# THE EFFECIENCY OF ENTERIC *LACTOBACILLUS* IN PREVENTING HEMORRHAGIC COLITIS AND BLOCKING SHIGA TOXINS PRODUCTIONS IN RATS MODELS INFECTED WITH ENTEROHEMORRHAGIC *ESCHERICHIA COLI* (EHEC)

SH. A. Al wendawi Asist. Prof. Dept. of Biology, College of Science University of Baghdad, Baghdad/ Iraq Shadan59alwendawi@gmail.com S.M. Al Rekaby Asist. Lecturer Dentistry College, Uruk University Baghdad/ Iraq samar0808@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to investigate the prophylactic roles of human enteric derived *Lactobacillus plantarum* L1 (Ll) and *Lactobacillus paracasei* L2 (L2), on EHEC O157:H7 infection in rodent models (*In vivo*). The *Lactobacillus* suspensions (L1 and L2) were individually and orally administered to experimental rats at a daily two consecutives of 100  $\mu$ l (10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ ml/rat) for up to two weeks. Thereafter, on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of experiment rats were orally challenged with one dose infection of EHEC (10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml/rat). Animals mortality and illness symptoms have been monitored. There was no fatal EHEC infection in rats that had been pre-colonized with the *Lactobacillus* strains, while most of EHEC infected rats were died (90%). The Stx1 and Stx2 levels were significantly lower (14 and 12 folds) in the L1and L2 pre-inoculated rates respectively, compared with those in the EHEC colonized group. Histological sections were proven the prophylactic roles of L1 and L2, whereas, no effective histological upsets were detected in *Lactobacillus* + EHEC- colonized rats. The cytopathic symptoms were predominant in kidney and intestinal sections of EHEC infected rats. The kidney sections cytopathy manifested to lining membrane ulceration, infiltration of mononuclear cells and glomerular and tubular epithelium necrosis. The striking attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions were prominent in intestinal sections of EHEC infected animal models.

Key words: Diarrheagenic *E. coli*, hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), intestinal microbiome, probiotic *Lactobacillus*.

الونداوي والركابي	جلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2021 :52 (6):1356-1346				
ب القولون النزفي وتقيد انتاج سموم الشيكا في جرذان	التناول المسبق لعصيات الملبنة المعوية تقي من الإصابة بالتها				
القولون النزفية	التجارب المطعمة ببكتريا				
سمر مصطفى الركابي	شادان عباس الونداوي				
مدرس مساعد	أستاذ مساعد				
كلية طب الاسنان – جامعة اوروك، بغداد–العراق	قسم علوم الحياة – كلية العلوم – جامعة بغداد				

