted to 120.43 and 116.07 dm^2 plant⁻¹ for the two seasons, respectively. Compared to the level N_1 , which gave 111.55 dm² plants⁻¹ in the fall season, and the level N₂ which gave the least leaves area in the spring season, which amounted to 91.34 dm^2 plants⁻¹. The P₂ level reached 110.83 and 99.36 dm^2 plant⁻¹ for the two seasons, respectively. As for the effect of potassium in this trait, it was also significant, as the K₃ treatment in both seasons gave the highest leaves area of 118.01 and 106.37 dm² plant⁻¹, compared to respectively, the treatment. K₂ which gave $114.09 \text{ dm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ in the fall season and 96.40 dm² plant⁻¹ in the spring season. As for the binary interaction between the studied factors, the treatments N_2P_3 , N_3K_3 and P_3K_1 gave the highest leaves

area of 129.25, 126.89 and 129.12 dm² plants⁻¹ in the fall season, compared to the lowest area of N_1P_1 , N_1K_1 and P_1K_2 which was 100.55, 108.42 and 99.57 dm^2 plants⁻¹ for the same season, while the treatments N3P2, N₃K₃ and P_1K_3 recorded in the spring season the highest leaves area amounted to 118.25, 120.42 and 112.65 dm² plant⁻¹ compared to the treatments N_2P_2 , N_1K_2 and P_2K_2 which gave the lowest leaves area amounted to 85.33, 87.19 and 92.90 dm² plant⁻¹. The same applies to the triple interaction between the factors of the study, as the treatment of the interaction $N_3P_1K_3$ excelled in the fall season with the highest leaves area of 138.42 dm² plant⁻¹ compared to the treatment $N_1P_2K_1$ which gave the lowest leaves area of 88.78 dm² plant⁻¹, while in the spring season the treatment $N_3P_1K_3$ excelled with the highest The leaves area was 138.51 dm² plant⁻¹ compared to the lowest leaves area which was at N₂P₂K₂ which was 74.8 dm² plant⁻¹.

	osphorous and potassium levels and their interaction
on the leaves area of potato plants (dm ² p	plant ⁻¹) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021

Chemical f	ertilizers		Fall season	2020/2021			Spring se	ason 2021	
		K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P
	P ₁	102.29	99.05	100.30	100.55	88.78	78.27	106.75	91.27
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	88.78	112.75	118.45	106.66	93.88	80.94	108.71	94.51
	P ₃	134.19	122.39	125.72	127.43	104.55	102.36	118.20	108.37
	P ₁	127.20	100.96	111.72	113.29	104.48	96.06	92.69	97.74
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	105.22	122.77	102.17	110.05	87.70	74.88	93.41	85.33
- 12	P ₃	128.56	136.03	123.17	129.25	104.60	91.97	76.32	90.96
	\mathbf{P}_1	136.20	98.72	138.42	124.45	107.81	107.69	138.51	118.00
N_3	\mathbf{P}_2	109.52	116.02	121.79	115.78	119.57	122.88	112.32	118.25
	\mathbf{P}_{3}	124.61	118.13	120.44	121.06	112.92	112.54	110.43	111.96
L.S.D			10.31		5.95		10.04		5.80
K		117.39	114.09	118.02		102.70	96.40	106.37	
L.S.D	0.05		3.44				3.35		
N*I	K	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_{3}	Ν	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_{3}	Ν
N_1		108.42	111.40	114.82	111.55	95.74	87.19	111.22	98.05
N_2		120.32	119.92	112.35	117.53	98.93	87.64	87.47	91.34
N ₃		123.44	110.96	126.88	120.43	113.43	114.37	120.42	116.07
L.S.D	0.05		5.95		3.44		5.80		3.35
P*I	X	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Р	K ₁	K ₂	\mathbf{K}_3	Р
P ₁		121.89	99.5 7	116.81	112.76	100.36	94.0 1	112.65	102.34
\mathbf{P}_2		101.17	117.18	114.14	110.83	100.38	92.90	104.81	99.36
P ₃		129.12	125.52	123.11	125.91	107.36	102.29	101.65	103.77
L.S.D			5.95		3.44		5.80		3.35

