INFLUENCE OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF BARLEY SPROUTS, TREHALOSE, AND CALCIUM ON GROWTH, QUALTY AND YEILD OF CARROT

Aseel M. H. H. Al-Khafaji Lecturer Kadhim D. H. Al-jubouri Prof.

Dept. of Horticulture and Landscape Gardening/ College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences/ University of Baghdad/ Iraq

aseel.Mohammed1005@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq kadhim.aljubouri@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

This research was implemented at vegetable field of the College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad during fall seasons, 2018 and 2019. The experiment was conducted using factorial within Randomized Complete Block Design arrangement with three factors and replicates (3X3X2). The aqueous barley sprouts extract (B0, B1) (0, 100 g.L⁻¹) represented the first factor. Trehalose (T0, T1, T2) (0, 50, 75 mmole.L⁻¹) represented the second factor. Calcium (C0, C1, C2) (0, 1, 2 ml.L⁻¹) represented the third factor. The research objectives are assessing the impact of the mentioned factors and their interaction on carrot vegetative, quality, and yield traits. Results showed the effectiveness of three ways interaction treatment B1T2C1 in producing significant increases in leaves number (14, 13, total sugars in roots (8.619, 8.15mg.100⁻¹g) and carrot weight (161.6, 159g) for both seasons respectively.in compare with control treatment B0T0C0.

Keywords: sugars; germinated grains; TSS; sprouting; dry matter; divalent ion Part of Ph.D. dissertation for the 1st author

الخفاجي والجبوري

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2022 : 53 (1):140-133

تأثير المستخلص المائي لمستنبتات الشعير والتريهالوز والكالسيوم في نمو ونوعية وحاصل نبات الجزر اسيل محمد حسن هاتف الخفاجي المبوري مدرس

قسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق/كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية/جامعة بغداد

المستخلص

نفذت تجربة حقلية في حقول كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية/جامعة بغداد للموسمين خريفي 2018 وخريفي 2019. طبقت التجربة باستعمال تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة حسب ترتيب التجارب العاملية ويثلاث عوامل وثلاث مكررات (2X3X3)، مثل الرش بالمستخلص المائي للحبوب المستنبتة لنبات الشعير (0، 100 غم حبوب جافة.لتر $^{-1}$) العامل الاول والذي رُمز له (B0 و B0)، اما العامل الثاني فيتضمن الرش بسكر التريهالوز (0، 50 ، 75 ملي مول.لتر $^{-1}$) والذي رمز له ($^{-1}$) ويشتمل العامل الثالث على الرش بالكالسيوم على هيئة كالسيوم مخلبي (0 و 1 مل.لتر $^{-1}$ و 2 مل.لتر $^{-1}$) والذي رمز له ($^{-1}$)، ويشتمل العامل الثالث على الرش بالكالسيوم على هيئة كالسيوم مخلبي (0 و 1 مل.لتر $^{-1}$ و 2 مل.لتر $^{-1}$) والذي رمز له ($^{-1}$) وردن البحر ($^{-1}$) والذي رمز والنوعية والمعنوي والنوعية المعنوي المعنوي لمعاملة التداخل الثلاثي B1T2C1 في زيادة عدد الاوراق (14، 13) والسكريات الكلية (7.9، 7.967 ملغم . 100غم $^{-1}$) ووزن الجزرة (161.6) و15غم) في الجذور مقارنة بمعاملة القياس والسكريات الكلية (7.9، 7.967 ملغم . 100غم $^{-1}$) ووزن الجزرة (161.6) و15غم) في الجذور مقارنة بمعاملة القياس B0T0C0.

الكلمات المفتاحية: سكريات، حبوب منبتة, المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية, برعمة, المادة الجافة، ايون ثنائي التكافؤ مستل من اطروحة دكتوراه للباحث الاول