المستخلص

الهدف من الدراسة الحالية هو التحري عن الدور الوقائي لكل من الملبنة المعوية (1) Lactobacillus plantarum L1 ( (2) بتم تطعيم جزدان التجارب فمويا بعالق كل من بكتريا ((12) Lactobacillus ببكتريا القولون النزفي في الجرذان (داخل الجسم الحي) . تم تطعيم جزدان التجارب فمويا بعالق كل من بكتريا لدو (12) La و 22 بعد خلايا <sup>108</sup> خلية / مل / جرذ ويشكل منفرد ولمرتين يوميا ولفترة أسبوعين و بالتزامن و ابتداء من اليوم الثامن للتجربة تم تطعيم الجرذان بجرعة فموية واحدة من عالق بكتريا القولون النزفي في الجرذان (داخل الجسم الحي) . تم تطعيم جزدان التجارب فمويا بعالق كل من بكتريا لدو (12 و 22 بعدد خلايا <sup>108</sup> خلية / مل / جرذ و يشكل منفرد ولمرتين يوميا ولفترة أسبوعين و بالتزامن و ابتداء من اليوم الثامن للتجربة مع تثبيت الجرذان بجرعة فموية واحدة من عالق بكتريا EHEC و بعدد خلايا <sup>5</sup> 10/ مل/ جرذ و تم مراقبة الاعراض المرضية الظاهرة خلال فترة التجربة مع تثبيت نسب نفوق الحيوانات. لم تظهر إصابات مرضية شديدة في الحيوانات المطعمة مسبقا ببكتريا Lactobacillus لينما نفقت معظم الحيوانات (بنسبة المعرفي الحيوانات. لم تظهر إصابات مرضية شديدة في الحيوانات المطعمة مسبقا ببكتريا EHEC و بمعدل 12 و14 مرة في خروج الحيوانات (بنسبة المعاملة مسبقا ببكتريا EHEC و انخفضت مستويات سموم الشيكا 201 و 21 على ماحوظ و بمعدل 12 و14 مرة في خروج الحيوانات الملعمة المعاملة مسبقا ببكتريا EHEC و الموانات الملعملة و 10%) الملقدة ببكتريا EHEC و الدورانات الملعمة الحيوانات الملعمة معربي و 20% ماحوظ و بمعدل 12 و14 مرة في خروج الحيوانات المعاملة مسبقا ببكتريا EHEC و النونان المعاملة و 20% ماحول و المرة السبجبة للكلى وترشت والأمعاء التأثيرات الوقائية لتطعيم الجرذان مسبقا ببكتريا Stal و 21 على التوالي عند المقارنة مع الحيوانات الملقدة ببكتريا EHEC و يكن و لمريزين و ولاحد و و و و علم و المولي و التأثيران و 14 مرة و والمحة في حين كانت المعاملة والأمعاء التأثيرات الوقائية لنصيبية البكل الكلور والمرة و والمحة في المعال الكلوي و و و و مرولي و و المرة المولية والمحة في المعارين و و الحلولي و الحلي و و الخلي و المرولي و و المرة واضحة في المعارينا و المعاولي و والمحة في المطالي الملغينيا و النسيجبية والمحة في المطالي الملغيي والمولي و واضحة في المطالي الملغية الطبيبي و السميوا والموليية وال

الكلمات المفتاحية: بكتريا القولون المسببة للإسهال، متلازمة انحلال الدم اليوريمي، الفلورا المعوية الطبيعية، المعززات الحيوية لعصيات الملبنة، Received:13/10/2020, Accepted:24/1/2021

## **INTRODUCTION**

The enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) O157:H7 or shiga toxin producing E. coli (STEC) is food-borne and zoonotic pathogen, belonging to diarrheagenic E. coli. Ruminants, particularly cattle, are considered the primary reservoir of EHEC and the main source of human infection (19). EHEC is one of the most world's common intestinal pathogen, and its illnesses are typically severe infections, manifestation ranging from diarrhea and hemorrhagic colitis (HC) to the possibly fatal hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) (20). Shiga-like toxins (Stxs) are the key virulent pathogenic factors in EHEC infections, and closely related to the pathogenicity of charring strains. Once EHEC enter host body start Stxs production, through type III secretion system (28). The genes encoding Stxs are located within temperate lysogenic phages integrated to the host bacterial genome (16). Stxs are subdivided into two major classes Stx1 and Stx2, each one contains several subclasses. EHEC strains may secreted one or more Stxs subclasses, strains of serotype O157:H7 the most common etiological agent of HUS, often producing both of Stx1 and Stx2. Stx2 has been found to be associated more frequently with severe illness Stxs is an AB<sub>5</sub> toxin family that (17).selectively binds through its B subunit to the globotriaosylceramide (Gb3), which is a Stxs receptor expressed in the enterocyte's epithelium and on the surface of endothelial cells (12). Stxs binding mediates the distraction of electrolytes channels in the intestinal epithelial membrane, resulting massive loss of ions and water, thus inhibiting protein biosynthesis and inducing cell apoptosis, leading to organ damage (22,31). In addition to presentation of Stxs, the fully virulent phenotypic strains of EHEC include intimin as an additional virulence factors that involved for attaching and effacing (A/E) lesion on host intestinal microvilli (15). Antimicrobial agents are not recommended for treatment of EHEC infections as they are known to stimulate bacterial cell lysis and the release of lysogenic toxins, and thus raising the risk of HUS (10). The management of an EHEC infection depends mainly on the

