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#### Abstract

The research aims to study the optimal allocation of irrigation water that is used to irrigate various agricultural crops at the level of Iraq. In order to achieve the goal of the research, two economic models were formulated according to the linear programming technique. The first model aimed to minimize the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020), while the second model aimed to maximize the total profit margin of the water unit for the crop combination that minimizes the water needs during the same period. The first model was estimated with two proposed plans. The first proposed plan included non-imposing legislative restrictions related to the allocation of certain areas for basic agricultural crops that bias the food security requirements of the population, as well as crops that were recently banned from import by the Iraqi government, while the proposed second plan included the imposition and addition of restrictions of legislative areas that did not appear in the table of the optimal solution for the first plan. Results indicated that there is a surplus of water resource for the proposed economic plans according to the two estimated models, its quantity amounted to about $363.361,9.178$ million $\mathbf{m 3}$ for each plan, respectively, compared to the total amount of irrigation water needed for the current cropping combination, which was estimated at about 4.736726 billion m 3 during the average mentioned period. Results of the analysis of the two estimated models also showed a preference for the results of plans with legislative restrictions as a result of the expansion in the cultivation of most basic and important crops and vegetables for local consumption.
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تدنية الاحتياجات المائية بالزراعة العراقية في ظل التركيب المحصولي الراهن
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المستخلص
يستهوف البحث دراسة التخصيص الامثل لمياه الري المستخدمة في ارواء مختلف المحاصيل الزراعية على مستوى العراق. من اجل تحقيق هدف البحث
تم صياغة نموذجين النصاديين على وفق تقتية البرمجة الخطية، استهوف النموذ ج الاول تدنية الاحتياجات المائية التركيب المحصولي السائد خلال
متوسط المدة (2017 . 2020)، بينما (ستّهدف النموذج الثانتي تعظيم اجمالي هامش ربع وحدة المياه للتركيب المحصولي الذي يدني الاحتياجات
المائية خلال المدة نفسها. قدر النموذج الاول بواقع خطتين مقترحة، تضمنت الخطة الاولى المقترحة عدم فرض القيود التشريعية المتعلقة بتخصيص
مساحات معينة للمحاصيل الزراعية الاساسية ذات المساس بمتطلبات الامن الغذائي للسكان وكذلك المحاصيل التي منع استيرادها مؤخرا من قبل
الحكومة العراقيةً، اما الخطة الثانية المقترحة فقد تضمنت فرض واضافة قيود المساحات التشريعية التي لم تظهر في جدول الحل الامثل للخطة الاولى.
اشثارت النتائج المقدرة الى ان هناك فائضا من مورد المياه للخطط الاقتصادية المقترحة على وفق النموذجين المقدريين، بلغت كميته نحو 363.361،
9.178 مليون مترمكعب لكل خطة على الترتيب مقارنة باجمالي كمية مياه الري اللازمـة للتركيب المحصولـي الراهن والتي قدرت على نـو 4.736726
مليار م3 خلال متوسط المدة المذكورة. كما اشارت نتائـج تحليل النموذجين المقدريين الى تفضيل نتائج الخطط ذو القيود التشريعية نتيجة للتوسع في
زراعة اغلب المحاصيل والخضر الاساسية والمهمـة للاستهلوك المحلي مع احتياجات اقل من الموارد المائية المتاحة.
كلمات مفتاحية: المقنن المائي، المحاصيل الزراعية، التخصيص الامثل، هامش ربح وحدة المياه، العرلق.
*البحث مستّل من رسالة الماجستير للباحث الاول

## INTRODUCTION

The economic use of agricultural productive resources, including the water resource, is one of the most important goals of economic development in all countries of the world, especially in light of the limited and scarcity of most of these productive elements, which necessitates the need for efficient and optimal use of those resources, as water resources in the world are subjected to great continuous pressures as a result of large and rapid increase in the use of water in light of limited and sometimes non-renewable resources, and the emergence of new lifestyle and industrial patterns, led to a significant escalation in the consumption of these resources, causing an imbalance between the available water resources and the actual increasing demand for them (18). The optimal use of water resources in Iraqi agriculture is one of the main economic issues of interest to decision-makers and those responsible for setting agricultural water policies in Iraq, where the use of water resources is one of the important issues facing Iraqi society in light of the current situation (20), due to the stability and scarcity of these resources resulting from the decline in the levels of the Tigris and Euphrates rivers in recent years on the one hand, and the increased needs required of them on the other hand, especially with the continuous population growth and the requirements of economic and social development plans and programs in the field of agriculture and horizontal expansion, in order to establish many agricultural projects that require the provision of water it is necessary for them (2). Therefore and due to the increasing gap between the available and required water resources over time, it has become necessary to study the management and use of those resources in a way that maximizes the return and decreases the necessary needs of them. Iraq depend on the Tigris and Euphrates rivers as a main source of water, as most of Iraq's water quantities come from the Tigris and its tributaries, as these quantities arrange the first place with a percentage of $70 \%$, or approximately three quarters of the total water quantities, followed by the Euphrates river quantities at a rate of about $29 \%$ ( $1 \& 12$ ). The agricultural sector in Iraq is also one of the most important
economic sectors that use water resources, where it consumes about $86 \%$ of the total water uses at the local level, followed by environmental uses at about $6 \%$ (11). The research problem lies in the extent to which the current cropping combination is in consistent with the proposed cropping combination, which it is possible to minimize water needs and achieve the maximum possible profit margin in light of the set of restrictions, limitations and available resources, therefore the research problem can be formulated in the following questions:
1 What is the structure of the crop combination that minimizes water needs in Iraqi agriculture?
2- Is it possible to achieve a crop combination that minimizes water needs on the one hand, and the same time maximizes the total profit margin of the water unit on the other hand? The scarcity of water and the increased demand for its uses requires comprehensive planning to give the water resource a priority by laying the foundations for minimizing the water needs of agricultural crops; the greater consumer of the available water resource than the various economic sectors (4), the feasible option to overcome this problem is to reallocate those resources optimally under the prevailing cropping combination. This research aims to achieve sub-objectives that focus on finding the best alternatives for the optimal allocation of irrigation water use in Iraqi agriculture. These objectives are as follows:

1. To study the reality of water needs for various agricultural crops in Iraq.
2. To determine the optimum crop combination that minimizes the water needs of the current crop combination during the average period (2017-2020).
3. To determine the optimal crop combination that maximizes the profit margin of the water unit for the crop grouping that minimizes the water needs during the average period (20172020).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Theoretical framework: The research is based on the linear programming technique, which is a quantitative analytical method that was used in the natural and engineering sciences before it was used in the social and
administrative sciences, and it is one of the confirmed models and not a probabilistic model. Linear programming is one of the branches and types of mathematical programming; where it is a mathematical method for allocating scarce resources to achieve a goal within certain conditions or restrictions expressed by linear equations (19). Linear programming is also known as a scientific mathematical method concerned by dealing with the problem of resources allocation or specific energies to achieve a specific goal, and this goal is expressed by a linear function called the objective function; this function is often a profit function, a cost function, a productive capacity function, and others (3). The term programming means a set of specific and sequential mathematical steps to achieve a specific aim with optimal results by choosing the optimal alternative from among a group of available alternatives, while the term linear means that the relationship among the variables is linked by linear mathematical functions that can be represented by a straight line (8). Accordingly, the linear programming technique is based on a set of assumptions, namely (20):
1- Linearity: Linear programming assumes that there are linear relationships among the variables of the problem to be solved and applied to them. That is, the assumption here is that the variables of the problem are of the first order; That is, with one power, it cannot be raised to more than one, and accordingly, the relationship between the objective function and the constraints is straight or linear.
2- Addition or the possibility of collect: This means that the quantities of raw materials involved in production, and production quantities are subject to addition, and it is required that there should be no intersection among production activities. In other words, the sum of the outcomes of production activities is the sum of the outcomes of each production activity separately within the single plan.
3- Limitation: This assumption means limited resources and activities, as there is no infinite number of alternative activities and available resources.
4- Non-negative activity size: Means that the values of the decision variables must be
positive (non-negative), as this constraint is consistent with the logic of the objective function to be maximized or minimized, which is already present; where it is impossible to deal with it in the case of null or negative.
5- Independence: Choosing any activity does not necessarily involve choosing another activity, this indicates to the independence of the productive elements.
6- Knowledge and complete certainty: Linear programming assumes that all variables, their number technical coefficient values, as well as the constraints, are known and defined before solving them.
The formulation of linear programming models includes three basic components, namely (5)

1. Objective function: It means defining the target function, which requires expressing it in a quantitative manner. The function is placed in the form of a mathematical equation to express maximizing the profit margin or output, or minimizing costs. The possibility of expressing the goal in any problem depends on the type and nature of the problem to be solved using this method. The objective function can be expressed mathematically as follows:

$$
\text { Max. } O R \text { Min. } \mathrm{Z}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Cj} \mathrm{Xj}
$$

Where Z represents the total objective function (maximizing or minimizing), Cj represents the unit of return or cost achieved from one dunum of productive activity $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{Xj}$ represents the set of agricultural activities included in the plan.
2. Constraints and limitations: Due to the limited economic resources, the amount of each resource is used as a constraint or limiter in linear programming models. The constraints are the various factors that limit the use of any of the alternative activities that can be used to solve the problem, whether they express the available amount of resource or from other physical, economic or social constraints. The constraints are formulated from the data of the linear programming problem and based on the technical production relations. The matrix of resources or constraints can be expressed mathematically as follows:
Subject To:
$\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Aij} \mathrm{Xj} \leq O R \geq \mathrm{Ri} \quad \mathrm{i}=1 \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~m}$
Where Aij represents the matrix of technical coefficients for the set of resources and constraints included in the plan, and it means the requirements or needs of one dunum of the agricultural crop j from the economic resource i, RI represents the available quantities from the set of resources under study.
3. Non-negative constraint: Economic variables such as production levels and area units cannot take negative values, and these variables have no economic significance if they take negative values. Therefore, the nonnegative constraint is placed to avoid economic variables taking negative values, so they take positive values or equal to zero:

$$
\mathrm{Xj} \geq 0
$$

Study data: In order to achieve the objectives of the research, the study depend on secondary data for the average time period (2017-2020) for the following three agricultural seasons:
1- Data of the agricultural season 2017-2018 (winter - summer)
2- Data of the agricultural season 2018-2019 (winter - summer)
3- Data of the agricultural season 2019-2020 (winter - summer)
These agricultural seasons included a group of the dominant vegetables and crops grown at the country level. The basic information and data were the total areas of the study crops during the seasons referred to, the variable costs and the total returns achieved per dunum of each crop, as well as the available quantities of irrigation water at the level of Iraq and the needs of one dunum of various crops from the water unit. These data were obtained from their various local sources, which included the Ministry of Planning, the Ministry of Agriculture and the Ministry of Water Resources, ( 9 \& 10 \& 13), in addition to previous published scientific research and studies related to the subject of the research.
Study models: The research is based on the quantitative mathematical method using the linear programming technique in analyzing the data and extracting the required results by using the statistical program Win QSB (6). With the same line of previous studies and references (7 \& 18), two basic economic
models have been formulated and analyzed according to the linear programming technique, each of them targeting the rational use of limited water resources. These models take the following mathematical forms:
The first model // linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020), as this model includes the following plans:
The first plan - a linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) without including the legislative restrictions, this model consists of:
1- Objective function: The main objective of this model is to minimizes the water needs per dunum of the optimum crop structure, as well as detecting the amount of shortage in the water resource, meaning that it requires choosing a group of agricultural crops that can minimize the water needs, taking into account the limitations of land, water, and the labor force. The first plan of this model includes forty agricultural crops (18 winter crops, 19 summer crops, and 3 perennial crops).
2- A set of restrictions and limitations: it includes:
A - Land resource constraints: It includes four restrictions, the first of which is related to the total arable areas at the level of Iraq with excluding the areas of gardens, palms and areas of the Kurdistan region, which are not included in the model analysis. The second constraint is related to the total area of winter crops, while the third constraint is related to the total area of summer crops. And the last constraint, the fourth, is related to the total area of perennial crops.
B - Water resource constraints: It was assumed that the amount of irrigation water for the crops of the model under study does not exceed the total amount of available irrigation water, as 12 water restrictions were formulated representing the total monthly water needs for the cropping activities included in the model, where the total amount of available water was distributed according to the months of the year or the agricultural season.
C- Labor force restrictions: It was assumed that the number of working days for the
agricultural crops under study does not exceed the total number of available working days, as 4 restrictions for agricultural labor were formulated, representing the total quarterly manual labor needs of the agricultural crops included in the model, where the total number of available working days was distributed according to the four seasons of year.
The second plan - a linear programming model that reduces the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) with including the legislative restrictions. This model consists of the same components of the first plan with the addition of a set of legislative or regulatory restrictions that represent the areas of the group of crops that farmers must cultivation, as well as the areas of crops that were recently banned from being imported by the Iraqi Ministry of Agriculture (in the event that they do not appear in the results of the first plan of the model).
The second model // linear programming model that maximizes the profit margin of the water unit for the crop combination that minimizes water needs during the average period (2017-2020) with obligation the legislative restrictions, where this model consists of:
1- Objective function: The main objective of this model is to maximize the total profit margin per cubic meter of irrigation water for the optimal crop combination that minimizes water needs. The matter requires choosing a group of agricultural crops that can maximize the total profit margin per cubic meter of water and minimize water needs, taking into account land, water and human constraints. The plan of this model includes a group of agricultural crops that will appear in the results of the matrix analysis of the simplex table of the first model, which are the crops that require the least possible amount of water. The profit margin for one cubic meter of irrigation water for each crop was calculated by dividing the profit margin for one dunum (displayed in Table 1) by the quantity of needs per dunum of irrigation water during the season ( $11 \& 13$ ).
2- A set of restrictions and limitations: it includes:
A - Land resource constraints: It includes four restrictions, the first of which is related to the
total arable areas at the level of Iraq by excluding the areas of gardens, palms and areas of the Kurdistan region, which are not included in the model analysis. The second constraint is related to the total area of winter crops, while the third constraint is related to the total area of summer crops. And the last constraint, the fourth, is related to the total area of perennial crops.
B - Water resources constraints It was assumed that the amount of irrigation water for the crops of the model under study does not exceed the total amount of available irrigation water, as 12 water restrictions were formulated representing the total monthly water needs for the cropping activities included in the model, where the total amount of available water was distributed according to the months of the year or the agricultural season.
C- Human labor restrictions: It was assumed that the number of working days for the agricultural crops under study does not exceed the total number of available working days, as 4 restrictions for agricultural labor were formulated, representing the total quarterly manual labor needs of the agricultural crops included in the model, where the total number of available working days was distributed according to the four seasons of year.
D - A set of legislative or regulatory restrictions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First // the total water needs of the current cropped area in Iraq during the average period (2017-2020): The degree of exploitation of the agricultural area in various rotated agricultural activities leads to an increase in the cropped area of those agricultural activities; therefore the degree of exploitation of the unit area will inevitably affect the needs of the unit of the cultivated area from water resources. The data presented in Table 1 indicate that the total rates of areas planted with various field and vegetable crops at the level of Iraq during the average period (2017-2020) amounted to about 7.554 million dunums, and the water needs for this plan were estimated at about 4.737 billion m3 out of a total of 35.793 billion m 3 available for agricultural use during the same mentioned period. Table 1 also shows that the prevailing or actual crop structure at the level of Iraq
during three agricultural seasons (2017-2020) consists of forty agricultural crops, and this crop structure achieved a total profit margin estimated at 1029.35838 billion Iraqi dinars with a total profit margin rate per cubic meter of water amounted to about 8751.1 dinars per dunum and about 1.704 billion dinars for the total cultivated areas. The actual crop plan will be used in finding the proposed solutions by the linear programming technique, and the total water needs resulting from it will be compared with the water needs required by the optimal cropping combination that will be produced by the results of the analysis of the linear programming models according to the simplex algorithm.
Second // Results of the analysis of the optimal allocation of water resources in the Iraqi agricultural sector
1- Results of the analysis of the linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020):
First Plan // Results of the analysis of the linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) without including the legislative restrictions:
The results of the analysis of this model (as shown in Table 2) displayed that the total objective function amounted to about 2 billion cubic metres, which means that this plan has achieved the goal of minimizing the water
needs necessary for the prevailing crop grouping. And it is noted that four agricultural crops only appear in this plan, which are: Chickpeas (X4), green beans (X23), dry beans (X29) and millet seeds (X40). Where this model suggests an increase and then expansion of the cultivated areas of the mentioned crops, as follows:

- Winter crops group: The model suggests an increase in chickpea crop areas by about 6.355400 million dunums
- Summer crops group: The model suggests increasing the areas of the dry bean crop by about 1,024266 million dunums, and reducing the areas planted with green beans by about 9,616 thousand dunums
- Perennial crops group: The model suggests an expansion of the areas planted with millet by about 153.612 thousand dunums.
By comparing the economic indicators of the actual crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) and the results of the proposed solution for this plan (refer to Table 4), it is note that the profit margin of water unit per dunum for the prevailing cropping combination amounted to about 226 dinars per cubic meter, while the profit margin of water unit per dunum for the proposed combination amounted to about 507 dinars per cubic meter, where the difference between the profit margin of water unit per dunum of the actual and proposed is about 281 Iraqi dinars, with an increase of about $124.3 \%$ compared to the current cropping combination.

