LINK BETWEEN SOME VIRULNCE FACTORS GENES AND ANTIBACTERIAL RESISTANCE OF *PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA* Aida H. Ibrahim Assis. Prof. Dept. of Biot. Coll. of Sci. University of Baghdad- Iraq aida.h@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

The research was aimed to demonstrate the frequency of virulence factors genes (*exoenzyme S* and *exotoxin A*) and to express their relationship to antibacterial resistance among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from patients suffering from otitis externa. The results were revealed that only twenty one (32%) out of 65 clinical ear swabs were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. According to PCR amplification, 18 (85.7%) bacterial isolates were expressed both toxins (exoenzyme S and exotoxin A). The dataget by real time experiments were revealed that the isolates were give higher percentage of resistance were seen against Ceftazidime (90.5%) and Gentamicin (88.5%).Only thirteen isolates (61,90%) of Multidrug resistance (MDR) *–Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates were detected, and two (9.5%) isolates were resist to all 8 classes of antibiotics and considered as PDR, and three (14.2%) bacterial isolates resist seven classes of antibiotics which considered as XDR.

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, virulence factors genes, antibacterial resistance.

ابراهيم

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2022 :53(5):993-985

العلاقة بين بعض جينات عوامل الضراوة ومقاومة المضادات البكتيرية للزائفة الزنجارية عائدة حسين ابراهيم استاذ مساعد قسم التقنيات الاحيائية – كلية العلوم / جامعة بغداد / العراق

المستخلص

هدف البحث لتوضيح تواتر جينات عوامل الضراوة (exotoxin A و exoenzyme S) وللتعبير عن علاقتها بالمقاومة المضادة للبكتيريا للعزلات البكتيرية من Beudomonas aeruginosa بين مرضى التهاب الأذن الخارجية. أظهرت النتائج أن 21 (32٪) فقط من أصل 65 مسحة أذن سريرية كانت Pseudomonas aeruginosa وفقًا لتضخيم تفاعل البوليميراز المتسلسل ، تم التعبير عن 18 (7.5%) عزلة بكتيرية على حد سواء (السموم الخارجية S والسموم الخارجية A). أن 21 (32٪) فقط من أصل 65 مسحة أذن سريرية كانت Pseudomonas aeruginosa وفقًا لتضخيم تفاعل البوليميراز المتسلسل ، تم التعبير عن 18 (7.5%) عزلة بكتيرية على حد سواء (السموم الخارجية S والسموم الخارجية A). أظهرت البيانات التي تم الحصول عليها من تفاعل البلمرة التسلسلي أن العزلات اعطت نسبة مقاومة أعلى ضد السيفتازيديم (6.90٪) والجنتاميسين (8.85٪) ، فقط ثلاثة عشر عزلة (90.60٪) تم الكشف عنها من بكتريا الزائفة الزنجارية ذات (70.5%) والجنتاميسين (8.85٪) ، فقط ثلاثة عشر عزلة (0.916٪) تم الكشف عنها من بكتريا الزائفة الزنجارية ذات (70.5%) والجنتاميسين (8.85٪) ، فقط ثلاثة عشر عزلة (14.90%) ، وعزلتان (7.65٪) قد قاومتا جميع فنات المضادات المقاومة المعددة للادوية (8.10%) والجنتاميسين (8.85٪) ، فقط ثلاثة عشر عزلة (14.90%) ، وعزلتان (7.65٪) قد قاومتا جميع فنات المضادات المقاومة المتعددة للادوية (8.00%) ، وعزلتان (14.25٪) من الكشف عنها من بكتريا الزائفة الزنجارية ذات (8.90%) والجنتاميسين (7.85%) ، فقط ثلاثة عشر عزلة (14.90%) ، وعزلتان (7.5٪) قد قاومتا جميع فنات المضادات المقاومة المقاومة والتي تم اعتبارها PDP ، وثلاثة عزلات بكتيرية (14.25٪) يمكن أن تقاوم سبع فئات من المضادات الحيوية الحيوية التمانية والتي تم اعتبارها RDR ، وثلاثة عزلات بكتيرية (14.25٪) يمكن أن تقاوم سبع فئات من المضادات الحيوية والتي تم اعتبارها RDR ، وثلاثة عزلات بكتيرية (14.25٪) يمكن أن تقاوم سبع فئات من المضادات الحيوية الحيوية الحيارية والتي تم اعتبارها RDR ، وثلاثة عزلات بكتيرية (14.25٪) يمكن أن تقاوم مسبع فئات من المضادات الحيوية

كلمات مفتاحية: الزائفة الزنجارية، جينات عوامل الضراوة، مقاومة المضادات البكتيرية.