care and hydration. Various supportive therapeutic alternative approaches are available, one of novel and promising treatment practices is uses of probiotics for management of EHEC infections (9). Probiotics are live beneficial microorganisms that provide the host with a health benefit when ingested in adequate amounts (8). Many probiotic strains are members of the intestinal microbiota, some of which have been increasingly incorporated into foods and supplements to preserve gut balance and strength the functions of gastrointestinal tract (5). The association between specific intestinal microbiota, and EHEC infection has been explored in several in vitro and in vivo. Cascade of pathways were attributed to the probiotic's protective strategies and their interactions to EHEC. Probiotics probably are likely to be able to reorganize host-infectious agent/toxin interactions. making these interactions unfavorable (27). Probiotic bind receptors and occupy expressed bv enterocytes, and create decoy receptors that have trapped toxins, or are capable of modifying the intestinal microenvironment, make such interactions unfavorable (13). the Lactobacillus members represents predominant Firmicutes groups of gastrointestinal microbiotas, strains belonging Lactobacilli have established safety record and have been given generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status by the United States Food and Drug Administration (14). Strains of enteric *Lactobacillus* are highly relevant for protection of tissue invasion the bv enteropathogenesis, by utilizing different defensive mechanisms of host colonization by pathogens, and modulating host immune response (11). The extent of lactobacilli protective mechanisms is strain-dependent. For instance, the production of organic acids by the L. delbrueckiiUFV-H2b20 has been shown to be an important antagonistic factor, and the production of short chain fatty acids, like butyrate may be significant as well (16). An in vitro research showed that co-cultivation of EHEC with assorted probiotics culture include, Bifidobacterium, Pediococcus, and Lactobacillus strains results in reduction of Stx2 production. This behavior attributed to pH dropping due to the organic acids released

by these agents (7). The objectives of the present study were, the *in vivo* assessment the prophylactic mechanisms of two potentially probiotic strains of enteric Lactobacilli, *L. plantarum* and *L. paracasei* isolated from infants' feces against Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) in rodent model.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS Microorganism and growth conditions

Two potentially probiotic isolates of enteric Lactobacillus were used in the study, L. plantarum L1 (Ll) and L. paracasei L2 (L2), both isolates were previously isolated from human infants' feces, and suggested to be promising probiotic isolates with regards bactericidal activity. Lactobacillus strains were recovered from glycerol stock cultures (30%) at -80°C on de-Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar medium (Himedia, India), under anaerobic conditions (anaerobic jar supplied with Gas Pak, BioMerieux, France) cultures were incubated at 37°C for 48h. Using of MRS agar, a few subculture steps were carried out to obtain pure cultures. The diagnosis of both L1 and L2 was confirmed by DNA sequencing of the 16S rRNA, GenBank BLAST analysis confirmed the identification of both strains with accession No. of HM101329.1 and MF423812.1 respectively (2) .E. coli O157:H7 (EHEC )was refreshed from glycerol stock culture (20%) at brain heart infusion agar and diagnosis was

confirmed by culturing on sorbitol MacConkey agar (SMAC).

Animals: Six week- old male Albino rats with an average weight of  $112.48\pm0.02$  g was used in the trails, they were purchased from National Control Center for Drugs and Since Researches (NCCDR) / Iraq. Rats were kept in plastic cages (2 animals/cage), and maintained on a 12:12 light: dark cycle at regulated temperature  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, the animals were given free access to food and water during the entire experiment duration.

## Experimental design

The experimental animals, rats (n = 40) were assigned randomly to four different experimental groups (A, B, C, D), 10 rats/ group (Table. 1). The group A animals considered as negative control group, were left uninoculated, and left on normal rodent chew diet for two weeks. Stable colonization of gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of group B animals was achieved by two consecutives oral doses of 100 ul (10<sup>8</sup>CFU/ ml/ rat) of L1 suspension daily for two weeks. Same inoculation protocol was followed for colonization the GIT of group C animals with L2 suspension. A week later of Lactobacillus colonization (on the 8<sup>th</sup> day), animals in groups B, C, D were orally challenged with one dose infection of EHEC (10°CFU/ ml/ rat). Throughout the study, the illness symptoms, survival rate (number of alive/total number of rats), and animal's weight was reported daily.

Experimental groups	Group descriptive				
Group A	Control group (not inoculated)				
Group B	Orally L1 pre-inoculated + EHEC infected group				
Group C	Orally L2 pre-inoculated + EHEC infected group				
Group D	Orally EHEC challenged group				

Table 1. Experimental animals groups design

**Detection of Stxs in rats' fecal samples** 

The Stxs titers were qualified using a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA Kit/ antibodies, R-Biopharm AG, Germany). In brief, after EHEC challenge the freshly collected samples of feces were suspended in PBS (1:1) at time intervals of 1, 3, 5 and 7 days, fecal suspensions were centrifuged (900 x g, 10 min, at  $25^{\circ}$ C), and the supernatant was used for Stxs levels detection.