INTRODUCTION

Carrot plant that belongs to Apiaceae has elevated interest around the world in recent years. it ranks as the most 10 produced vegetables, which it's consumption increased 3 folds in the last decade in compare to the 20th century statistics (8). Importantly, carrots classified as the second most popular veggies after potato (21). It acquired this position because of its favorable crunchy and sweet taste. In addition to its health benefits such as having the edible part sufficient amount of carotenoids -the precursor of vitamin A-. Moreover, carrots have B3, B2, B1, and C vitamins. As well as sugars and amino acids (18). As a result, many studies extensively focused on how to increase its yield and yield component in order to reach a significant level of improvement to cope with people demands. Using sprouted seeds become very common in the two last decades because they have simple, absorbable and water-soluble forms including amino acids, sugars, and fatty acids (17). Furthermore, they have sufficient amount of gibberellins and low levels of ABA (22). Thus, their aqua extracts can be sprayed on plant. Trehalose is non-reduced disaccharide that forms from combining two glucose molecules (12). It has versatile functions in plant including carbon metabolism, plant protection from abiotic stress (5) and molecule signal (9). As well as, it has been proven that trehalose 6 phosphate (trehalose precursor) affects sucrose mobilization to its final destination (6; 16; 23). Shafig et al (20) observed that foliar feeding of trehalost (50mmol.L⁻¹) increased the fresh weight of radish plant yield under drought conditions. Calcium classified as a structural that's enters directly element the composition of the cell wall, in addition to its function as a plasma membrane stabilizer, osmotic regulator, and second messenger for many cellular and hormonal responses (22). Lee et al (14) noticed that spraying carrot plant of calcium nitrate 2% improved storage traits. Hussein (11) reported that spraying a fertilizer having 5% CaO (6ml.L⁻¹) on cauliflower plant increased the total yield. Saaseea and Ala'amry (19) demonstrated that spraying calcium (1000 mg.L⁻¹) significantly improved tuber weight of potato plant. The objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of barley aqua sprouted grains, trehalose, and calcium on growth, yield and yield component of carrot plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted during two fall seasons (2018 and 2019) at researches station (A) College of Agricultural Engineering University Baghdad Sciences. of Jadiryah). Table 1 shows the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil for both seasons. The seeds of carrot were sowed on lines on terraces in 15/September for both seasons. The field was under drip irrigation system. Mineral fertilizer was added as recommended for carrot plants (120 kg.ha⁻¹, $120 \text{ K}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ kg. ha}^{-1}$, $40 \text{ K}_2\text{O kg. ha}^{-1}$) to all plots before planting (1). Thinning carried out after 30 days from planting seeds for both seasons. The spacing between one plant and another for both seasons was 0.05 m. The seeds of carrot var. Nantes were purchased from Modesto Seed Company (California, U.S.A.) for the first season. The seeds of the fall 2019 season produced locally in the spring 2019 season from the stecklings of the fall season 2018. The seeds were planted in a plant density plants.ha⁻¹. The 1,000,000 entire harvested after 115 days of the planting day for the1st season and 85 days for the 2nd season. The experiment was implemented as factorial arrangement (2X3X3)within randomized complete block design with three replicates. Spraying barley aqueous sprouted grains extract was represented the first factor with two levels (0, 100g.L-1 DW) which symbolized (B_0, B_1) . The second factor is spraying with three levels of trehalose (0, 50, 75 mmol.L⁻¹) which symbolized (T_0, T_1, T_2) . The third factor is spraying with three levels of calcium (0, 1, 2 ml.L⁻¹) (as chelated calcium 30% Ca), which symbolized (C_0, C_1, C_2) . The first spraying was after 10 days from thinning. The second spraying was after 15 days from the first spraying. The third spraying was after 15 days from the second spraying. Barley aqueous sprouted grains extract was prepared by hydroponically germinating 100 g of barley grains, var. Ebaa class 265, (until radical penetration stage). After that, the germinated grains blended in an electric blender until the ingredients were mixed well. Then the solution was filtered by whatman filter paper 42 pore size and the volume was completed to 1 liter. The extract was sprayed on the plants directly after preparation. An aqueous extract was prepared from the quiescent barley grains (for the purpose of comparison and calculating the nutritional bioavailability). Table 2 shows the chemical and physical properties and conversion ratio of barley aqueous sprouted and grains extract. The study traits were

leaves number, chlorophyll concentration (mg.100⁻¹g FW) (10), vegetative dry weight (g), carrot weight (g), carrot length (cm), and determination of total sugars in carrots (mg.100⁻¹g FW) (13), The collected data analyzed using analyses of variance and the means were compared according to L.S.D. test under 5% probability.