Marketable tuber weight (gm tuber⁻¹) Results in Table 5 shows that the marketable tuber weight rate was not significantly affected by increasing nitrogen level, despite giving the second level the highest weight for the tuber and for the two seasons of the experiment. As for the effect of changing phosphorous levels in this trait, it was Significantly for both seasons, the highest marketable weight of the tuber was 96.72 and 134.56 gm. tuber⁻¹ at the P_2 level and for the two seasons of the experiment, respectively, compared to the lowest weight of 88.99 and 128.00 gm tuber⁻¹ at the P_1 level. In a similar way, the effect of potassium was significant. In this trait, the level K_3 gave the highest rate of 96.06 and

135.50 gm. tuber⁻¹ for the two seasons respectively, compared to the lowest rate of 88.39 and 128.68 g tuber⁻¹ at the level of K_2 for the two seasons of the experiment respectively. It appears from the data of the same table that the binary interaction between the study factors was significant in this trait and for both seasons, as the interaction treatment N_1P_2 in the fall season gave the highest weight of tuber 103.55 gm. tuber⁻¹ compared to the lowest marketable weight of 86.02 gm. tuber⁻¹ at N_1P_3 , In the spring season, the N_2P_2 interaction gave the highest weight of 145.48 gm. tuber⁻¹, compared to the lowest weight of N_2P_1 which amounted to 125.66 gm. tuber⁻¹, and with the same effect, the interaction between nitrogen and potassium at the level N_2K_1 in the fall season N_1K_3 in the spring season led to the highest rate of tuber weight. The marketable value reached 100.80 and 136.61 gm. tuber⁻¹, respectively, compared to treatment N₂K₂ (83.42 gm. tuber⁻¹) in the

fall season and treatment N_1K_2 (125.56 gm. tuber⁻¹) in the spring season. Fall season by giving the highest rate of 102.93 gm. tuber⁻¹ compared to treatment P_1K_1 which gave 84.40 gm. of tuber⁻¹, and in the spring season treatment P_2K_3 excelled with the highest weight of 148.46 gm. tuber⁻¹ compared to 125.97 gm. tuber⁻¹ when treated P_2K_2 . The triple interaction treatments for the study factors showed significant differences in the marketable tuber weight, as the treatment $N_1P_2K_3$ in the fall season topped the rest of the treatments by giving it the highest rate of marketable tuber weight of 127.95 gm. tuber⁻¹ compared to the lowest rate of 79.91 gm Tuber⁻¹ in the treatment $N_2P_3K_2$ and in the spring season Treatment N₂P₂K₃ had the highest marketable weight of tuber 160.10 gm. tuber⁻¹, which did not differed significantly from treatment $N_1P_2K_3$ which recorded 152.71 gm. tuber⁻¹, compared to the lowest weight of 113.86 gm. tuber⁻¹ when treatment $N_2P_3K_3$.

Table 5. Effect of changing nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and their interaction on the marketable tuber weight of potato plants (gm. tuber⁻¹) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021