Gastrointestinal tract colonization

*Lactobacillus* colonization of rats GIT, was tracked by viable cell count (CFU) in freshly collected fecal samples, at time intervals of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 days of the experiment, while, EHEC rat's GIT colonization was tracked at time intervals of 1, 3, 5 and 7 days following the pathogen challenge. Fecal samples were serially diluted (10-fold) and 100  $\mu$ l aliquot inoculated on MRS agar for *Lactobacillus* counts and SMAC agar for EHEC counts, plates incubated for 48 h at

 $37 \,^{\circ}{\rm C}$  (MRS plates were incubated anaerobically and SMAC plates under aerobic conditions). The identification of feces recovered *Lactobacillus* were confirmed using API 50 (BioMerieux, France), and CFU was expressed as (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ gm feces). All the counting was done triplicates.

## Histopathological analysis

survival animals Selected from each experimental group were killed and dissected, thereafter kidneys and intestines were removed, the obtained organs kept in 10% formaldehyde at room temperature ( $\sim 25 ^{\circ} C$ ) overnight. Tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and sections examined under compound microscopes.

## Ethics approval and agree to participate

The experimental protocol was carried out in compliance with Dept. Biology / College of science / Baghdad University, research ethics committee (approval No. BEC/0620/0028).

## Statistical analysis

The Statistical Analysis System- SAS, program was used to detect the effect of difference factors in study parameters. Least significant difference –LSD test was used to significant compare between means in this study.

## **RESULTS AND DISCCUTION**

In vivo prophylactic strategies of Lactobacillus pre-feeding against EHEC infection, was analyzed in rodent model. Animals weight were tracked throughout the study as general wellbeing index. Non-statistically significant difference (P>0.05) was observed between the weight gain of animals in B and C groups, in contrast, the rat's weight in group D decreased significantly (P<0.05) compared to other experimental groups, rats infected with EHEC lost >15% of their initial weight by the day 8 of infection (Table .2). The survival rate (number of alive/ total number of rats) was reported daily, no deaths occurred in groups A animals, one mortality was recorded during inoculation sessions in group B and two in group C animals. The survival rate was drastically declined to 10 % in group D, nine of animals in the group died at the end of trail. The group D animals (EHEC challenged group) were associated with the main identified symptoms of illness. It was limited to the severe diarrhea, lethargy, hair loss, and movement anxiety disorder. In group B and C aforementioned animals. the clinical symptoms were almost invisible, except the lethargic and inactivity of few animals. It was clear the pre- inoculation of Lactobacillus L1 and L2 were able to confer defense against infection with EPEC, as demonstrated by the absence of visible disease symptoms and high survival rate, in groups B and C animals relative to animals of group D, and may indicated that the involvement of potentially probiotic Lactobacillus could colonize the rats digestive tract with good intestinal lining furnishing and consequently started to exert antagonistic behavior, that reduced the incidence of EHEC infection, the mortality rate and improved the general health scores (11). Antimicrobial activity against various pathogens is an essential attribute of probiotic members of Lactobacillus for preserving a healthy microbial balance in the GIT. The protective mechanisms of Lactobacillus are specific and considered strain to be multifactorial mechanisms assign to the production of different metabolites such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, ethanol, acetaldehyde, acetoin, carbon dioxide, and bacteriocins. In addition, the competitive exclusion, immune modulation, stimulation of host defenses and the development of signaling molecules that may trigger changes in expression of genes (16,18).

## Table 2. Experimental rats body weight (g)

-								
	groups	Initial	Final					
		wt.(g)	wt.(g)					
	Α	112.5	292.0					
	В	111.19	287.31					
	С	111.68	284.1					
	D	112.04	93.86					
	LSD	24.094	41.772					

## **Detection of Stxs in rats fecal samples**

In the *Lactobacillus* pre-colonized rats' groups, the levels of Stx1 and Stx2 were significantly suppressed compared with those in the EHEC-challenged group (group D), furthermore, no major differences were observed in Stx1 and Stx2 levels between the L1 and L2 pre-colonized groups. By contrast, the Stx1 and Stx2 levels have been noticeably rose from third day and up in the feces of group D animals. The Stx2 exhibited >14-fold higher levels at day 7 compared with rates colonized by L1, and >12-fold higher

compared with rats colonized by L2. While, the level of Stx1 increased at lower rate, on day 7 it exhibited >9-fold higher compared with rats colonized by L1 and >7-fold higher compared with rats colonized with L2 (Table .3).