Received:20/2/2021, Accepted:12/5/2021

INTRODUCTION

Otitis externa is as an acute, chronic, and necrotizing inflammation of the external ear canal (1). Inflammation takes various types which includes: acute, chronic, and may be developed into tumor, circumscribed otitis externa (2). In contrast to acute otitis externa, chronic otitis externa is generally caused by underlying allergies or inflammatory dermatologi conditions (3) Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) is the most common cause of acute external otitis, accounting for more than 70 percent of cases in one series. It's (an opportunistic pathogen) can cause a higher percentage of acute or chronic infections with higher frequencies of mortality and morbidity (4,5). A wide range of infections caused by PA including: endocarditis. septicemia, pneumonia, wounds, burn, otitis and bacterial keratitis. It is also noticed in a cystic fibrosisfrequently, immunosuppressed and /or nosocomial infections (6,7). These infections are very difficult to eliminate due to the presence of (numerous virulence factors) such flagella, proteases, as pili, elastase. exopolysaccharides, iron chelators, lipases, and a variety of various toxins, including : exotoxin A, and the Type III Secretion System (T3SS) toxins ExoS, ExoT, ExoU, ExoY, in addition to chemical compound and pyocyanin (8). Two members of virulence factors genes namely (Exoenzyme S and Exotoxin A) catalyzes transporting of the Adenosine di phosphate (ADP) -ribose moiety of nicotinamide di phosphate (NAD) to the eukaryotic cells proteins (9,10)., several lines of studies were suggested that Exoenzyme S may be play a distinct role in the pathogenicity of PA. The role of exoenzyme in the past experiments were showed to be unselective in choice of substrate proteins, however, now has noticed that it preferentially ADP-ribosylates several of the low-molecular weight GTPbinding proteins. In addition to, there is higher similarity with cholera toxin, exoenzyme S also needed a protein of eukarvotic cells for their enzymic activity (11). Exotoxin A catalyzes the ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2 (EF-2), leading to inhibition and disruption of synthesis of proteins (7).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples collection: During the period

extended from February to August of the year of 2020. Sixty five of clinical ear swabs were collected from patients who suffering from ear infections and attended into out- patients at Teaching Hospitals in Baghdad city.

Bacterial isolation: All the clinical ear swabs were cultured on Blood, MacConkey, Brain heart infusion agar medium, and the selective media of PA Cetrimide agar, and all cultured media were incubated for 18 - 24 hrs. at 37 °C. suspected bacterial isolates The which possibly belong to PA inoculated onCetrimide agar to demonstrate the characteristic traits of this bacteria for ex: blue-greenish color, and the presence of fruity odor. The other diagnostic tests were included the detection of phenotypic and microscopic traits in cooperated with biochemical diagnostic tests. Which were done according to Forbes et al (12).

Bacterial identification

Colonial and phenotypic morphology on blood, MacConkey, Brain heart infusion, and Cetrimide agar medium were based principally to detect bacterial isolates of *PA*, and their colonial shape, texture, color and edges were noticed. The macroscopic examination were cooperated by microscopic examination of a Gram stained of bacterial smear which examined under a light microscope with special regard towards cell shape and arrangement. All suspected bacterial isolates of *PA* were subjected to many biochemical tests such as oxidase, catalase, and triple sugar Iron test etc.