			Fall seaso	n 2020/202	<u>ing 2021</u>		Spring se	ason 2021	
Chemical fertilizers		K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P
	P ₁	85.94	93.00	83.85	87.60	133.58	128.75	116.29	126.20
N ₁	\mathbf{P}_{2}	83.94 84.59	93.00 98.11	127.96	103.55	135.58	126.75	152.71	120.20
1	\mathbf{P}_{3}	85.59	81.95	90.55	86.03	141.98	133.47	140.85	131.11
	\mathbf{P}_1	85.16	86.16	101.08	90.80	120.80	120.40	135.80	125.67
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	118.83	84.20	97.47	100.17	136.66	139.67	160.11	145.48
-2	\mathbf{P}_{3}^{2}	98.43	79.92	92.07	90.14	134.38	141.98	113.87	130.08
	\mathbf{P}_{1}	82.13	88.52	95.09	88.58	128.50	129.14	138.82	132.15
N ₃	\mathbf{P}_2	87.78	88.16	83.39	86.44	124.99	123.77	132.56	127.11
	\mathbf{P}_3	97.19	95.29	93.15	95.21	146.19	126.54	128.55	133.76
L	.S.D 0.05		22.12		12.77		13.70		7.91
	К	91.74	88.37	96.07		132.58	128.69	135.51	
L	.S.D 0.05		7.37				7.37		
	N*K	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Ν	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Ν
	N_1	85.37	91.02	100.79	92.39	133.90	125.56	136.62	132.03
	N_2	100.81	83.42	96.87	93.70	130.62	134.02	136.59	133.74
	N_3	89.03	90.66	90.54	90.08	133.23	126.48	133.31	131.01
L	.S.D 0.05		12.77		n. s		7.91		n. s
	P*K	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_3	Р	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_3	Р
	P ₁	84.41	89.22	93.34	88.99	127.63	126.09	130.30	128.01
	\mathbf{P}_2	97.07	90.16	102.94	96.72	129.26	125.97	148.46	134.57
	P ₃	93.73	85.72	91.92	90.46	140.85	134.00	127.76	134.20
L	.S.D 0.05		12.77		7.37		7.91		7.37

Marketable plant yield (kg plant⁻¹)

Results in Table6 shows that there is no significant effect of the change in nitrogen levels on the marketable yield of the plant, despite giving the highest yield with the increase in the levels used, while the same table shows that the increase in phosphorous and potassium levels led to increasing the yield of one plant, as the level P_2 and K_3 gave the highest yield of 0.474 and 0.495 kg plant⁻¹

for the fall season, respectively, and 0.576 and 0.590 kg plant⁻¹ for the spring season, respectively, compared to the lowest yield, which was at the level P_1 , which was 0.446 and 0.545 kg plant⁻¹ for the two seasons in a row, and at K_2 it was 0.416 and 447 kg plant⁻¹ for the both seasons of the experiment Sequentially. The results in the same table show that the interactions between the study factors led to significant differences in this trait, as the interaction treatments N₃P₃, N₁K₃ and P_2K_1 and in the fall season gave the highest value of 0.506, 0.523 and 0.505 kg plant⁻¹, respectively, compared to the lowest value of the treatments N_1P_1 , N_1K_2 and P_1K_2 reached 0.420, 0.391 and 0.404 kg plant⁻¹ respectively, while in the spring season, the binary interactions N_2P_2 , N_1K_3 and P_1K_3 gave the highest yield of 0.599, 0.642 and 0.618 kg plant⁻¹, respectively, compared to 0.521, 0.522 and 0.503 kg plant⁻¹ at N_1P_1 , N_1K_2 and P_1K_1 treatments, respectively. With regard to the triple interaction between the levels of the studied factors, treatment $N_1P_2K_3$ excelled with the highest value of 0.584 and 665 kg plant⁻¹ for the two seasons respectively compared to treatment $N_1P_3K_2$ (0.367 kg plant⁻¹) in the fall season and treatment $N_1P_1K_1$ (0.436 kg plant⁻¹) in the spring season.