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil for the two seasons

| 21. I flysical and cheffical ci | naracteristics of | i the son for the two se |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| ahanaatan | | Values |
| character | Fall 2018 | fall 2019 |
| pН | 7.44 | 7.41 |
| EC _{1:1} (ds.m ⁻¹) | 2.39 | 2.36 |
| Total N (mg kg ⁻¹) | 55.0 | 45.6 |
| P (mg kg ⁻¹) | 13.7 | 12.1 |
| K (mg kg ⁻¹) | 170 | 166 |
| Ca (mg kg ⁻¹) | 187 | 177 |
| Mg (mg kg ⁻¹) | 170 | 130 |
| Fe (mg kg ⁻¹) | 2.60 | 2.10 |
| Na (Meq L ⁻¹) | 61.0 | 63.0 |
| Cl (Meq L-1) | 51.0 | 55.0 |
| SO ₄ -2 (Meq L ⁻¹) | 207 | 209 |
| HCO ₃ (Meq L ⁻¹) | 477 | 453 |
| O.M. (%) | 10.3 | 9.10 |
| Gypsum (%) | 320 | 327 |
| Sand (%) | 12.0 | 15.0 |
| Silt (%) | 40.0 | 45.1 |
| Clay (%) | 48.0 | 39.9 |
| Texture | | Clay Loam |

Table 2. Physical and chemical characteristics of the aqueous extract of quiescent (Q), sprouted (S) barley grains and conversion ratio

| -h | | Values | |
|---|------|--------|------|
| character | Q | S | CR |
| рН | 7 | 6.9 | _ |
| EC _{1:1} (ds.m ⁻¹) | 1.70 | 1.80 | |
| Total N (g L ⁻¹) | 1.52 | 2.01 | 1.32 |
| P (mg L ⁻¹) | 219 | 232 | 1.05 |
| K (mg L-1) | 278 | 266 | 0.95 |
| Ca (mg L ⁻¹) | 29.5 | 39.1 | 1.32 |
| Mg (mg L ⁻¹) | 76.3 | 88.7 | 1.16 |
| Fe (mg L-1) | 2.50 | 6.00 | 2.40 |
| Zn (mg L ⁻¹) | 2.00 | 4.01 | 2.00 |
| Gibberellin (μg L ⁻¹) | 2 | 304 | 152 |

- (Q) aqueous extract of quiescent barley grains
- (S) aqueous extract of sprouted barley grains
- (CR) conversion ratio: calculated by dividing Q/S for each nutrient

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Vegetative growth traits

results in table 3A show that there is a significant impact of three ways interaction treatments in the vegetative growth traits of carrot for both seasons. B1T2C1 treatment produced the highest leaves number (13, 14), chlorophyll concentration (393, 396.2mg.100g⁻¹ F.W.) dried vegetative growth (5.167, 5.1g) for both seasons respectively, compared to B0T0C2.The results of Table 3 B demonstrated that there were significant

differences in two ways interaction between the aqueous extract of barley sprouts and trihalose. Plants with B1T2 produced the highest leaves number (12, 11.44), chlorophyll concentration (377.7, 379.5 mg.100g⁻¹ F.W.) dried vegetative growth (4.544, 4.5g) for both seasons respectively compared to B0T0. Plants with B1C1 were displayed the highest leaves number (11.33, 10.78), dried vegetative growth (4.033, 3.989g) for both seasons respectively compared to B0C0.

Table 3 A. Vegetative traits of carrot plant after treatment for three ways interaction of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| traits treatments | leaves number | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | dried vegetative | growth (g.) |
|----------------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------------|
| treatments | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | |
| Вотосо | 7.30 | 7.33 | 296.2 | 282.7 | 2.083 | 2.050 | |
| B0T0C1 | 7.33 | 7.00 | 298.4 | 285.2 | 2.433 | 2.400 | |
| B0T0C2 | 7.00 | 6.67 | 294.2 | 286.0 | 2.367 | 2.333 | |
| B0T1C0 | 7.67 | 7.67 | 301.6 | 291.7 | 2.717 | 2.667 | |
| B0T1C1 | 7.67 | 8.00 | 320.1 | 316.7 | 2.900 | 2.867 | |
| B0T1C2 | 7.33 | 7.67 | 299.5 | 298.3 | 2.800 | 2.767 | |
| B0T2C0 | 7.67 | 8.00 | 311.5 | 306.0 | 2.767 | 2.733 | |
| B0T2C1 | 8.67 | 8.33 | 361.1 | 354.6 | 3.300 | 3.267 | |
| B0T2C2 | 8.33 | 8.00 | 334.8 | 329.8 | 3.100 | 3.067 | |
| B1T0C0 | 7.67 | 7.67 | 298.9 | 286.4 | 2.367 | 2.333 | |
| B1T0C1 | 7.66 | 7.67 | 301.7 | 288.5 | 2.633 | 2.600 | |
| B1T0C2 | 7.50 | 6.60 | 297.2 | 289.6 | 2.532 | 2.500 | |
| B1T1C0 | 8.00 | 7.33 | 305.0 | 300.7 | 3.033 | 3.000 | |
| B1T1C1 | 12.33 | 11.67 | 368.7 | 369.3 | 4.300 | 4.267 | |
| B1T1C2 | 12.34 | 11.33 | 310.7 | 309.9 | 3.900 | 3.867 | |
| B1T2C0 | 9.00 | 9.33 | 357.0 | 361.9 | 3.867 | 3.833 | |
| B1T2C1 | 14.00 | 13.00 | 393.0 | 396.2 | 5.167 | 5.100 | |
| B1T2C2 | 13.00 | 12.00 | 382.7 | 380.5 | 4.600 | 4.567 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.41 | 1.32 | 17.4 | 19.3 | 0.348 | 0.347 | |

