INTERACTION OF FOLIAR NUTRIENTS AND HERBICIDES INTO PRODUCTION

D. S. Hassan¹

S. H. A. Al-ziady¹ Lecturer

Assist Lecturer

A. S. A. Al-Mashhadani² Senior Scientific Researcher ¹Dept. Crop Science, Coll. Agric. Engin. Sci., University of Baghdad

²Ministry of Agric. - Agric. Res. Iraq

Saddam.hatim@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.ig

ABSTRACT

A field trial was carried out at the fields of Baghdad University - Jadriya for the first location during the summer season of 2018, while the second location was in Mishkab Rice Research Station, Al-Najaf al-ashraf governorate during the summer season of 2019. This study was aimed investigate rice (Oryza sativa cv. Anber) response to foliar application of nutrition with the use of different herbicides. The design was RCBD wihin using split plot arrangement four replicates. The nutrition treatments; (Humic acide, Seaweed, Nano fertilizer, Normal fertilization and Without fertilizer) were in main plots. Herbicides treatments (Ronstar, Oscar, Rainbow, Super flag, weed free and weedy) occupied the sub plots. The results indicated that Ronstar herbicide was superior in giving the lowest average weeds density value 6.55 and 9.60 plant m⁻² respectively, and highest average of grain yield was 2.53 and 3.42 $t.h^{-1}$, respectively. The normal fertilization treatment was superior gave the highest average grain yield value 3.07 and 4.13 t h⁻¹. As for the effects of interaction, the Ronstar combination with normal fertilization gave highest grain yield value 3.55 and 4.59 t h⁻¹, respectively. Concluded from this trial that the Ronstar herbicide is the best among the herbicides used with different fertilization treatments by achieving the highest averages with most of the studied charactristics.

Key wored:Herbicides, humic acide, nano fertilizer, rice weeds, grain yield Part of Ph.D Dessinfation of the 1st auther

المستخلص

نفذت تجربة حقلية في حقول كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية - جامعة بغداد - الجادرية للموسم الصيفي 2018 وأُعيد تنفيذها في محطة أبحاث الرز في المشخاب/محافظة النجف الاشرف التابعة الى دائرة البحوث الزراعية خلال الموسم الصيفي 2019 . بهدف دراسة تأثير تداخل مبيدات ادغال مختلفة مع التغذية الورقية في صفات النمو والحاصل ومكوناته للرز صنف عنبر-33 فضلاً عن صفات الادغال. استُعمل تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة بترتيب الألواح المنشقة ويأربعة مكررات. مثلت معاملات التغذية الألواح الرئيسة (حامض الهيومك والطحالب والتسميد النانوي والتسميد الاعتيادي و بدون سماد)، بينما ضمت معاملات مبيدات الأدغال الالواح الثانوية (الرونستار والاوسكار والرينبو والسوبر فلاك ومعاملة غياب الادغال و المعاملة المدغلة). بينت النتائج تفوق المبيد رونستار بعد 108 يوماً من الزراعة بتحقيق اقل متوسط لكثافة الادغال (6.55 و9.60 نبات م⁻²) وأعلى حاصل للحبوب (2.53 و3.42 طن ه⁻¹). تفوقت معاملة التسميد الاعتيادي بتحقيق اعلى متوسط في حاصل الحبوب (3.07 و4.13 طن ه⁻¹) اما فيما يخص تأثيرات التداخل فقد اعطت توليفة الرونستار مع التسميد الاعتيادى اعلى حاصل الحبوب (3.55 و4.59 طن ه-1). يُستنتج من هذه التجربة ان المبيد رونستار هو الأفضل من بين المبيدات المستعملة مع معاملات التسميد المختلفة بتحقيق اعلى متوسطات مع غالبية الصفات المدروسة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: مبيدات لإدغال، الهيومك اسد، التسميد النانوي، ادغال الرز، حاصل الحبوب

البحث مستل من اطروحة دكتوراه للباحث الاول

Received:22/9/2020, Accepted:13/12/2020

INTRODUCTION

The optimal use of fertilizers and management of the weeds is very important for rice grown by the dry direct seeded rice (DDSR) method, just as in the management of the weed, unbalanced fertilization leads to a reduction in yield, and the use of inappropriate fertilizer doses leads to an increase in the height of the weeds plants as well as stimulating their distribution into rice fields, the integration of information on the effect of fertilization and weed management on rice grown by the DDSR method may be beneficial in achieving the highest yield and reducing production costs (25) Rice grown by DDSR is more likely to lose grain yield due to the presence of weeds compared to the seedling method, and the weeds effect is not only limited to reducing the yield, but also in poor quality (10). The yield grain of DDSR is reduced from 35 to 91% depending on water and fertilizer management (30). Maximum utilization of production especially with the sources use of nanofertilizers and the enhancement of photosynthesis efficiency can reduce the environmental risks associated with the excessive application of chemical fertilizers (24). With the progress of the development in the agricultural field and the emergence of modern types of fertilizers that are used as a spray on the shoot, especially since the time of the first addition is at times coinciding with the dates of weeds control, it became necessary to know the presence or absence of interaction between the chemicals used (herbicides and nutrients) and since there are types There are