Table 6. Effect of changing nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and their interaction
on the marketable yield of potato plants (kg plant ⁻¹) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring

2021

				-0.					
Chamica	l fortilizors		Fall se	eason 2020/2	Spring season 2021				
Chemical fertilizers		K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_{2}	K ₃	N*P
	P ₁	0.426	0.398	0.436	0.420	0.437	0.504	0.623	0.521
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	0.502	0.408	0.584	0.498	0.588	0.498	0.666	0.584
	P ₃	0.425	0.368	0.549	0.447	0.549	0.563	0.638	0.583
	\mathbf{P}_1	0.447	0.438	0.568	0.484	0.480	0.492	0.623	0.532
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	0.553	0.400	0.490	0.481	0.652	0.580	0.565	0.599
	P ₃	0.458	0.392	0.419	0.423	0.541	0.616	0.573	0.577
	\mathbf{P}_1	0.448	0.378	0.483	0.436	0.592	0.548	0.610	0.583
N_3	\mathbf{P}_2	0.462	0.450	0.425	0.446	0.611	0.522	0.511	0.548
	P ₃	0.492	0.519	0.509	0.506	0.533	0.601	0.509	0.548
L.S.	D 0.05		0.045		0.026		0.043		0.025
	К	0.468	0.417	0.496		0.554	0.547	0.591	
L.S.	D 0.05		0.013				0.014		
Ň	*K	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Ν	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Ν
]	N_1	0.451	0.391	0.523	0.455	0.525	0.522	0.642	0.563
]	N_2	0.486	0.410	0.492	0.463	0.558	0.563	0.587	0.569
]	N_3	0.467	0.449	0.472	0.463	0.579	0.557	0.543	0.560
L.S.	D 0.05		0.026		N. S		0.025		N. S
Р)*K	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Р	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	K_3	Р
	P ₁	0.441	0.405	0.496	0.447	0.503	0.515	0.619	0.545
	P ₂	0.506	0.419	0.500	0.475	0.617	0.533	0.581	0.577
	P ₃	0.459	0.426	0.492	0.459	0.541	0.594	0.573	0.569
L.S.	D 0.05		0.026		0.013		0.025		0.014

Total yield (ton h⁻¹)

Results in Table7 shows that there is no significant effect of the change in nitrogen levels in the total yield for both seasons, while significant effects show when changing phosphorous levels in this trait, as the P_2 treatment gave the highest total yield of 26.12

and 33.37 ton h^{-1} for the fall and spring seasons, respectively. The lowest yield was produced from using P₁ which amounted to 24.84 and 31.76 ton h^{-1} , respectively, and the increases in potassium levels to K₃ led to a significant increases of the total yield, 27.38 and 34.13 ton h^{-1} for the both seasons, respectively, compared to the lowest yield, which was at the K_2 level. 23.07 and 31.91 ton h^{-1} for the two seasons, respectively. The two interactions also showed a significant effect on the total yield for both seasons, as the interaction treatments N_3P_3 , N_1K_3 and P_2K_1 excelled in the fall season with the highest yield of 27.81, 29.25 and 27.76 ton h^{-1} , respectively, compared to the lowest total yield, 23.29, 21.92 and 22.47 ton h^{-1} for treatments N₂P₃, N₁K₂ and P₁K₂ respectively, and from the same Table shows the superiority of the triple interaction treatment N₁P₂K₃ by producing the highest total yield of 32.44 and 37.64 ton h^{-1} for the fall and spring seasons, respectively, compared to the N₁P₃K₂ in the fall season (21.06 ton h^{-1}) and the treatment N₁P₁K₁ in Spring season (27.43 ton h^{-1}).=

Table 7. Effect of changes in nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and the interaction between them on the total yield of potato plants (ton per hectare) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021