The findings of two ways interaction between calcium and trehalose (Table 3B) showed the consistent differences between treatments. T2C1 treatment increased leaves number (11.33,chlorophyll concentration 10.67), 375.4 $mg.100g^{-1}$ (377.3,F.W.) vegetative growth (4.233, 4.183g) for both seasons respectively compared to T0C0. The results of the statistical analysis of the individual factors showed the significant superiority of B1 in leaves number (10.19, 9.63), chlorophyll concentration (335, 331.4 mg.100g⁻¹ F.W.) dried vegetative growth (3.6, 3.563g) (Table 3C) for both seasons respectively compared to the lowest percentages in B0. Plants that received foliar trehalose application (75 mmol/l⁻¹) showed higher vegetative traits for both seasons, while the lowest traits were found in T0) (Table 3C). Spraying calcium (1 ml.l⁻¹) was produced the highest vegetative traits compared to the lowest numbers in C0) (Table 3C).

Table 3 B. Vegetative traits of carrot plant after treatment for two ways interaction of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| treatments | leaves number | | chlorophyll conc. (mg.100g | | d vegetative gro | wth (g.) |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | | | TXB | | | |
| B0T0 | 7.22 | 7.00 | 296.3 | 284.6 | 2.294 | 2.261 |
| B0T1 | 7.56 | 7.78 | 307.0 | 302.2 | 2.806 | 2.767 |
| B0T2 | 8.22 | 8.11 | 335.8 | 330.1 | 3.056 | 3.022 |
| B1T0 | 7.66 | 7.33 | 299.3 | 288.2 | 2.511 | 2.478 |
| B1T1 | 10.89 | 10.11 | 328.1 | 326.6 | 3.744 | 3.711 |
| B1T2 | 12.00 | 11.44 | 377.7 | 379.5 | 4.544 | 4.500 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 10.1 | 11.11 | 0.200 | 0.201 |
| | | | CXB | | | |
| B0C0 | 7.56 | 7.67 | 303.1 | 293.5 | 2.522 | 2.483 |
| B0C1 | 7.89 | 7.78 | 326.5 | 318.8 | 2.878 | 2.844 |
| B0C2 | 7.56 | 7.44 | 309.5 | 304.7 | 2.756 | 2.722 |
| B1C0 | 8.22 | 8.11 | 320.3 | 316.3 | 3.089 | 3.056 |
| B1C1 | 11.33 | 10.78 | 354.6 | 351.3 | 4.033 | 3.989 |
| B1C2 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 330.2 | 326.7 | 3.678 | 3.644 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.81 | 0.76 | N.S. | N.S. | 0.200 | 0.201 |
| | | | CXT | | | |
| T0C0 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 297.6 | 284.6 | 2.225 | 2.192 |
| T0C1 | 7.50 | 7.33 | 300.1 | 286.9 | 2.533 | 2.500 |
| T0C2 | 7.33 | 6.67 | 295.4 | 287.8 | 2.450 | 2.417 |
| T1C0 | 7.83 | 7.50 | 303.3 | 296.2 | 2.875 | 2.833 |
| T1C1 | 10.00 | 9.83 | 344.4 | 343.0 | 3.600 | 3.567 |
| T1C2 | 9.83 | 9.50 | 305.1 | 304.1 | 3.350 | 3.317 |
| T2C0 | 8.33 | 8.67 | 334.2 | 333.9 | 3.317 | 3.283 |
| T2C1 | 11.33 | 10.67 | 377.3 | 375.4 | 4.233 | 4.183 |
| T2C2 | 10.67 | 10.00 | 358.7 | 355.1 | 3.850 | 3.817 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 0.246 | 0.245 |