Antibiotic sensitivity test

The disc diffusion method represented the gold standard for confirming and determining the susceptibility of the bacteria, in this test, the isolated bacterial pure colonies were selected and suspended into nutreient media, then were standardized by using a turbidity test and this point was done by using Macferland no. o.5. The standardized suspension is then cultured and disseminated onto Muller Hinton agar plate, and the different antibiotic discs were tapped and putted on the inoculated plates Seventeen different antibacterial disc were permitted to disseminate through the solidified agar which includes :

Ampicillin (AM), Amoxicillin (AML), Ceftazidime (CAZ), Imipenem (IPM), Colistin sulfate (CT), Mezlocillin(MEZ), Azithromycin (AZM), Nalidixic acid (NA), Trimethoprimsulfamethoxazol (STX), Tetracycline (TET), Aztreonam (ATM), Amikacin (AK), Levofloxacin(LVX), Ciprofloxaci n (CIP)Gentamycin (GEN), Piperacillin (PIP), and Chloramphenicol (C) (Table 1). All inoculated agar plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C. After that, the size of the inhibition zone formed around each disc was measured and recorded.

 Table 1. Types and dosage of the seventieth different antibiotics discs used to performed antibiotic sensitivity test

Type of antibiotic	Conc. µg
Ampicillin	10
Amoxicillin	25
Ceftazidime	30
Colistin sulphate	10
Aztreonam	30
Ciprofloxacin	5
Tetracycline	25
Nalidixic acid	30
Azithromycin	15
Mezlocillin	75
Imipenem	10
Trimethoprim-	125/23.7
sulfamethoxazol	
Amikacin	30
Gentamycin	10
Piperacillin	100
Levofloxacin	5
Chloramphenicol	30

Primers of this genes

Table 2. The specific primer of *Exoenzym S* gene

Cana Primers Sequence		Tm	GC		
Gene			(°C)	(%)	
Exo.S	Forward	5'- ATGTCAGCGGGATATCGAAC- 3'	54.6	50.0	
	Reverse	5'- CAGGCGTACATCCTGTTCCT- 3'	56.8	55.0	
Table 3. The specific primer of Exotoxin A gene					
Como		Primers Sequence	Tm	$\mathbf{CC}(0/1)$	
Gene				GC (%)	
Exoto.	Forward	5'- GACAACGCCCTCAGCATCACCAGC- 3'	64.4	62.5	
Α	Reverse	5'- CGCTGGCCCATTCGCTCCAGCGCT- 3'	70.1	70.8	

Molecular detection of *Exoenzyme S*

The extraction of RNA, measuring of RNA concentration and detection of *exoenzyme S* and *exotoxin A* genes by real time technique were performed according to the protocol of Promega QuantiFluor® Dye Systems.

REASULTS AND DISSCUSION

Swimmer's ear it is the synonym ofacute otitis externa (AOE), it considered as one of the most common infection of adult, children and even adolescents. Principally it's an infection of children who up to 2 years old, it is known to be related with swimming. Prolonged ear canal wetness may be leading to impairing of local defense mechanisms (13)

Isolation and identification of PA

After doing and following of conventional analyzing and diagnostic steps which includes both microscopic and macroscopic demonstration, and in addition to biochemical tests to detect the presence of *PA*. The results were revealed that only twenty one (32%) isolates of *PA* among 65 clinical ear swabs were detected.

Distribution and percentage of *PA* among infected patients

Table (4) was showed that the mostcommoncausativeisolated pathogensPA21(around 33%), followed byStaphylococcus

aureus 15 (23%) and *S. epidermidis* 9 (14%) respectively. Furthermore, the remaining 10 specimens (15%) don't exhibited any bacterial grow, this probability can be due to a fungal infection (typically *Aspergillus spp.*) or viral infection.

Table 4. I	Distribution and	percentage of PA	and other	species	among	infectedpatients
------------	------------------	------------------	-----------	---------	-------	------------------

Species of the bacteria	NO. and percentage of isolates
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	21(33%)
Staphylococcus aureus	19 (29 %)
Staohylococuus epidermedis	15 (23 %)
No growth	10 (15. %)
Total	65 (100%)

The present result comes in fit with the previous studies which revealed that both of PA and Staphylococcus aureus were the most commonly isolated organisms (14). The isolates are (poly-microbial) in a significant number of cases. Other Gram-negative bacteria Aspergillus are uncommon. species and *Candida* species are rare fungalinfections (15) . Swabs taken from the external canal should be swabbed with caution because (they may be reflect normal flora or colonizing organisms). Ear swabs should be taken only in severe cases or unresponsive. The previous researches revealed that the bacterial flora or bacterial commensals of the External Auditory Canal (EAC) is commonly composed of Gram positive prevalent bacteria. The most recognized bacteria are **Staphylococcus** epidermidis (38%) fallowed by Diptheroid (22.4%). Gram - negative bacteria are less common, isolated from (< 5%) of the external auditory canal (AOE) specimens. Prolonged exposure to the water may leads to the changes of flora of the EAC, becoming dominated by Gram - negative organisms for example PA which constitutes the most common pathogenic bacteria in AOE, detected in (22-62%) of cases in series on AOE. Staphylococcus aureus (11-34% of cases) is the most important Gram positive bacteria (16,17,18).