Table 1. The total water needs and the total profit margin of the water unit according to the cultivated areas at the level of Iraq during the

| No. | Crops | Cultivated area / dunums | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { water } \\ \text { ration rate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { dunum } / \mathrm{m} 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total water needs/million m3 | Profit margin of dunum / dinar | Gross profit margin per unit of water / dinar m3 | No. | Crops | Cultivated area / dunums | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annual } \\ \text { water } \\ \text { ration rate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { dunum } / \mathrm{m} 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total water needs/million m3 | Profit margin of dunum / dinar | Gross profit margin per unit of water / dinar m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wheat | 4567000 | 562.6 | 2569.3942 | 127278 | 226.231781 | 21 | Tomatoes | 74239.66667 | 846.4 | 62.83645387 | 41499 | 49.08908318 |
| 2 | Barley | 1714000 | 437.2 | 749.3608 | 114004 | 260.7593779 | 22 | Cucumber | 61388.6 | 730.8 | 44.86278888 | 71322 | 97.59441708 |
| 3 | Pistachios | 3377.5 | 1355 | 4.5765125 | 170161 | 125.5800738 | 23 | Green Beans | 9627.5 | 342.1 | 3.29356775 | 71991 | 210.4384683 |
| 4 | Chickpeas | 389 | 271.8 | 0.1057302 | 150388 | 553.3038999 | 24 | Eggplant | 29017 | 582.2 | 16.8936974 | 71703 | 123.1587083 |
| 5 | Lentil | 19 | 271.8 | 0.0051642 | 130188 | 478.9845475 | 25 | Zucchini | 8363.266667 | 609.6 | 5.09824736 | 180135 | 295.4970472 |
| 6 | Turnip | 6971.5 | 563.3 | 3.92704595 | 68462 | 121.5373691 | 26 | Okra | 28523.83333 | 1456.8 | 41.5535204 | 246269 | 169.0479132 |
| 7 | Beetle | 2097.333 | 540.15 | 1.132874582 | 98239 | 181.8735536 | 27 | Watermelon | 56511.33333 | 790.4 | 44.66655784 | 35512 | 44.9291498 |
| 8 | Carrots | 1381.333 | 464.7 | 0.641905585 | 62759 | 135.0527222 | 28 | Melon | 37815.33333 | 790.4 | 29.88923944 | 76220 | 96.43218623 |
| 9 | Cauliflower | 4295 | 517 | 2.220515 | 103580 | 200.3481625 | 29 | Dry Beans | 13132 | 271.8 | 3.5692776 | 71709 | 263.8300221 |
| 10 | Cabbage | 3430 | 609.6 | 2.090928 | 85564 | 140.3608924 | 30 | Cotton | 79 | 1309.2 | 0.1034268 | 98816 | 75.4781546 |
| 11 | Spinach | 2111 | 540.15 | 1.14025665 | 129273 | 239.3279645 | 31 | Sesame | 7872.5 | 662.8 | 5.217893 | 172593 | 260.3998189 |
| 12 | Lettuce | 16802.5 | 464.7 | 7.80812175 | 82626 | 177.8050355 | 32 | Sun Flower | 767 | 883.2 | 0.7039104 | 156441 | 177.1297554 |
| 13 | Green Onion | 23748.33 | 784.2 | 18.62344297 | 132397 | 168.8306554 | 33 | Potato | 44510.66667 | 670.4 | 29.83995094 | 68682 | 102.449284 |
| 14 | Collard Green | 2635.5 | 540.15 | 1.423565325 | 73206 | 135.5290197 | 34 | Dry Onion | 22001.16667 | 784.2 | 17.2533149 | 133536 | 170.283091 |
| 15 | Radish | 3910.5 | 464.7 | 1.81720935 | 80382 | 172.9761136 | 35 | Yellow Corn | 229106 | 1286.2 | 294.6761372 | 11495 | 8.937179288 |
| 16 | Beans | 1714.333 | 412.4 | 0.796650685 | 383678 | 825.6466538 | 36 | Sorghum | 123637 | 1041.8 | 128.8050266 | 404851 | 388.6072183 |
| 17 | Garlic | 1777.666 | 784.2 | 1.3940462 | 216914 | 276.6054578 | 37 | Mung bean | 13747.5 | 815.2 | 11.206962 | 117163 | 143.7230128 |
| 18 | Peas | 128 | 342.1 | 0.0437888 | 229808 | 671.7567963 | 38 | Hay | 113924.6667 | 1900 | 216.4568667 | 54989 | 28.94157895 |
| 19 | Rice | 266534 | 1400.8 | 373.3608272 | 546695 | 390.2734152 | 39 | Clover | 39687.66667 | 662.8 | 26.30498547 | 71844 | 108.3946892 |
| 20 | Pepper | 10536.66 | 904.4 | 9.529361336 | 180242 | 199.2945599 | 40 | Millet Seeds | 7290 | 562.6 | 4.101354 | 143252 | 254.6249556 |
|  | Total | 7554101 | - | 4736.726125 | - | 8751.063 |  |  | 7554101 | - | 4736.726125 | - | 8751.063 |

Total profit margin for the total cultivated areas at the level of Iraq (Iraqi dinars) = SUM (the area planted with the crop x dunum profit margin for the crop) $=\mathbf{1 0 2 9 3 5 8 3 8 0 0 0 0}$
Summation profit margin of the water unit for the total cultivated areas at the level of Iraq (Iraqi dinar) $=$ SUM (the area planted with the crop $x$ the profit margin of the dunum water unit for the crop) = 1704405110.16

Source: Organized by the researchers based on the data obtained from the ministries of agriculture, planning and water resources, and according to the following equations:
The total water needs of the crop = the rationed water rate of the crop per dunum $X$ the total area planted with the crop
The total profit margin of the water unit for the crop $=$ the total profit margin of the dunum $\div$ the water rationing rate of the crop per dunum
The total profit margin of the dunum for the crop = the total revenue of the dunum - the total cost of the supplies of the dunum