Chami	Chemical fertilizers		Fall season 2020/2021				Spring season 2021				
		K ₁	K ₂	K_3	N*P	\mathbf{K}_{1}	K ₂	K ₃	N*P		
	P ₁	24.08	22.34	24.54	23.65	27.44	28.73	36.86	31.01		
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	27.51	22.36	32.45	27.44	34.94	29.43	37.64	34.00		
	\mathbf{P}_3	23.87	21.06	30.77	25.24	31.41	33.61	36.41	33.81		
	P ₁	24.64	23.98	31.18	26.60	29.24	28.28	35.42	30.98		
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	30.15	22.05	26.79	26.33	36.93	33.26	32.64	34.28		
	P ₃	25.18	21.83	22.88	23.30	31.27	36.34	33.16	33.59		
	P ₁	25.14	21.11	26.56	24.27	33.35	31.76	34.81	33.31		
N_3	P_2	25.65	24.65	23.51	24.60	35.43	30.17	29.92	31.84		
-	P ₃	27.37	28.32	27.77	27.82	30.42	35.63	30.02	32.02		
L.	L.S.D 0.05		3.37		1.94		4.00		2.30		
	Κ		23.08	27.38		32.27	31.91	34.10			
L.	L.S.D 0.05		1.12				1.33				
	N*K		\mathbf{K}_2	K_3	Ν	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K_3	Ν		
	N_1	25.15	21.92	29.25	25.44	31.26	30.59	36.97	32.94		
	N_2	26.66	22.62	26.95	25.41	32.48	32.63	33.74	32.95		
	N_3	26.05	24.69	25.95	25.56	33.07	32.52	31.58	32.39		
L.	L.S.D 0.05		1.94		n. s		2.30		n. s		
	P*K		\mathbf{K}_2	K_3	Р	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Р		
	P ₁		22.48	27.43	24.84	30.01	29.59	35.70	31.77		
\mathbf{P}_2		27.77	23.02	27.58	26.12	35.77	30.95	33.40	33.37		
	P ₃	25.47	23.74	27.14	25.45	31.03	35.19	33.20	33.14		
L.	S.D 0.05		1.94		1.12		2.30		1.33		

The percentage of dry matter in the tubers (%): Results in Table8 shows that the percentage of dry matter in tubers decreased with the increases in the level of nitrogen used in the study, as the level N_1 gave the highest percentage for both seasons, amounting to 21.10 and 21.30% for the two seasons, respectively, compared to the level N₃, which gave the lowest percentage, 19.13 and 20.45%, respectively. On the contrary, the increases in phosphorous and potassium levels led to an insignificant increases for phosphorous and a significant increase for potassium in this percentage, as the level P_3 gave the highest percentage of 20.55 and 20.90% for the two seasons, respectively, compared to the lowest percentage of 20.01% at P₂ in the season Fall and 20.58% at P_1 in the spring season, and K_3 gave the highest percentage of 20.73 and 21.18% for the two seasons, respectively, compared to the lowest percentage of 19.91 and 20.14% for K_1 for both seasons, respectively. The results of the same table

showed the significant effect of the binary interactions between the studied factors in this trait. In the fall season, treatment N_1P_2 gave the highest percentage of 21.81% compared to treatment N_3P_2 that gave 17.95%, and in the spring treatment N_1P_3 excelled with the highest rate of 21.49% compared to the lowest percentage of 19.74 % when treatment N_3P_1 , and treatment N_1K_3 gave the highest percentage of 21.39 and 21.63% for the two seasons, respectively, compared to the factor N_3K_1 which gave 18.71 and 19.33% for the two seasons respectively, and the treatment P_2K_3 in the fall season with the highest rate of 21.08% compared to 19.28% for P₂K₂ while it outperformed P_3K_2 treatment in the spring season had the highest rate of 21.39% compared to the lowest rate of 19.77% at P_1K_1 . The triple interaction between the studied factors showed a clear significant superiority of the treatment $N_1P_2K_3$ in the fall season with the highest percentage of 22.13%, compared to the lowest percentage of 16.03% at $N_3P_2K_2$,