Antibiotics Resistance in PA

Sensitivity and resistance phenotypic pattern were done on each of diagnosed 21 *PA* isolates by using agar disc diffusion method and by using seventieth different antibiotic disc. The results were interpreted according to recommendation of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard (NCCLS) (19). The *Pseudomonal* isolates that were found to belong to different interpretive categories, including susceptible (S), and resistant Approximately ®. 95% of Pseudomonas strains were susceptible to colistin, Imipenem, and pipracillin followed by 90.4 % that were susceptible ciprofloxacin and 80.9 % of all isolates give sensitivity against ceftazidime. amikacin, gentamycin and levofloxacin. tetracycline were (71.4%),aztreonam and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole were (66.6%), However, percentage of *Pseudomonas* high a strains were resistant to Amoxicillin (95%) followed by ampicillin and nalidixic acid (90%), Azithromycin and chloramphenicol (57.1%), the result of antibiotic sensitivity test of pseudomonal isolates against Mezlocillin was (52.3%). The frequencies of resistance phenotypic patterns to the 17 tested antibiotics were arranged in the following table 5. Totaly 21 (60 %) bacterial isolates were (resistant to three or more drug classes) were defined as MDR strains. Two (9.5%) isolates were resistant to all eight classes of antibiotics and considered as Pan drug resistance (PDR), and three (14.2%) bacterial isolate could resist seven classes of antibiotics and considered as extensive drug resistance (XDR).

Type of antibiotic	R	S
	No. and %	No. and %
Ampicillin	18 (90%)	3 (10%)
Amoxicillin	20 (95%)	1 (5%)
Ceftazidime	4 (10.1%)	17 (80.9%)
Colistin	1 (5%)	20 (95%)
Aztreonam	14 (66.6%)	7 (34.4%)
Ciprofloxacin	2 (9.6%)	19 (90.4%)
Tetracycline	15 (71.4%)	6 (28.6%)
Nalidixic acid	18 (90%)	3 (10%)
Azithromycin	15 (71.4%)	6 (28.6%)
Mezlocillin	11 (52.3%)	10 (47.7%)
Imipenem	1(5%)	20(95%)
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazol	14 (66.6%)	7 (34.4%)
Amikacin	4 (10.1%)	17 (80.9%)
Gentamycin	4 (10.1%)	17 (80.9%)
Piperacillin	1 (5%)	20 (95%)
Levofloxacin	4 (10.1%)	17 (80.9%)
Chloramphenicol	12 (57.1%)	9 (42.9%)

Table 5. Sensitivity and resistance phenotypic pattern of twenty one *P. aeruginosa* isolates

In the last years, the prevalence of higher risk strains of PA such as (MDR; XDR; and PDR), has constitute a major public health problem in the worldwide. Also recently there is a significant increasing in the percentage of MDR, XDR, and PDR of PA bacterium, with a percentage between (16% and 31%) in many countries (20,21.22). ManyEuropian countries reported frequency of antibiotics resistance exceeded(10%) for all antibiotics classes under control and notification (23). Combination of resistance was also noticed in PA In the last seven years (the European Centers for Disease Prevention and Control) revealed that (14%) of PA strains were expressed antibiotic resistant to more than two antibacterial classes and (6.5%) to more than four antibacterial different classes under control (EARS-Net)²³. The observation of United States, revealed that the MDR - PA is the cause of (14%) of severe health care associated infections (24). There are different and a big cause why the prevalence of (MDR), (XDR), and (PDR) PA bacteria have in recent years become issues of