Table 3 C. Vegetative traits of carrot plant after treatment for individual factors of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| treatments | leaves n | leaves number | | eaves number chlorophyll conc. (mg.100g ⁻¹) | | dried vegetative growth (g.) | | |
|---------------|----------|---------------|------|--|-------|------------------------------|-------|--|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | | 2018 | 2019 | |
| | | | | В | | | | |
| $\mathbf{B0}$ | 7.67 | 7.63 | | 313.4 | 305.7 | 2.719 | 2.683 | |
| B1 | 10.19 | 9.63 | | 335.0 | 331.4 | 3.600 | 3.563 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.44 | | 5.8 | 6.4 | 0.116 | 0.11 | |
| | | | | T | | | | |
| T0 | 7.44 | 7.17 | | 297.8 | 311.7 | 2.403 | 2.369 | |
| T1 | 9.22 | 8.94 | | 317.6 | 314.4 | 3.275 | 3.23 | |
| T2 | 10.11 | 9.78 | | 356.7 | 354.8 | 3.800 | 3.76 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.54 | | 7.1 | 7.9 | 0.142 | 0.14 | |
| | | | | C | | | | |
| C0 | 7.89 | 7.89 | : | 311.7 | 304.9 | 2.806 | 2.769 | |
| Cl | 9.61 | 9.28 | : | 340.6 | 335.1 | 3.456 | 3.41 | |
| C2 | 9.28 | 8.72 | : | 319.8 | 315.7 | 3.217 | 3.18 | |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.54 | | 7.1 | 7.9 | 0.142 | 0.14 | |

Yield and quality traits in roots (carrots)

The most significant increases in carrot length (21.66, 21.3 cm), carrot weight (161.6, 159 g), TSS (10.76, 10.96 %), total sugars (8.619, 8.51 mg.100⁻¹g) was observed in B1T2C1 for both seasons respectively (Table 4A). Two ways

interaction between T2 and B1 had a significant impact on carrot length (19.77, 19.85 cm), carrot weight (149.6, 147.1g), TSS (9.7, 9.456 %) respectively (Table 4B). The results in the same table also showed the superiority of B1C1 by producing the highest

carrot length (18.99, 18.97 cm), carrot weight (140.5, 141.7 g), TSS (9.7, 9.867 %), total sugars (7.963, 7.845 mg.100⁻¹g) in the roots for both seasons. Exogenous application of calcium and trehalose significantly enhanced antioxidant traits (Table 4B). T2C1 showed the highest numbers in carrot length (19.68,

19.5 cm), carrot weight (148.3, 145.3 g), total sugars (7.772, 7.668 mg.100⁻¹g) for both seasons respectively (Table 4B). Statistical analysis of the individual factors (Table 4C) reveals the significant results of B1, T2, C1 treated plants by producing the highest carrot length, carrot weight, TSS, total sugars.

Table 4A. Yield and quality traits of carrot plant after treatment for three ways interaction of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| treatments | carrot length | | carrot v | veight | TSS (%) | | total su | |
|------------|---------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | (cm | 1) | (g. | (g.) | | | (mg.100) | 0g ⁻¹) |
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| В0Т0С0 | 15.33 | 15.60 | 101.0 | 101.4 | 7.533 | 7.633 | 5.079 | 5.098 |
| B0T0C1 | 15.50 | 16.00 | 105.0 | 104.3 | 8.167 | 8.267 | 6.139 | 5.782 |
| B0T0C2 | 15.36 | 15.90 | 99.33 | 103.0 | 8.067 | 8.200 | 5.990 | 6.009 |
| B0T1C0 | 16.10 | 16.20 | 117.3 | 115.6 | 8.333 | 8.233 | 7.151 | 7.040 |
| B0T1C1 | 17.06 | 16.83 | 118.3 | 119.3 | 8.800 | 8.933 | 6.825 | 6.745 |
| B0T1C2 | 16.30 | 16.30 | 113.3 | 115.0 | 8.467 | 8.600 | 6.218 | 6.032 |
| B0T2C0 | 16.23 | 16.53 | 115.0 | 115.6 | 8.133 | 8.067 | 7.868 | 7.701 |
| B0T2C1 | 17.70 | 17.70 | 135.0 | 131.6 | 8.733 | 8.867 | 6.925 | 6.825 |
| B0T2C2 | 17.17 | 17.06 | 121.6 | 122.7 | 8.728 | 8.870 | 7.031 | 6.894 |
| B1T0C0 | 15.56 | 15.83 | 101.3 | 107.6 | 7.933 | 8.000 | 6.689 | 6.583 |
| B1T0C1 | 15.70 | 16.50 | 110.0 | 116.7 | 8.233 | 8.332 | 7.036 | 6.917 |
| B1T0C2 | 15.70 | 16.36 | 102.6 | 106.6 | 8.133 | 8.233 | 5.670 | 5.524 |
| B1T1C0 | 16.63 | 17.23 | 118.3 | 119.3 | 8.467 | 8.567 | 7.861 | 7.815 |
| B1T1C1 | 19.60 | 19.13 | 150.0 | 149.6 | 10.100 | 10.300 | 8.235 | 8.108 |
| B1T1C2 | 18.06 | 18.26 | 148.6 | 147.3 | 9.567 | 9.767 | 6.075 | 5.903 |
| B1T2C0 | 17.80 | 17.76 | 132.3 | 128.3 | 8.200 | 8.333 | 7.984 | 7.846 |
| B1T2C1 | 21.66 | 21.30 | 161.6 | 159.0 | 10.760 | 10.960 | 8.619 | 8.510 |
| B1T2C2 | 19.80 | 20.50 | 155.0 | 154.0 | 10.200 | 10.400 | 6.604 | 6.502 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 0.865 | 0.890 | 0.459 | 0.540 |