and in the spring season $N_3P_2K_3$ outperformed with the highest percentage of dry matter amounted to 21.96%, which did not differed significantly with most of the interaction treatments, especially treatment $N_1P_2K_3$ compared to treatment $N_3P_1K_1$, which gave the lowest percentage of 18.54%. Perhaps the reason for the increases in vegetative growth indicators (Table 2, 3, 4) is the role of these elements in contributing to all the physiological processes necessary for plant growth, as nitrogen activates the vegetative growth of the plant by entering into the formation of proteins that are the basic compounds The amino acids, enters in the compounds of some growth hormones such as gibberellins and cytokinins (7) and in the synthesis of nucleic acids necessary for cell division, which leads to an increases widening and thus increases vegetative growth (25) as well as its role in increasing the process of carbon metabolism and respiration (20). Phosphorous also has a role in the formation of energy compounds necessary for vital processes, and it has a role in activating hormones and enzyme companions, increasing Т

the ability of roots to absorb nutrients from the soil, and facilitating the transfer of the products of the carbon metabolism process to effective growth areas, which contributes to increasing and revitalizing vegetative growth (9), and that potassium has a role in increasing the growth of plants through its important role in the processes of cell division and elongation, and it activates several enzymes responsible for building the basic materials in the plant structure (23). As for the reason for a significant increases in the quantitative and qualitative yield indicators by changing the levels of mineral fertilizers used, it may be due to the role of these elements in increasing of vegetative growth (Tables 2, 3, 4) through the increase in cell division and elongation, the formation of energy-rich compounds and the activation of enzymes necessary for biological processes within the plant (10), which encouraged the plant to increase the process of carbon metabolism and the formation of carbohydrates, and this reflected positively on the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the yield.

Table 8. Effect of changes in nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium levels and their interaction on the	
percentage of dry matter in potato tubers (%) for the fall season 2020/2021 and spring 2021	

percenta	age of dry m	atter in p							/		
Chemical fertilizers			Fall season 2020/2021				Spring season 2021				
		K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P	K ₁	K ₂	K ₃	N*P		
	\mathbf{P}_1	21.98	19.98	20.23	20.73	20.83	21.25	21.66	21.25		
N_1	\mathbf{P}_2	21.84	21.47	22.14	21.81	20.85	21.24	21.44	21.18		
	\mathbf{P}_3	19.48	21.00	21.82	20.77	21.13	21.57	21.80	21.50		
	\mathbf{P}_1	18.29	19.36	21.69	19.78	19.95	20.86	21.48	20.77		
N_2	\mathbf{P}_2	20.07	20.36	20.43	20.29	20.65	20.47	20.64	20.59		
	P ₃	21.43	21.52	20.57	21.18	19.86	21.58	19.35	20.26		
	P ₁	19.22	20.76	19.23	19.74	18.55	20.06	20.62	19.74		
N_3	\mathbf{P}_2	17.13	16.03	20.69	17.95	19.36	20.67	21.97	20.67		
	P ₃	19.80	19.48	19.84	19.71	20.09	22.04	21.72	21.28		
L.S.D 0.05			2.09		1.20		2.09		1.21		
K		19.92	20.00	20.74		20.14	21.08	21.19			
L.S.D 0.05			0.69				0.69				
N*K		K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Ν	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_2	K ₃	Ν		
N_1		21.10	20.82	21.40	21.10	20.94	21.36	21.63	21.31		
N_2		19.93	20.42	20.90	20.42	20.15	20.97	20.49	20.54		
N ₃		18.71	18.76	19.92	19.13	19.33	20.92	21.44	20.56		
L.S.D 0.05			1.20		0.69		1.21		0.69		
P*K		K ₁	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Р	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_2	\mathbf{K}_3	Р		
P ₁		19.83	20.03	20.38	20.08	19.78	20.72	21.25	20.58		
\mathbf{P}_2		19.68	19.29	21.09	20.02	20.29	20.80	21.35	20.81		
\mathbf{P}_{3}		20.24	20.67	20.74	20.55	20.36	21.73	20.95	21.01		
L.S.D 0.05			1.20		n. s		1.21		n. s		

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed. A. Z., L. Sharma, S. Bali, A. Buzzam and A. Alyokhin. 2023. Active optical sensors to develop nitrogen fertilizer

recommendations for potato crop. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(2):491-504. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i2.1725 2. Ali, N. S. 2012. Fertilizer Technologies And Their Uses. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. College of Agriculture -University of Baghdad.pp:121