public health concern. The first reason, PA responsible for highly severe infections, especially in the health care units and in immunosuppressed persons. Second reasons, it has an outstanding capacity for being selected and for dissemination f antibacterial resistance in the human body (25,26,27). Third, the successful worldwide spread of the so-called "high-risk" clones of PA poses a threat to global public health that needs to be studied and managed with urgency and determination (28,29,). Quantitative analysis of Exoenzyme S and exotoxin A virulence gene The expression of Exoenzyme S and Exotoxin A genes were detected successfully by using new molecular technique which is Real time PCR (qRT-PCR) with used specific primer. The amplification accuracy of gene product was noticed by the value of cycle threshold (Ct) for the triplicate reactions and the results revealed that 18 (85.7%) of the isolates exhibited both the Exoenzyme S and Exotoxin A genes and only three bacterial isolates don't exhibited exotoxin gene (Table 6,7 and Figure 1).

Table 6. PCR analysis result of *Exoenzyme S* virulence genes of 21 PA isolatesamong otitis externa patients

Result	FAM average Ct. values	No. of the sample
-	-	Sample 1
+	24.9	Sample 2
+	24.9	Sample 3
+	10.9	Sample 4
+	23.9	Sample 5
+	21.9	Sample 6
+	9.9	Sample 7
+	15.8	Sample 8
+	23.9	Sample 9
-	-	Sample 10
-	-	Sample 11
+	8.9	Sample 12
+	11.9	Sample 13
+	10.9	Sample 14
+	22.8	Sample 15
+	24.9	Sample 16
+	25.9	Sample 17
+	12.9	Sample 18
+	11.8	Sample 19
+	11.9	Sample 20
+	20.8	Sample 21



Figure 1. Quantitative detection of *Exoenzyme S* virulence gene of 21 *PA* isolatesamong otitis externa patients

Table 7. PCR analysis result of *Exotoxin A* virulence genes of 21 *PA* isolatesamong otitis externa patients

Result	FAM average Ct. values	No. of samples
-	-	1
+	26.9	2
-	-	3
+	10.1	4
+	28.0	5
+	22.9	6
+	10.9	7
+	13.1	8
+	21.0	9
-	-	10
_	-	11
-	10.1	12
1 	11.0	13
т 1	80	13
+	0.7	15
+	19.9	15
+	27.0	10
+	23.0	1/
+	12.0	18
+	11.9	19
+	11.0	20
+	18.9	21



Figure 2. Quantitative detection of *Exotoxin virulence* gene of 21 *PA* isolatesamong otitis externa patients

Also the results revealed that. The frequencies of genes among MDR strains were 18 (85.7%) for both toxA and exoS which included MDR and XDR pseudomonal isolates. The non-MDR strains 3(14,28%), harbored lower prevalence of simultaneous toxA

and toxS genes compared to MDR strains of *pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

CONCLUSION

The recent study proved that by using very specificity and sensitivity technique (real time PCR), the bacterial toxins genes of *PA*

which is *Exoenzyme S* and *Exotoxin A* they were and still are the most potential virulence factors of *PA* and the most related to initiation of various types of antibacterial resistance.

REFRENCES

1. Berthelot P, I. Attree, P. Plesiat and *et al.* 2003: Genotypic and phenotypic analysis of type III secretion system in a cohort of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia isolates: evidence for a possible association between O serotypes and exo genes. J Infect Dis188:512–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

2. Bilavsky E, I. Pfeffer, I. J. Tarabeia and *et al.* 2013: Outbreak of multidrug- resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection following urodynamic studies traced to contaminated transducer. J Hosp Infect; 83:344.

3. Breidenstein EBM, C. de la Fuente-Núñe, and R. Hancock 2011: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: all roads lead to resistance. Trends Microbiol J. 19:419– 426..04.005. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

4. Coburn J, AV. Kane, L. Feig, and DM. Gill 1991: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exoenzyme S requires a eukaryotic protein for ADP-ribosyl transferase activity. J Biol Chem 266: 6438–6446.