Table 4 B. Yield and quality traits of carrot plant after treatment for two ways interaction of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| treatments | atments carrot length (cm) carrot weight (g.) TS | | TSS (%) | | total sugars (mg.100g ⁻¹) | | | |
|------------|--|-------|---------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | | | | TXB | | | | |
| ВОТО | 15.40 | 15.83 | 101.7 | 102.8 | 7.944 | 8.044 | 5.703 | 5.630 |
| B0T1 | 16.49 | 16.44 | 116.3 | 116.6 | 8.578 | 8.700 | 6.731 | 6.606 |
| B0T2 | 17.03 | 17.10 | 123.9 | 123.3 | 8.556 | 8.689 | 7.275 | 7.140 |
| B1T0 | 15.65 | 16.23 | 104.6 | 110.3 | 8.078 | 8.178 | 6.465 | 6.342 |
| B1T1 | 18.10 | 18.21 | 139.0 | 138.7 | 9.333 | 9.433 | 7.390 | 7.275 |
| B1T2 | 19.77 | 19.85 | 149.6 | 147.1 | 9.700 | 9.811 | 7.736 | 7.619 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 0.499 | 0.514 | N.S. | N.S. |
| | | | | CXB | | | | |
| B0C0 | 15.89 | 16.11 | 111.1 | 110.8 | 8.067 | 8.100 | 6.705 | 6.613 |
| B0C1 | 16.75 | 16.84 | 119.4 | 118.4 | 8.567 | 8.689 | 6.541 | 6.451 |
| B0C2 | 16.27 | 16.42 | 111.4 | 113.5 | 8.444 | 8.567 | 6.163 | 5.976 |
| B1C0 | 16.69 | 16.94 | 117.3 | 118.4 | 8.133 | 8.178 | 7.511 | 7.415 |
| B1C1 | 18.99 | 18.97 | 140.5 | 141.7 | 9.700 | 9.867 | 7.963 | 7.845 |
| B1C2 | 17.85 | 18.37 | 135.4 | 136.0 | 9.278 | 9.456 | 6.416 | 6.312 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 0.499 | 0.514 | 0.265 | 0.312 |
| | | | | CXT | | | | |
| T0C0 | 15.45 | 15.71 | 101.1 | 104.5 | 7.733 | 7.817 | 5.893 | 5.841 |
| T0C1 | 15.60 | 16.25 | 107.5 | 110.5 | 8.200 | 8.300 | 6.455 | 6.349 |
| T0C2 | 15.53 | 16.13 | 101.0 | 104.8 | 8.100 | 8.217 | 5.905 | 5.767 |
| T1C0 | 16.36 | 16.71 | 117.3 | 117.5 | 8.400 | 8.400 | 7.506 | 7.427 |
| T1C1 | 18.33 | 17.98 | 134.1 | 134.5 | 9.450 | 9.617 | 7.530 | 7.428 |
| T1C2 | 17.18 | 17.28 | 131.0 | 131.1 | 9.017 | 9.183 | 6.147 | 5.968 |
| T2C0 | 17.05 | 17.15 | 123.6 | 122.0 | 8.167 | 8.200 | 7.926 | 7.774 |
| T2C1 | 19.68 | 19.50 | 148.3 | 145.3 | 9.750 | 9.917 | 7.772 | 7.668 |
| T2C2 | 18.48 | 18.78 | 138.3 | 138.3 | 9.467 | 9.633 | 6.817 | 6.698 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 6.9 | 7.0 | N.S. | N.S. | 0.324 | 0.382 |