Table 3.	<b>Detection the</b>	levels of S	Stxs (measu	red by	ELISA) i	n the fecal	samples of
	exi	perimenta	al rat'schall	lenge w	ith EHEC	7	

<b>_</b>	Stxs level (ng. ml <sup>-1</sup> ) in rats' fecal samples							
	Stx1			Stx2				
Experimental groups Control group (group A)	day1 nd	day3 nd	day5 nd	day7 nd	day1 nd	day3 nd	day5 nd	day7 nd
L1+EHEC colonized group (group B)	nd	12	10.6	11	nd	18.8	nd	21
L2+EHEC colonized group (group C)	nd	nd	8.4	10.2	nd	13	37	25
EHEC-colonized group (group D)	nd	86	122	153	nd	165	210	300
LSD	nd	5.85	11.64	16.52	nd	19.74	18.55	26.31

(n = 8-10 per group). Data are presented as mean ±SD. (P<0.05). (nd, not detected)

The high productivity of Stx2 may it reflects the pathogenicity of used EHEC strains and as it is also approved by high rates of mortality (90%) in group D animals. There is growing evidence in impact of Stx2-producing EHEC strains in the pathogenesis of HC and HUS (17). The obtained results from previous experiment in this study demonstrated that the co-inoculation of L1 and L2 with EHEC effectively decreased the lethality of EHEC infection. The mechanism by which the probiotics protected host animals from EHEC infection were not fully elucidated, may this be attributed even partially to the suppression of Stxs production. Shiga toxins are the main virulence factor of EHEC strains (16). Stxs produced by EHEC promotes apoptosis of intestinal epithelial lining (31). Inhibition of Stxs output and circulation in the body is the key role in prevention of lethal EHEC infection (23). Commensal bacteria may influence the concentration of Stxs in the gut by inhibiting the growth of EHEC. The researches have been shown that the pure cultures of assorted probiotic strains inhibit Stx transcription in laboratory media (21). In the streptomycin-treated mouse model of EHEC infection. human derived intestinal Bifidobacterium inhibited the production of mitomycin C-induce Stxs, without interfering with EHEC growth (3). The pH reduction due to acetate production was proposed to mediate the restrictive impact of Lactobacillus strains on Stxs biosynthesis (7). The molecular cross talk via or independently of the quorum sensing that controls the expression of Stxs

may be the underlining the molecular mechanism (29).

#### Gastrointestinal tract colonization

Two consecutive daily doses of 10<sup>8</sup>/CFU viable L1 and L2 were administrated to experimental animals in the groups B and C weeks. in individual bases. for The colonization of rats GIT was monitored by reisolation of viable lactobacilli from animals' fecal samples intervals. The review of obtained data showed that the involved inoculation protocol was sufficient to establish proper GIT furnishing. The L1 and L2 was sufficiently achieved GIT colonization and maintained high population level, the account of L1 and L2 were almost doubled, increasing by almost two log cycles. With no substantial difference (P>0.05) in the number of viable cells between the two experimental groups B and C throughout the feces sampling sessions. Whereas, Ll and L2 were re-isolated from rats' feces at average levels 2.24-5.29 and 1.88-4.92 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/gm feces respectively (fig.1). The antibacterial effectiveness of Lactobacillus is highly dependent on its survival, persistence and proliferation in GIT. The attachment and adhesion capacity can be considered as a standard biomarker for the selection of potential probiotic strains, as proliferation will not advance without this requirement being The exclusion and displacement of met. pathogens, as well as the competition profile, depends on adhesion and proliferation (4). The probiotic Lactobacillus members inhibition of pathogen adhesion showed variability and indicated that it is simply a strain dependent