The working hours required for the actual combination amounted to about 234.172 million hours, while it was estimated at about 876 million man-hours for the proposed combination, with an increase of about $274 \%$ compared to the current cropping combination. The results of this plan also displayed that there is a surplus in the quantities of water available for use, estimated at 33.683 billion cubic meters, which can be used in agricultural fields or other sectors, in contrast, the results showed that there is a shortage in the resource of the labor force, where the total shortage in hours of human work is about 872.522 million hours, divided into three seasons: the first seasons, the second seasons, and the fourth seasons, which makes it difficult to fill or compensate this shortage in light of the scarcity of this productive element. Although the plan of this model has achieved the goal of minimizing the water needs necessary for the proposed cropping combination by a difference of about 2.636 billion cubic meters, with a decrease of about $56 \%$ compared to the water needs necessary for the actual crop combination, but it is noted that the plan of this model suggests an expansion in the cultivated areas of field and fodder crops in excess of the actual demand for them at the country level, in addition to the risk conditions that accompany the cultivation of large areas of these rapidly perishable crops. It is also clear from the results the absence of major agricultural crops that affect the food security of the population, such as wheat, barley, rice, and yellow corn, as well as the absence of most of the vegetable crops that were recently banned from being imported by the Iraqi government, such as tomatoes, cucumbers, eggplant, cabbage, cauliflower, carrots, potatoes, lettuce, garlic, zucchini, peppers, turnip, beetle and green onions. Therefore, this plan is illogical and cannot be applied on the ground due to the absence of all the main crops and important crops for the local consumption. Of course, the results of this plan give a clear indication of the agricultural crop that needs
the least amount of water, and this is not always the possible crop under the technical, economic and legislative restrictions and limitations associated with the optimal economic use of the available resources. Second Plan // Results of the analysis of the linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) with including the legislative restrictions: As an attempt to improve the results of solving the first plan, a set of legislative or regulatory restrictions for the components of the previous plan was included and added. These restrictions relate to the allocation of certain agricultural areas for some field and vegetable crops that did not appear in the results of the first plan, as follows:
1- Wheat area $(\mathrm{X} 1)=4567000$ dunums
2- Barley area $(\mathrm{X} 2)=1714000$ dunums
3- Yellow corn area $(\mathrm{X} 35)=229106$ dunums
4- Tomatoes area $(\mathrm{X} 21)=74240$ dunums
5- Cucumber area $(\mathrm{X} 22)=61389$ dunums
6 - Cabbage area $(10)=3430$ dunums
7- Cauliflower area $(9)=4295$ dunums
8 - Potato area $(X 33)=44511$ dunams
9 - Carrots area $(\mathrm{X} 8)=1382$ dunams
10- Lettuce area $(\mathrm{X} 12)=16,803$ dunums
11- Garlic area $(X 17)=1778$ dunums
12- Zucchini area $(X 25)=8364$ dunums
13- Pepper area $(\mathrm{X} 20)=10537$ dunums
14- Beetle area $(X 7)=2098$ dunums
15- Eggplant area $(X 24)=29017$ dunums
16- Rice area $(\mathrm{X} 19)=266,534$ dunums
17- Turnip area $(\mathrm{X} 6)=6971.5$ dunums
18- Green onions area $(X 13)=23748$ dunums
Table 3 shows the results of the analysis of the constrained linear programming model with the aim of minimizing the water needs of the current cropping combination and in light of the areas proposed in the plan. It is noted that the cultivation of basic crops and vegetables has been expanded, which led to an increase in the amount of water needs necessary for the new combination, where the total objective function was about 4.373 billion cubic meters, with twenty-one agricultural crops that are:

Table 2. The results of the analysis of linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during
the average period (2017-2020) without including the legislative restrictions

| No. | Decision Variable | Solution Value | UnitWater c(j) | Total Contribution | Constraint | Left Hand Side | Right Hand Side | Slack or Surplus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X1 | Wheat | 0 | 562.6000 | 0 | Total area | 7554101.00 | 7,554,101.0000 | 0 |
| X2 | Barley | 0 | 437.2000 | 0 | Winter area | 6355789.00 | 6,355,789.0000 | 0 |
| X3 | Pistachios | 0 | 1,355.0000 | 0 | Summer area | 1037410.00 | 1,037,410.0000 | 0 |
| X4 | Chickpeas | 6,355,789 | 271.8000 | 1,727,503,000 | Perennial area | 160902.00 | 160,902.3000 | 0 |
| X5 | Lentil | 0 | 271.8000 | 0 | Water Jan. | 46994140.00 | 2,502,835,000.0000 | -2455840860.00 |
| X6 | Turnip | 0 | 563.3000 | 0 | Water Feb. | 46994140.00 | 2,630,189,000.0000 | -2583194860.00 |
| X7 | Beetle | 0 | 540.1500 | 0 | Water Mar. | 630373000.00 | 2,598,584,000.0000 | -1968211000.00 |
| X8 | Carrots | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Apr. | 630373000.00 | 2,428,877,000.0000 | -1798504000.00 |
| X9 | Cauliflower | 0 | 517.0000 | 0 | Water May | 583378800.00 | 2,911,956,000.0000 | -2328577200.00 |
| X10 | Cabbage | 0 | 609.6000 | 0 | Water Jun. | 7543622.00 | 2,987,539,000.0000 | -2979995378.00 |
| X11 | Spinach | 0 | 540.1500 | 0 | Water Jul | 7544292.00 | 2,813,391,000.0000 | -2805846708.00 |
| X12 | Lettuce | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Aug. | 7544292.00 | 3,289,248,000.0000 | -3281703708.00 |
| X13 | Green Onion | 0 | 784.2000 | 0 | Water Sep. | 7544292.00 | 3,622,268,000.0000 | -3614723708.00 |
| X14 | Collard Green | 0 | 540.1500 | 0 | Water Oct. | 46994140.00 | 3,530,667,000.0000 | -3483672860.00 |
| X15 | Radish | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Nov. | 46994140.00 | 3,270,067,000.0000 | -3223072860.00 |
| X16 | Beans | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Dec. | 46994140.00 | 3,207,116,000.0000 | -3160121860.00 |
| X17 | Garlic | 0 | 784.2000 | 0 | Labor 1 | 437923000.00 | 1,334,604.0000 | 4365888396.00 |
| X18 | Peas | 0 | 342.1000 | 0 | Labor 2 | 319661700.00 | 1,317,218.0000 | 318344482.00 |
| X19 | Rice | 0 | 1,400.8000 | 0 | Labor 3 | 1302.90 | 1,302,901.0000 | -1301598.10 |
| X20 | Pepper | 0 | 904.4000 | 0 | Labor 4 | 118892200.00 | 1,302,901.0000 | 117589299.00 |
| X21 | Tomatoes | 0 | 846.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X22 | Cucumber | 0 | 730.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X23 | Green Beans | 11.73785 | 342.1000 | 4,016 |  |  |  |  |
| X24 | Eggplant | 0 | 582.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X25 | Zucchini | 0 | 609.6000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X26 | Okra | 0 | 1,456.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X27 | Watermelon | 0 | 790.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X28 | Melon | 0 | 790.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X29 | Dry Beans | 1,037,398 | 271.8000 | 281,964,800 | Objective Function (Min. $)=\mathbf{2 , 0 9 9}, 995,707$ |  |  |  |
| X30 | Cotton | 0 | 1,309.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X31 | Sesame | 0 | 662.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X32 | Sun Flower | 0 | 883.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X33 | Potato | 0 | 670.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X34 | Dry Onion | 0 | 784.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X35 | Yellow Corn | 0 | 1,286.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X36 | Sorghum | 0 | 1,041.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X37 | Mung bean | 0 | 815.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X38 | Нау | 0 | 1,900.0000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X39 | Clover | 0 | 662.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X40 | Millet Seeds | 160,902 | 562.6000 | 90,523,460 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Organized by the researchers based on the results obtained using the statistical program WIN QSB
-Winter crops group: It includes wheat (X1), barley (X2), chickpeas (X4), turnip (X6), beetle (X7), carrots (X8), cauliflower (X9), cabbage (X10), lettuce (X12), green onions (X13) and garlic (X17). Where the total areas exploited with winter crops amounted to about $6,355,789$ dunums, and the total annual water needs were estimated at about $3,360476645 \mathrm{~m} 3$.