3. Al-Asadi, M. H. S. 2019. Gen Stat for Agricultural Experiment Analysis. Al-Qasim Green University - Agriculture College. pp:303

4. Al-Bayati, J. M.; A. M. Salem and M. A. A. Al-Sheikh. 2020. Effect of organic and chemical fertilization on the growth and yield of three local potato cultivars. Divala Journal of Agricultural Sciences. a special issue of the of the Fourth and proceedings First International Scientific Conference on Agricultural Research.(12):571-584

5. Al-Dulaimi,N.,H.,A and N.,J.,K.,Al-Amri .2020. Impact of *Conocarpus erectus* L. fertilizer, and some micronutrients on growth and production of potato. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences 51(3):865-873 https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v51i3.1041

6. Al-Dulaimi,N.,H.,A and N.,J.,K.,Al-Amri .2020. Stimulation growth and yield of potato by buttonwood pruning residues and spraying several micronutrients. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences 51(4) : 1048-1057. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v51i4.1083

7. Al-Halfi, R. G.; S. Q. S. Al-Bayati and A. S. A. Al-Aqidi. 2016. Effect of nitrogenous fertilizer sources and anti-transpiration agents on potato roots and their concentration of nitrate, cytokinins and proline. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences.47(1):283-290.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v47i1.630

8. Al- Juthery, H.W. A. and Q. M. N. Al-Shami. 2019. The effect of fertigation with nano NPK fertilizers on some parameters of growth and yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). QJAS Al-Qadisiyah Journal For Agriculture Sciences ISSN: 2618-1479 Volume 9, No. 2: 225-232

9. Al-Mawsili, M. A. 2018. Complete in Fertilization and Fertilization. Soil, Plant and Water Analysis. Scientific Book House. Beirut, Lebanon. pp: 378

10. Al-Nuaimi, S. N. 2011. Principles of Plant Nutrition. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. University of Al Mosul. College of Agriculture and Forestry. pp:581

11. Al-Rubaie; A. H. S. and K. D. H. Al-Jubouri. 2023. Effect of tocopherol, trehalose

and soil improvement in water productivity and industrial potatoes under water stress. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(4):979-995.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i4.1787

12. Al-Rubaie; A. H. S. and K. D. H. Al-Jubouri. 2023. Response of growth and yield of industrial potatoes to soil improvement and spraying with tocopherol and trehalose under water stress. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(4):963-978.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i4.1786

13. AL- Zabee , M. R. and S. M. AL-Maliki. 2019. Effect of bioferilizers and chemical fertilizers on soil biological properties and potato yield. Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science-11 (1): 1-13

14. Al-Zaidi, M. A. H. and M. A. H. Al-Jumaili. 2022. Impact safe nutrients in raising production and chemical contents of potato. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 53(6):1397-1406.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v53i6.1655

15. Annon, A. H. and I. J. Abdulrasool. 2020. Effect of gamma radiation and ethyl methanesulfonate (ems) on potato salt stress tolerance *in vitro*. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 51(4):982-990.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v51i4.1076

16. Annon, A. H. and I. J. Abdulrasool. 2020. Performance and molecular analysis of potato lines developed from gamma rays and ems applications. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 51(5):1329-1336.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v51i5.1142

17. Clair, S. B. S. and J. P. Lynch. 2010. The opening of pandora's box: climate change impacts on soil fertility and crop nutrition in developing countries. Plant and Soil, 335(1-2): 101-115

18. Dreyer, I. 2014. Potassium (K+) in plants. Journal of Plant Physiology, 171(9): 655-655

19. Hassan, A. A. 2016. Fertilization of Vegetable Crops. Vegetable technology and Physiology Series. Faculty of Agriculture -Cairo University. Book publishing house. pp: 601

20. Hassan, A. A. 2021. Potatoes, a Superior Production Technology, Challenges and Means of Overcoming them. Faculty of Agriculture - Cairo University. pp:516 21. Hussein, M. J. and J. A. Abbas. 2016. Effect of organic fertilizer (palm frond residues) and chemical (N, P, K) on the growth and yield of (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Safrane cultivar. Kufa Journal of Agricultural Sciences.8(3): (134-150).