Al wendawi & Al Rekaby

Studies have documented that property. probiotics compete with pathogens for the adhesion sites, since both probiotics and pathogens have common kind of adhesins on their surfaces (25). From the analysis of L1 and L2 feces shedding numbers we can assure that these isolates have met the condition of adhesion and good proliferation in rats GIT. Otherwise, the pathogen EHEC recovery from the feces of rats was substantially and gradually reduced in Lactobacillus + EHEC inoculated rats. Complete clearance level was not performed. The L2 pre-fed (group C) showed a significant decline in the viability of EHEC at the last experimental day  $(1.89 \log_{10})$ CFU/gm of faces) compared to viability recorded of group D animals. group B animals (L1 pre-fed) also had shed a lower count of EHEC (2.01 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ gm of faces) in the fecal samples. In contrast the pathogen proliferated aggressively in rats infected with EHEC alone (group D), as the shedding number of EHEC in the feces samples was

increased significantly (P<0.05), with a viable count rising by approximately three log cycle and reaching 6.12 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/gm feces at day 14 of experiment (fig.2). Studies suggested that in the early stage of EHEC infection the pathogen count is crucial factor influencing the severity of infection and enables adhesion to the epithelial cells that leading to establishment of disease (32). Several studies have reported that enteric Lactobacillus strains exclude pathogenic E. coli from GIT adhesion sites in different cell lines and animal models. The probiotic *Lactobacillus* parscasei was inhibit reported to the adhesion of enterotoxigenic E. coli K99 to the intestinal mucosa in gnotobiotic lambs (29). Oral prefeeding of live Lactobacillus. Delbrueckii UFV-H2b20 has been shown to significantly protects against infection of E. coli O157:H7 in mice (19) Similarly, Lactobacillus plantarum promoted cell adhesion reduction of *E. coli* O157:H7 in murine model(4).



Figure 1. Viability (CFU/gm feces) of L. plantarum (L1) and L. paracasei (L2) in faces samples of rats in two evaluated groups B and C. (n = 8 -10 / group). Data are presented as mean ±SD. (P<0.05).



Figure 2. viability (CFU/gm) of EHEC (*E. coli* O157:H7) in fecal samples of experimental rats in three evaluated groups B, C, and D. (n = 1 - 10 / group). Data are presented as mean ±SD, (P < 0.05).

## Histopathological study

The protective effects of rat's pre-colonization by *Lactobacillus* isolates was declared in histological analysis of intestine and kidney sections. L1 and L2 Pre-feeding protected the host animals from the development of pathogenic lesions and almost completely repressed the inflammatory phenomena caused by EHEC inoculation. The cytopathic signs have clearly been detected in the kidneys and intestine of EPEC-colonized group (group D). No cytopathic lesions were detected in kidney section of group B animals (fig. 3 A), while, there was some neutrophils infiltration in kidney histological sections of group C (fig,3 The cytopathic signs were obviously **B**). observed in the kidneys of EPEC-colonized group (group D), histopathological lesions were indicated with endothelial cell swelling and hyperplasia, infiltration of mononuclear cells, necrosis of glomerular and renal tubules, and ulceration of lining membrane, with no evidence of glomerular thrombi (fig.3, C and D). The acute tubular necrosis without tubular thrombi was also observed in streptomycintreated mice model involved for demonstration of EHEC pathogenesis (25). Not noticing of glomerular thrombi in the examined section indicated that the study used strain of EHEC did not mediated HUS (30), furthermore, the glomerular damage emphasized the cytopathic effects of Stx2 on capillary endothelial cells (23). The effacement of microvilli, depletion of gobleted cell and neutrophils infiltration, were the main cytopathic lesions that observed in the intestinal sections of group D animals. The characteristic attaching and effacing (A/

E) lesions also was clear in intestinal epithelia. All the mentioned cytopathic lesions were not detected in intestinal sections of group B, except some ulceration was detected in group C intestinal sections, this may be due to technical mistakes in preparation procedure and staining process (fig.4, A, B and C). The observation of A/E of intestinal microvilli phenomenon may confirm the adherence of EHEC to the enterocytes was mediated by intimin the outer-membrane adhesin proteins, that play a crucial role in EHEC infection initiation (1, 24).