5. Cosgrove, S. E and Y. Carmeli. 2003: The impact of antimicrobial resistance on health and economic outcomes. Clin. Infect. Dis. 36:1433-1437. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

6. David R. H, M. R. T. Helena. Parracho, James Walker, S.G.Richard., W, Maria., L, Susan. and M, Sandra. 2014: Bacteriophages and Biofilms Antibiotics. 3, 270-284

7. ECDC. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe. 2015: Annual report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control

8. Forbes, A, F. Sahm and S. Weissfeld, Bailey and Scott's. 2007: Diagnostic Microbiology. 12th Edition, Mosby Elsevier, China, 842-855

9. Ijaz T, AA. S. Anjum, S. Aslam, SA. Raja, AR. Khawaja and S. Ljaz. 2014 : Microbial profiling and risk factors assessment for Otitis Media and Otitis Externa. Advancements in Life Sciences. 191-196

10. Tong Wu, I and Zhu, Jianwei . 2021: Recent development and optimization of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* exotoxin immunotoxins in cancer therapeutic applications. Int. Immunopharmacol; 1 ; 96:107759

11. Martin TJ, JE. Kerschner and VA. Flanary. 2005: Fungal causes of otitis externa and tympanostomy tube otorrhea. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.; 69(11):pp. 1503–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

12. Musso MF and JD. Crews. 2016: Infections of the External Ear. Infectious Diseases in Pediatric Otolaryngology.: pp. 15-28

13. Naqi SA. 2016: Microbiology of Cerumen

Bacterial Flora of Acute Otitis Externa Patients.20: pp. 144-146

National Committee 14. for Clinical Laboratory Standard (NCCLS). 2020. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twelfth informational supplement. NCCLS document M100-S12.PA, USA

15. Neher A, M. Nagl and AW. Scholtz. 2008: Otitis externa. HNO ;56:1067– 1080. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

16. Oliver A, X. Mulet, C. López-Causapé and C, Juan. 2015. The increasing threat of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* high-risk clones. Drug Resist Update 21–22:41–59. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar].

17. Pederson, K. J, S. Pal, A. J. Vallis, D. W. Frank, and J. T. Barbieri. 2000. Intracellular localization and processing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ExoS in eukaryotic cells. Mol. Microbiol. 37:287-299.

18. Pena C, G. Cabot, S. Gomez-Zorrilla and *et al.* 2015: Influence of virulence genotype and resistance profile in the mortality of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infections. Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI). Clin Infect Dis 60:539–548

19. Poole K. 2011: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: resistance to the max. Front Microbiol 2:65. Published online 2011 Apr 5. Prepublished online 2011 Mar 18. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00065 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

20. Roland PS and DW. Stroman. 2002: Microbiology of acute otitis externa. Laryngoscope. 112 (7):1166–77. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

21. Rowshan HH, K. Keith, D. Baur and P. Skidmore P 2008 : *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection of the auricular cartilage caused by "high ear piercing": A case report and review of the literature. J Oral Maxillofac Surg.; 66 (3):543–6. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

22. Sader HS, M. Castanheira, LR. Duncan and RK.Flamm. 2018. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from United States medical centers stratified by infection type: results from theInternational Network for Optimal Resistance Monitoring (INFORM) surveillance program. Diag. Microbial Infect. Dis 92:69–74

23. Schaefer P and RF. Baugh. 2012:.Acute otitis externa: an update. Am Fam Physician; 86:1055.

24. Tartor YH and EY. El-Naenaeey . 2016 : RT-PCR detection of exotoxin genes expression in multidrug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). Jan 22;62(1):56-62

25. Vincent, T. S, J. E. Fraylick, E. M. McGuffie, and J. C. Olson. 1999. ADPribosylation of oncogenic Ras proteins by Pseudomonas aeruginosa exoenzymeS in vivo. Mol. Microbiol. 32:1043-1053. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].

26. Walkty A, P. Lagace-Wiens and H. Adam . 2017: Antimicrobial susceptibility of 2906 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals over a period of 8 years: Results of the Canadian Ward surveillance study (CANWARD), 2008–2015. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 87:60–63 27. Wiegand, S, R. Berner, A. Schneider, E. Lundershausen and A. Dietz, 2019 : Otitis Externa: Investigation and Evidence-Based Treatment. <u>Dtsch Arztebl Int</u>. 116(13): 224–234

28. L. Q. Jawad and H. A. R. R. Rasheed. 2002: isolation and purification of anticancer protein exotoxin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences Vol. 53 No. 1

29. Tawfiq S. M.2018. Bacteriologigal and genetic study of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 49:1

30. Younis, R. W. 2020: Production, purification and inhibition of alginate lyase from local isolate of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* na11. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Vol. 51 No. 6.