Table 4 C. Yield and quality traits of carrot plant after treatment for individual factors of fall 2018 and fall 2019 seasons

| treatments | carrot leng | gth (cm) | carrot | weight (g.) | TSS (% | (o) | total sugars (mg.100g ⁻¹) | |
|------------|-------------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| | | | | В | | | | |
| B0 | 16.30 | 16.46 | 114.7 | 114.3 | 8.359 | 8.478 | 6.570 | 6.458 |
| B1 | 17.84 | 18.10 | 131.1 | 132.0 | 9.037 | 9.141 | 7.197 | 7.079 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.288 | 0.297 | 0.153 | 0.180 |
| | | | | T | | | | |
| T0 | 15.52 | 16.03 | 103.2 | 106.6 | 8.011 | 8.112 | 6.084 | 5.986 |
| T1 | 17.29 | 17.32 | 127.6 | 127.7 | 8.956 | 9.067 | 7.061 | 6.941 |
| T2 | 18.40 | 18.47 | 136.7 | 135.2 | 9.128 | 9.250 | 7.505 | 7.380 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.353 | 0.363 | 0.187 | 0.221 |
| | | | | В | | | | |
| CO | 16.28 | 16.52 | 114.2 | 114.7 | 8.100 | 8.139 | 7.108 | 7.014 |
| C1 | 17.87 | 17.91 | 130.0 | 130.1 | 9.133 | 9.278 | 7.252 | 7.148 |
| C2 | 17.06 | 17.40 | 123.4 | 125.0 | 8.861 | 9.011 | 6.289 | 6.144 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.353 | 0.363 | 0.187 | 0.221 |

Exogenous application of sprouted barley aqueous extract, trehalose, and calcium was efficiently utilized by increasing the vegetative growth, quality, and yield traits. The reason behind this could be due to the synergetic effect of each factor in triggering different activation of different cellular process. Sprouted barley aqua extract has sufficient amount of gibberellins (Table 2), which has a role in cells division and elongation. In addition to that; trehalose spray has a contribution in nitrate assimilation in leaves (15). Meristematic cells need calcium to form the spindle and enlarge the cells (4;22). As a result that's all led to optimizing vegetative. quality, and yield traits. The significant impact of T2B1 in vegetative growth, quality, and yield traits could be due to trehalose role in increasing photosynthesis and plant biomass (15), thus has an impact on chlorophyll accumulation in leaves Moreover, sprouted barley agua extract has bioavailable forms of nutrients (Table 2). The superiority of B1C1 in vegetative growth, quality, and yield traits. may be due to contributing those factors in enhancing plant structure by their impact on cell walls i.e. calcium is a main component of cell walls and gibberellins (in sprouted barley aqua extract Table 2) have a role in cell wall extensibility, which led to form strong cell walls and highly cells with better organized aqueous relationships. In fact, that's all reflecting the improvement of plant growth and dry matter accumulation and yield (22). The significant impact of T2C1 in vegetative growth, quality, and yield traits is due to the work of both of calcium and trehalose in preserving cell membranes. In fact, trehalose contributes in