#### Conclusion

The current study demonstrated that orally administration of human derived enteric *Lactobacillus* prevented EHEC infection in rats' model. It suggested the protective strategies may be associated with shiga toxin production suppression. Regarding to *L. plantarum* and *L. paracasei*, GIT colonization, the competitive exclusion also plays a role in protective mechanisms. Further studies are needed to explain the mechanism that underlies the protective function of *L. plantarum* and *L. paracasei* against EHEC infection.



Figure 3. Histopathological analysis of rat's kidney sections, hematoxylin and eosin staining (40X). A, represents *L. plantarum* + EHEC colonized rat kidney. B, represents *L. paracasei* +EHEC colonized rat kidney. C and D, represents EHEC- mono-colonized rat kidney, showed clear cytopathic lesions pronounced by necrosis of glomerular and renal tubules, ulceration of lining membrane and infiltration of mononuclear cells





Figure 4. Histopathological analysis of rat's intestinal sections, hematoxylin and eosin staining (40X). A, represents *L. plantarum* + EHEC colonized rat intestine. B, represents *L. paracasei* +EHEC colonized rat intestine. C, represents EHEC- mono-colonized rat intestine, showed clear cytopathic lesions pronounced by effacement of microvilli, depletion of gobleted cell and neutrophils infiltration

#### REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, N.A., S.S. Mahmood, and A.H. Abbas. 2019. A comparative study of some virulence factors and phylogenetic characterization of E. coli isolates causing urinary tract infection and the commensal gut microbiota. Iraqi. J. of agricultural Science. 50 (4):1193-1198

2. Amal, I. H. 2014. Antagonistic activity of *Lactobacillus* spp. Against ulcer gastritis associated bacteria, (Thesis)

3. Asahara, T., K. Shimizu., K. Nomoto., T. Hamabata., A. Ozawa, and Y. Takeda. 2004. Probiotic bifidobacteria protect mice from lethal infection with Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157:H7. Infect Immune. 72(4):2240-2247

4. Ashraf, R. and N.P. Shah. 2014. Immune stimulation by probiotic microorganisms. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 54(7) :938-956

5. Corr, S. C., C. Hill, and C.G. Gahan. 2009. Understanding the mechanisms by which probiotics inhibit gastrointestinal pathogens. Adv. Food Nutr. Res. 56:1–15

6. Do carmo, A.B., M.N.V. De Olivera., D.F. Da Silva., S.B. Castro., A.C. Borges., A.F. De Carvalho, and C.A. De Moraes. 2012. Genes involved in lactose catabolism and organic acid production during growth of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* UFV H2b20 in skimmed milk. Beneficial Microbes. 3(1): 23-32

7. Fijan, S., D. Dunja Šulc, and A. Steyer. 2018. Study of the *In Vitro* Antagonistic Activity of Various Single-Strain and Multi-Strain Probiotics and the Environment. Clin. Infect. Dis. 64: 371–376

8. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization FAO/WHO. 2002. Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food. Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food; London, Ontario, Canada

9. Fukuda, S., H. Toh., K. Hase., K. Oshima., Y. Nakanishi., K. Yoshimura., T. Tobe., J.M. Clarke., D.L. Topping, and T. Suzuki. 2011. *Bifidobacterium* can protect from enteropathogenic infection through production of acetate. Nature. 469 (7331):543-547

10. Giordano, P., G.S Netti., L. Santangelo., G. Castellano., V. Carbone., D.D. Torres., M. Martino., M. Sesta., F. Di Cuonzo, and M.C. Resta. 2019. A pediatric neurologic assessment score may drive the eculizumabbased treatment of *E. coli*-related hemolytic uremic syndrome with neurological involvement. Pediatric. Nephron, 34: 517–527 11. He, M. and B. Shi. 2017. Gut microbiota as a potential target of metabolic syndrome: The role of probiotics and prebiotics. Cell Biosci. 7: 54-68

12. Hughes, A. K., P.K. Stricklett., D. Schmid., D.E. Kohan, and A.K. Hughes. 2000. Cytotoxic effect of Shiga toxin-1 on human glomerular epithelial cells. Kidney Int 57: 2350-2359

13. Kakisu, E., A.G. Abraham., C. T. Farinati., C. Ibarra, and G.L. De Antoni 2013. *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from kefir protects vero cells from cytotoxicity by type-II shiga toxin from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. J. Dairy Res. 80:64–71