- Summer crops group: It includes rice (X19), peppers (X20), tomatoes (X21), cucumbers (X22), eggplants (X24), zucchini (X25), dry beans (X29), potatoes (X33) and maize (X35). Where the total areas exploited with summer crops amounted to about 1037410 dunums, with annual water needs estimated at about 922364953 m 3
- Perennial crops group: includes the millet crop (X40) only, with a total area estimated at about 160902.3 dunums
By comparing the results of the economic indicators (reference to Table 4) between the actual crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) and the proposed combination according to the solution of the second plan, it is noted that the total profit margin of the water unit for the current combination amounted to about 1.704 billion Iraqi dinars, while the total profit margin of the water unit for the proposed the proposed combination amounted to about 1.750 billion Iraqi dinars, where the difference between the profit margin of the water unit of the current and proposed combination is about 46 million Iraqi dinars, an increase of about $3 \%$ compared to the current cropping combination. The total amount of irrigation water needed for the
proposed cropping combination amounted to about 4.373 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, while the total amount of irrigation water needed for the current cropping combination was about 4.736 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, where the difference between the actual and proposed amount of irrigation water amounted to about 363.361 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, a decrease of about $8 \%$ compared to the current crop combination. As for the volume of labor used in the current cropping combination, it was estimated at 234.171 million working hours, while the total volume of labor used in the proposed combination was estimated at 202.358 million working hours, which means that the volume of labor used for the proposed cropping combination has achieved a decrease of about $14 \%$ compared to its counterpart used for the current cropping combination during the average period (2017-2020). Although this estimated model suggests an increase in the areas of chickpea crops by $3572 \%$, dry sorghum by $2289 \%$, and millet by $2107 \%$, compared to their counterpart actually cultivated during the average period (20172020), which means expansion by more than the necessary need for these crops, however, the results of this plan are logical from an economic viewpoint, due to the increase in the profit margin rate for a unit of water per dunum to about 232 dinars per cubic meter of water, and the reduction of water needs in the proposed cropping combination to about 4.373 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, in addition to the existence of most of the basic crops and vegetables for consumption.

Table 3. The results of the analysis of linear programming model that minimizes the water needs of the prevailing crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) with including the legislative restrictions

| No. | Decision Variable | Solution Value | UnitWater $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{j})$ | Total Contributi on | Constraint | Left Hand Side | Right Hand Side | Slack or Surplus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X1 | Wheat | 4,567,000 | 562.6000 | 2569394000.00 | Total area | 7554101.00 | 7,554,101.0000 | 0 |
| X 2 | Barley | 1,714,000 | 437.2000 | 749360800.00 | Winter area | 6355789.00 | 6,355,789.0000 | 0 |
| X3 | Pistachios | 0 | 1,355.0000 | 0 | Summer area | 1037410.00 | 1,037,410.0000 | 0 |
| X4 | Chickpeas | 14,285.390 | 271.8000 | 3882769.00 | Perennial area | 160902.3000 | 160,902.3000 | 0 |
| X5 | Lentil | 0 | 271.8000 | 0 | Water Jan. | 572295100.00 | 2,502,835,000.0000 | -1930539900.00 |
| X6 | Turnip | 6,971.500 | 563.3000 | 3927046.00 | Water Feb. | 574623000.00 | 2,630,189,000.0000 | -2055566000.00 |
| X7 | Beetle | 2,097.333 | 540.1500 | 1132874.00 | Water Mar. | 659569700.00 | 2,598,584,000.0000 | -1939014300.00 |
| X8 | Carrots | 1,381.333 | 464.7000 | 641905.50 | Water Apr. | 659569700.00 | 2,428,877,000.0000 | -1769307300.00 |
| X9 | Cauliflower | 4,295 | 517.0000 | 2220515.00 | Water May | 170298800.00 | 2,911,956,000.0000 | -2741657200.00 |
| X10 | Cabbage | 3,430 | 609.6000 | 2090928.00 | Water Jun. | 165306900.00 | 2,987,539,000.0000 | -2822232100.00 |
| X11 | Spinach | 0 | 540.1500 | 0 | Water Jul | 117055700.00 | 2,813,391,000.0000 | -2696335300.00 |
| X12 | Lettuce | 16,802.500 | 464.7000 | 7808122.00 | Water Aug. | 169309400.00 | 3,289,248,000.0000 | -3119938600.00 |
| X13 | Green Onion | 23,748.330 | 784.2000 | 18623440.00 | Water Sep. | 78471410.00 | 3,622,268,000.0000 | -3543796590.00 |
| X14 | Collard Green | 0 | 540.1500 | 0 | Water Oct. | 23301990.00 | 3,530,667,000.0000 | -3507365010.00 |
| X15 | Radish | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Nov. | 587200100.00 | 3,270,067,000.0000 | -2682866900.00 |
| X16 | Beans | 0 | 464.7000 | 0 | Water Dec. | 576184600.00 | 3,207,116,000.0000 | -2630931400.00 |
| X17 | Garlic | 1,777.666 | 784.2000 | 1394046.00 | Labor 1 | 49026350.00 | 1,334,604.0000 | 47691746.00 |
| X18 | Peas | 0 | 342.1000 | 0 | Labor 2 | 45511120.00 | 1,317,218.0000 | 44193902.00 |
| X19 | Rice | 266,534 | 1,400.8000 | 373360900.00 | Labor 3 | 29767720.00 | 1,302,901.0000 | 28464819.00 |
| X20 | Pepper | 10,536.670 | 904.4000 | 9529361.00 | Labor 4 | 78052930.00 | 1,302,901.0000 | 76750029.00 |
| X21 | Tomatoes | 74,239.660 | 846.4000 | 62836450.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X22 | Cucumber | 61,388.600 | 730.8000 | 44862790.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X23 | Green Beans | 0 | 342.1000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X24 | Eggplant | 29,017 | 582.2000 | 16893700.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X25 | Zucchini | 8,363.266 | 609.6000 | 5098247.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X26 | Okra | 0 | 1,456.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X27 | Watermelon | 0 | 790.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X28 | Melon | 0 | 790.4000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X29 | Dry Beans | 313,714.100 | 271.8000 | 85267490.00 | Objective Function (Min.) = 4,373,364,894 |  |  |  |
| X30 | Cotton | 0 | 1,309.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X31 | Sesame | 0 | 662.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X32 | Sun Flower | 0 | 883.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X33 | Potato | 44,510.666 | 670.4000 | 29839950.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X34 | Dry Onion | 0 | 784.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X35 | Yellow Corn | 229,106 | 1,286.2000 | 294676100.00 |  |  |  |  |
| X36 | Sorghum | 0 | 1,041.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X37 | Mung bean | 0 | 815.2000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X38 | Hay | 0 | 1,900.0000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X39 | Clover | 0 | 662.8000 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| X40 | Millet Seeds | 160,902 | 562.6000 | 90523460.00 |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 4. Comparison between the results of the current plan and the first linear programming model without and with the imposition of legislative restrictions for the cropping combination in the case of minimizing water needs during the average period (2017-2020)