cells membranes stability and homeostasis by forming hydrogen bonds between its hydroxyl groups and hydrogen bonds of membranes phospholipids (3). Calcium maintains plasma membranes by increasing its activity by constantly opening or closing Ca⁺² channels in response to a specific signal, which leads to better functioning of membranes. This means better control over the biological processes in the plant, better diagnosis and reception of signals and stimuli from outside the cell, and better connection between cells and their biological pathways (4; 22). As a result, better efficiency and homeostasis of the plant tissues and improving the growth and development in the plant. The significant influence of all individual treatments is due to their impact on plant metabolism from different angles, which leads to improving plant fitness and increasing its growth, development and responses to external signals and enhances its absorption and assimilation of the elements, i. e. The richness of aqueous extract of barley sprouts in gibberellin and bioavailable nutrients (Table 2) and the effect of trehalose in increasing photosynthesis rates and plant biomass (15). Besides, trehalose role in regulation nitrate assimilation enzymes (15) Finally, calcium effect in building plant structure as a major component of plant cell walls as well as being a cellular and osmotic regulator and coenzymatic for several biological processes in plants (4; 7;22). In conclusion; two seasons of field and laboratory studies authenticate that aqueous extract of barley sprouts, trehalose, and moderate level of calcium had a profound role in enhancing vegetative, quality, and yield traits in carrots.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ali, N. S., H. S. Rahi, and A. A. Shackir, 2014. Soil Fertility. Arabic Community publisher for Publication and Distribution 1st ed , pp: 307
- 2. Al-Khafaji, A. M. H. H. 2019. Stimulation growth, yield, and accumulation of antioxidant compounds of onion hybrids by colored shades of poly ethylene covers. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 50(6): 1580-1587
- 3. Bhandal, I. S., R. M. Hauptmann, and J. M. Widholm. 1985. Trehalose as cryoprotectant for the freeze preservation of carrot and tobacco cells. Plant Physiol. 78, 430-432
- 4. Bhatla, S. C., and M. A.Lal. 2019. Plant Physiology, Development and Metabolism. Springer Nature, Library of Congress, pp: 1237.
- 5. Burek, M, S. Waskiewicz, L. Wandzik, and K. Kaminska. 2015. Trehalose properties, biosynthesis and applications. Chemik, 69(8): 469-476
- 6. Debast, S., A. Nunes-Nesi, M. R. Hajirezaei, J. Hofmann, U. Sonnewald, A. R. Fernie, and F. Bornke. 2011. Altering trehalose-6-phosphate content in transgenic potato tubers affects tuber growth and alters responsiveness to hormones during sprouting. Plant Physiol. 156, 1754-1771
- 7. El Habbasha S.F., and F. M. Ibrahim, 2015. Calcium: physiological function, deficiency and absorption. International Journal of Chem. Tech Research, 8(12): 196-202
- 8. FAO .2017. www.fao.org/statistics
- 9. Feofilova E. P., A. I. Usov, I. S. Mysyakina, and G. A. Kochkina. 2014. Trehalose: chemical structure, biological functions, and practical application. Microbiology 2014, 83, 184–194
- 10. Goodwin, T. W. 1976. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Plant Pigment. 2nd Academic. Press. London. NewYork. San Francisco, pp:373
- 11. Hussein, M. A., 2016. Response of cauliflower plants to spray with nutrients solutions from different sources. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 47(5):1218-1224
- 12. Iturriaga, G., R. Suárez, and B. N. Franco. 2009. Trehalose metabolism: from osmoprotection to signaling. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 10, 3793-3810

- 13. Joslyn. M. A. 1970. Methods in Food Analysis, Physical, Chemical and Instrumental Methods of Analysis, 2nd ed. Academic Press, N.Y. and London. pp: 845
- 14. Lee, C. W., K. H. Cho, L. J. Cihacek, and R. W. Stack. 2000. Influence of foliar application of calcium nitrate on carrot root tissue electrolyte leakage and storage characteristics. Hortscience, 35(3): 375-384
- 15. Lin, Y., J. Zhang, W. Gao, Y. Chen, H. Li, D. W. Lawlor, M. J. Paul, and W. Pan. 2017. Exogenous trehalose improves growth under limiting nitrogen through upregulation of nitrogen metabolism. BMC Plant Biology, 17(247): 1-13
- 16. Martins, M. C. M. Hejazi, M. Fettke, J. Steup, M. Feil, R. Krause, U. Arrivault, S. Vosloh, D. Figueroa, and C. M. Ivakov. 2013. Feedback inhibition of starch degradation in arabidopsis leaves mediated by trehalose 6-phosphate. Plant Physiol. 163, 1142-1163
- 17. Marton, M., and Z. Mandoki. 2010. The role of sprouts in human nutrition a review. Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Alimentaria, (3) 81-117 18. Rubatzky, V. E., C. F. Quiros and P. W. Simon. 1999. Carrots and Related Vegetables Umbelliferae. CABI Publishing. pp:294
- 19. Saaseea, K.G. and N. J. K. Al-a'amry. 2018. Effect of foliar application with calcium, magnesium and fertilizing with humic acid on growth, yield, and storage ability of potato tubers. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 49(5): 897-905
- 20. Shafiq, S., N. A. Akram, and M. Ashraf. 2015. Does exogenously-applied trehalose alter oxidative defense system in the edible part of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) under water-deficit conditions? Scientia Horticulturae, 185,pp: 68-75
- 21. Simon, P., M. Lorizzo, D. Grzebelus, and R. Baranski. 2019. The Carrot Genome. Springer Nature Switzerland AG 1st ed. pp: 372
- 22. Taiz, L., E. Zeiger, I. M. Moller, and A. Murphy. 2014. Plant Physiology and Development. 6th ed, Sinauer Assotiates, Inc., Publishers Sunderland, Massachusetts. pp, 761 23. Zhang Z, Y. Deng, X. Song, and M. Miao. 2015. Trehalose-6-phosphate and SNF₁-related protein kinase 1 are involved in the first-fruit inhibition of cucumber. J Plant Physiol 177: 110–120.