14. Kang, H. J. and SH. Im. 2015. Probiotics as an Immune Modulator. J. Nutr Sci Vitamin (Tokyo). 61: S103–S105

15. Kaper, J.B., J.P. Nataro, and L.T. Mobley 2004. "Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*." Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2(2) :123-140

16. Karmali, M. A. 2017. Emerging public health challenges of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* related to changes in the pathogen, the population, and the environment. Clin. Infect. Dis. 64: 371–376

17. Kawano, K., M. Okada., T. Haga., K. Maeda, and Y. Goto. 2008. Relationship between pathogenicity for humans and *Stx* genotype in Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* serotype O157. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 27:227–232

18. Kadhum, M, KH. and N.H. Haydar. 2020. Production and characterization of biosurfactant (glycolipid) from *Lactobacillus helviticus* M5 and evaluation its antimicrobial and antiadhesive activity. Iraqi. J. of agricultural Science. 51(6):1543-1558

19. Nguyen, Y. and V. Sperandio. 2012. Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2(90):1-7

20. Nüesch-Inderbinen, M., M. Morach., N. Cernela., D. Althaus., M. Jost, and M. Mäusezahl. 2018. Serotypes and virulence profiles of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated during 2017 from human infections in Switzerland. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 308: 933–939

21. Ogawa, M., K. Shimizu., K. Nomoto., R. Tanaka., T. Hamabata, and S. Yamasaki. 2001. Inhibition of *in vitro* growth of Shiga toxin-

producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol.68(1-2):135-140

22. Rutjes, N.W., B.A. Binnington., C.R. Smith., M.D. Maloney, and C.A. Lingwood. 2002. Differential tissue targeting and pathogenesis of verotoxins 1 and 2 in the mouse animal model. Kidney Int 62: 832-845

23. Sabina, F., Š. Dunja, and S. Andrej. 2018. Study of the *In Vitro* Antagonistic Activity of Various Single-Strain and Multi-Strain Probiotics against *Escherichia coli*. Int. J. Environ. Research and public. 15(7): 1539-1564

24. Saito, K., R. Suzuki., Y. Koyanagi., H. Isogai., H. Yoneyama, and E. Isogai. 2019. Inhibition of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in a gnotobiotic mouse model with pre-colonization by *Bacteroides* strains. Biomedical Report.10: 175-182

25. Saleh. M. 2020. Isolation and characterization of unique fructophilic lactic acid bacteria from different flower sources. Iraqi J. of Agricultural Science.51(2):508-518 26. Singh, T. P., G. Kaur., S. Kapills, and R.K. Malik. 2017. Antagonistic Activity of *Lactobacillus* reuteri Strains on the Adhesion Characteristics of Selected Pathogens. Front. Microbiol. 8(486):1-8

27. Stanford, K., S. Bach., J. Baah, and T. McAllister. 2014. A mixture of *Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus lactis*, and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* reduces *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in finishing feedlot cattle. J. Food Prot. 77: 738–744

28. Suzuki, R., Y. Koyanagi., H. Isogai., H. Yoneyama, and E. Isogai. 2019. Inhibition of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in a gnotobiotic mouse model with pre-colonization by Bacteroides strains. Biomedical reports. 10(3):175-185

29. Toshima, H., A. Yoshimura., K. Arikawa., A. Hidaka., J. Ogasawara., A. Hase., H. Masaki, and Y. Nishikawa. 2007.The Enhancement of Shiga toxin production in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 by DNase colicins. Apple Environ Microbiol. 73(23):7582-7588

30. Yoshimitsu, M., N. Hayashi., Y. Kaneko, and H. Doyama. 2011. An adult case of combined encephalopathy and hemolytic uremic syndrome caused by *Escherichia coli*  O157. Nihon Shokakibyo Gakkai Zasshi 108: 74-79

31. Zhang, Y., Y.T. Liao., A. Salvador., X. Sun, and C. H. Wu. 2020. Prediction, Diversity, and Genomic Analysis of Temperate Phages Induced from Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* Strains. Front. Microbiol. 10: 2-11

32. Zhang, W., H. Wang., J. Liu., Y. Zhao., K. Gao, and J. Zhang2013. Adhesive ability means inhibition activities for *Lactobacillus* against pathogens and S-layer protein plays an important role in adhesion. Anaerobe. 22:97–103