22. Jones, J. B. 2012. Plant Nutrition and Soil Fertility Manual. CRC press. Baghdad University. House of wisdom. pp:296

23. Khatib, M. A. 2007. Basics of Land Fertility and Fertilization. Faculty of Agriculture. Alescandria University. Book Publishing House. pp: 509

24. Kiran, C.; N. M. Changade and P. Sukul. 2021. A Review on Influence of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Potato. 10(5): 636-640

25. Kulikova, N. A.; A. D. Dashitsyrenova, I.
V. Perminova and G. F. Lebedeva .2003.
Auxin-like activity of different fractions of coal humic acids, Bulgarian J. Ecolog. Sci. 2(4): 55-56

26. Mahmoud, J. T. and N. A. Salman. 2019. Effect of organic fertilizer source and mineral fertilizer level on N, P and K concentration in leaves and total yield of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Rafidain Agriculture Journal. additional Volume (47), Number (3),Proceedings of the Third International Agricultural Conference, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul and College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Dohuk. 47(3):432-440 27. Mam-Rasul, G. A. 2020. Potassium adsorption in calcareous soils of Kurdistan region of Iraq. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 51(Special Issue):42-52.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v51iSpecial.881

28. Misgina, N. A.; F. Kebede and E. Abereha. 2016. Effect of potassium on tuber yield and yield component of potato (*Solanium tubersum* L.) on loamy soils of Atsbi-Wenberta, Tigray, Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare, 6(3): 49-56

29. Mokrani, K.; K. Hamdi and N. Tarchoun. 2018. Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Response to Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Fertilization Rates. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 49(11): 1314-1330 30. Moulin, A. P.; K. E. Buckley and K. Volkmar. 2011. Soil organic matter and aggregate stability under eight potato cropping sequences in a fi ne sandy loam of Prince Edward Island. Canadain Journal of Soil Science, 79: 411–417

31. Nouri, D. A. and S. H. Kazem. 2018. Effect of organic and mineral fertilizers and weed killers on the growth and yield of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Karbala Scientific Journal. Sixteenth volume. The third issue -Scientific.16(3):107-118

32. Pandey, S. K.; S. V. Singh and P. Manivel. 2009. Genetic variability and causal relationship over seasons in potato. Crop Research Hisar, 29: 277-281

33. Sadik, S. K.; A. A. AL-Taweel and N. S. Dhyeab. 2011. New computer program for estimating leaves area of several vegetable crops. American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 5(2):304-309

34. Shaker, U. B., and I. J. Abdul rasool. 2023. Role of organic fertilizer and boron foliar application on growth and productivity of potato for processing Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(5): 1478-1486.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i5.1847

35. Yudeh, M. Q. and J. T. Mahmoud. 2020. The role of organic fertilizer and the level of mineral fertilizer in some indicators of growth and yield of potato (*solanum tuberosum* L.). Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume (12) a special issue of the proceedings of the Fourth and First International Scientific Conference on Agricultural Research. (12):232-243

36. Zainaldeen M, and E. J. Abdul Rasool. 2018. Effect of foliar application of gibberellin and nutrients on growth and yield of potato var. "burren", Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences,49(2):168-176.

https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v49i2.232

37. Zainaldeen, M. A. and I. J. Abdul Rasool. 2023. Response of growth and yield of true potato seed plants to foliar application with organic nutrients. Fifth International Conference for Agricultural and Environment Sciences IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1158 042047.

DOI 10.1088/1755-1315/1158/4/042047