| Economic Indicators | Prevalent or actual <br> crop combination <br> plan | Results of the proposed crop <br> combination without legislative <br> restrictions | Results of the proposed <br> crop combination with <br> legislative restrictions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suggested number of <br> crops <br> Total cultivated area / <br> million dunums <br> Total profit margin of <br> water unit / billion Iraqi <br> dinars <br> Profit margin of water <br> unit per dunum/ $\mathbf{m}^{3}$ | 40 | 4 | 21 |
| Average annual water <br> requirement/billion $\mathbf{m}^{3}$ <br> Average annual work <br> requirement/million <br> hours | 7.70440511016 | 7.554101 | 7.554101 |

Source: Organized and calculated by the researchers based on the results of analyzing the study data
2- Results of the analysis of the linear appeared in the optimal solution table: programming model that maximizes the profit margin of the water unit for the crop combination that minimizes water needs during the average period (2017-2020): This model aims to develop an alternative plan for the crop combination that minimizes water needs with the imposition of legislative restrictions and to achieve the maximize profit margin of the cubic meter of the water resource (the profit margin of the dunum $\div$ the amount of irrigation water needed per dunum). In this model, the coefficients of the objective function represented by the water needs of various crops were replaced by the rates of profit margin of water unit per dunum for the group of crops and vegetables only that appeared in the tables of the optimal solution for the first model, which were twenty-two agricultural crops, namely: wheat, barley, chickpeas, turnip, beetle, carrots, cauliflower, cabbage, lettuce, green onions, garlic, rice, peppers, tomatoes, cucumbers, green beans, eggplants, zucchini, dry beans, potatoes, yellow corn and millet.After analyzing the data related to this model, the estimated results indicated that only three agricultural crops
amounting to about 6.355 million, 1.037 million, and 161 thousand dunums of each, respectively, achieving a total profit margin of the water unit of about 3.963 billion Iraqi dinars, which is higher than the total profit margin of the water unit of the current crop combination, with a difference of about 2.259 billion Iraqi dinars, an increase of about 132.5\% compared to the actual crop combination. Despite the economic value of this model plan, it is also illogical and cannot be recommended due to the absence of most strategic agricultural crops and important crops needed for local consumption. Of course, the results of solving this model give clear indications of the crop with the highest profit margin per unit of water, and this does not necessarily have to be the continuation of that crop is the possible activity in light of the technical and economic constraints and limitations that accompany the optimal exploitation of the available resources. As an attempt to improve the results of solving this model, the model was restricted by adding the areas of crops and vegetables that did not
appear in the previous plan, namely: wheat, barley, turnip, beetle, carrots, cauliflower, cabbage, lettuce, green onions, garlic, peppers, tomatoes, cucumbers, green beans, eggplant, zucchini, dry beans, potatoes and yellow corn, in addition to a set of basic constraints imposed on the model. Table 5 shows the results of the analysis of the new model after restricting it to the mentioned crops, as the total target function amounted to about 1.790 billion Iraqi dinars, with twenty agricultural crops:

- Winter crops group: includes wheat, barley, chickpeas, turnip, beetle, carrots, cauliflower, cabbage, lettuce, green onions and garlic
- Summer crops group: includes rice, peppers, tomatoes, cucumbers, eggplant zucchini, potatoes and yellow corn, with the exclusion of green beans and dry beans from the plan
- Perennial or fodder crops group: it includes millet only
By comparing the results of the economic indicators (referring to Table 6) between the actual crop combination during the average period (2017-2020) and the proposed combination according to the solution of the second model, it is noted that the total profit margin of the water unit for the current combination amounted to about 1.704 billion Iraqi dinars, while the total profit margin of the water unit for the proposed combination amounted to about 1.790 billion Iraqi dinars, a difference of about 86 million Iraqi dinars, an increase of about $5 \%$ compared to the current
cropping combination. The total amount of irrigation water needed for the proposed cropping combination amounted to about 4.727 billion m 3 , while the total amount of irrigation water needed for the current cropping combination amounted to about 4.736 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, with a difference of about 9 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, a decrease of about $0.2 \%$ compared to the current cropping combination. As for the volume of labor used in the current cropping combination, it was estimated at 234.171 million working hours, while the total volume of labor used in the proposed combination was estimated at 180,711 million working hours, which means that the volume of labor used for the proposed cropping combination has achieved a decrease of about $23 \%$ for its counterpart used in the current cropping combination. Although the plan of this model suggests to increase the areas of chickpea crops by $3573 \%$, rice by $118 \%$ and millet by $2107 \%$, compared to their counterpart actually cultivated during the average period (2017-2020), which means expanding more than the necessary need for these crops, but the results of this plan are logical from an economic viewpoint as well, due to the high rate of profit margin of water unit per dunum to about 237 dinars $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of water and the decrease of water needs in the proposed cropping combination to about 4.728 billion m 3 , in addition to the existence of most the basic crops and vegetables for consumption.

Table 5. Results of the analysis of the linear programming model that maximizes the profit margin of the water unit for the crop combination
that minimizes water needs during the average period (2017-2020) with the imposition of legislative restrictions

| No. | Decision Variable | Solution Value | Unit Profit c(j) | Total Contribution | Constraint | Left Hand Side | Right Hand Side | Slack or Surplus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X1 | Wheat | 4567000.00 | 226.2318 | 1033200630.60 | Total area | 7,554,101.0000 | 7,554,101.0000 | 0 |
| X2 | Barley | 1714000.00 | 260.7594 | 446941611.60 | Winter area | 6,355,789.0000 | 6,355,789.0000 | 0 |
| X4 | Chickpeas | 14287.10 | 553.3039 | 7905108.15 | Summer area | 1,037,410.0000 | 1,037,410.0000 | 0 |
| X6 | Turnip | 6971.50 | 121.5374 | 847297.98 | Perennial area | 160,902.3000 | 160,902.3000 | 0 |
| X7 | Beetle | 2097.30 | 181.8736 | 381443.50 | Water Jan. | 558083800.00 | 2,502,835,000.0000 | -1944751200.00 |
| x8 | Carrots | 1381.30 | 135.0527 | 186548.29 | Water Feb. | 560411800.00 | 2,630,189,000.0000 | -2069777200.00 |
| X9 | Cauliflower | 4294.00 | 200.3482 | 860295.17 | Water Mar. | 645358500.00 | 2,598,584,000.0000 | -1953225500.00 |
| X10 | Cabbage | 3430.00 | 140.3609 | 481437.89 | Water Apr. | 645358500.00 | 2,428,877,000.0000 | -1783518500.00 |
| X 12 | Lettuce | 16802.50 | 177.8050 | 2987568.51 | Water May | 280161900.00 | 2,911,956,000.0000 | -2631794100.00 |
| X13 | Green Onion | 23748.30 | 168.8307 | 4009442.11 | Water Jun. | 275169800.00 | 2,987,539,000.0000 | -2712369200.00 |
| X17 | Garlic | 1777.00 | 276.6055 | 491527.97 | Water Jul | 226918500.00 | 2,813,391,000.0000 | -2586472500.00 |
| X19 | Rice | 580248.80 | 390.2734 | 226455672.02 | Water Aug. | 279172200.00 | 3,289,248,000.0000 | -3010075800.00 |
| X20 | Pepper | 10536.00 | 199.2946 | 2099767.91 | Water Sep. | 78471190.00 | 3,622,268,000.0000 | -3543796810.00 |
| X21 | Tomatoes | 74239.70 | 49.0300 | 3639972.49 | Water Oct. | 9090595.00 | 3,530,667,000.0000 | -3521576405.00 |
| X22 | Cucumber | 61388.60 | 97.5944 | 5991183.58 | Water Nov. | 572988800.00 | 3,270,067,000.0000 | -2697078200.00 |
| X23 | Green Beans | 0 | 210.4385 | 0 | Water Dec. | 561973400.00 | 3,207,116,000.0000 | -2645142600.00 |
| X24 | Eggplant | 29017.00 | 123.1587 | 3573696.00 | Labor 1 | 45261780.00 | 1,334,604.0000 | 43927176.00 |
| X25 | Zucchini | 8363.20 | 295.4970 | 2471300.51 | Labor 2 | 37982010.00 | 1,317,218.0000 | 36664792.00 |
| X29 | Dry Beans | 0 | 263.8300 | 0 | Labor 3 | 29767630.00 | 1,302,901.0000 | 28464729.00 |
| X33 | Potato | 44510.70 | 102.4493 | 4560090.06 | Labor 4 | 67700260.00 | 1,302,901.0000 | 66397359.00 |
| X35 | Yellow Corn | 229106.00 | 8.9372 | 2047566.14 | Objective Function (Min.) = 1,790,102,000 |  |  |  |
| X40 | Millet Seeds | 160902.00 | 254.6250 | 40969671.75 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Organized by the researchers based on the results obtained using the statistical program WIN QSB

Table 6. Comparison between the results of the current plan and the second linear programming that maximizes the profit margin of the water unit for the crop combination that minimizes water needs during the average period (2017-2020)

| Economic Indicators | Prevalent or actual crop combination plan | Results of the proposed crop combination without legislative restrictions | Results of the proposed crop combination with legislative restrictions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suggested number of crops | 40 | 3 | 20 |
| Total cultivated area / million dunums | 7.554101 | 7554101 | 7554101 |
| Total profit margin of water unit / billion Iraqi dinars | 1.70440511016 | 3.963000 | 1.790102000 |
| Profit margin of water unit per dunum/ dinar $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 226 | 525 | 237 |
| Average annual water requirement/billion $\mathbf{m}^{3}$ | 4.736726 | 3.271231 | 4.727548 |
| Average annual work requirement/million hours | 234.17158 | 804.894204 | 180.711691 |

Source: Organized and calculated by the researchers based on the results of analyzing the study data

CONCLUSIONS
Through the findings of the research, it can be concluded that the best logical plans for the results of solving the proposed linear programming models, which can be used by economic decision-makers in the country in the future in a case of optimal use of water as an alternative to the actual current plan, are the results of plans with legislative restrictions as a result of the expansion in the cultivation of most basic and important crops and vegetables for local consumption. Based on these findings, the study recommends the necessity of re-maintenance of the irrigation networks in Iraq in order to be able to operate with high efficiency and reduce the wastage of irrigation water that irrigates the various fields and farms at the country level.
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