many herbicides and many types of nutrients, and may be there some of them interaction. The experiment was aimed to study of the interaction of leaf nutrients with herbicides in giving the best yield for rice (cv. Anbar-33) and knowing the real effect of different types of nutrients separately from conventional fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out at the College of Agricultural Engineering University of Baghdad the first season / Al-Jadriya on 23/6/2018 and it was reimplemented for the second season on 6/7/2019 at the Rice Research Station in Al-Mishkhab / Najaf Al-Ashraf Governorate the Agricultural affiliated to Research Department / Ministry of Agriculture. The Rice Research Station in Al-Mishkhab / Najaf Al-Ashraf Governorate affiliated to the Agricultural Research Department / Ministry of Agriculture. The experiment aimed to study the interaction of the types of leaf nutrients with weeds herbicides to give the best yield for Anbar-33). Before rice (cv. the implementation of the experiment, random soil samples were taken in different areas of the experiment field at a depth of 0-30 cm for the purpose of studying the physical and chemical properties of the soil, the samples were soil was analyzed and the ratio of soil separations and soil texture, soil interaction pH and the degree of electrical conductivity EC in the laboratories of the College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - Baghdad University. (Table 1).

Type of analysis	measruing unit	Value in the first season 2018	Value in season two 2019
РН	-	7.12	7.80
EC	(ds.m)	3.30	4.20
Ν	mg kg ⁻¹	25.11	12.00
Р	mg kg ⁻¹	8.35	2.18
K	mg kg ⁻¹	80.71	93.12
Ca	mg L ⁻¹	18.10	13.00
Mg	mg L ⁻¹	10.41	12.05
Na	mg L ⁻¹	3.89	1.50
Cl	mg L ⁻¹	28.22	20.15
HCO ₃	$mg L^{-1}$	2.10	1.20
SO ₄	mg L ⁻¹	2.56	3.13
CaCO ₃	mg L ⁻¹	31.10	13.01
O. M	%	71	85
Sand	%	37.20	20.40
Clay	%	13.14	32.40
Silt	%	49.66	47.20

	• •				
Table 1. Some	physical and	chemical	properties of	f the exp	periment soil

The experimental field was plowed by the tipping plow and smoothing operations were carried out by means of a rotary smoothing and leveling of the ground using a leveling machine according to the recommendations. The experiment was carried out according to a Randomized Complete Block Design with Split Plot arrangement. The feeders occupied the main plots, while the herbicides occupied the secondary plots with four replications. The field was divided into experimental units of $4m^2$ (2m x 2m). The seeds were sown by Direct Dry Seeded Rice (DDSR) method on 15 cm lines between lines, at seeding rate of 120 kg h⁻¹. Each plot included 13 lines with length of 2 m, with weight of 3.7 g per line. The experiment parameters for the main panels included: 1- Nanofertilizer (liquid) sprayed on the shoots at a rate of use of 6 liter h^{-1} containing (N:8%, P: 6%, K: 5%, Mg: 120ppm, Mn: 160ppm, Fe: 5000 ppm, Zn: 6000 ppm, Cu: 160ppm. 2-Humic acide spraved on the shoot at a rate of use of 9 liter h^{-1} containing [Humic crystal (Humic + Folic acide) 25%, Potassium oxide (K₂O) 5%, N: 3%, Organic matter 40% and Fulvic acid 15%]. 3- Marine algae sprayed on the shoots at a rate of use of 12 liter h⁻¹ containing (Seaweed Extract 16%, N:0.1%, P:1.5%, Organic matter 7% and K: 2.5%). 4-traditional fertilization (DAP fertilizer was added at a rate of 120 kg h⁻¹ when preparing the soil, and nitrogen fertilizer at a rate of 280 kg h⁻¹ added in the form of urea (46 N%) (comparison treatment). 5- Without adding fertilizer (Comparison). Urea and foliar fertilizers were added according to their treatments in three batches. The herbicide treatments included 1-Ronstar herbicide (Oxadiazon 25%), with an application rate of 2400 cm³h⁻¹ (comparison). 2- Oscar herbicide (Bispyribac sodium 10%), with a rate of use of 400 cm³ h⁻¹. 3- Rainbow herbicide (Penoxsulam 15%) with a rate of use of 1000 cm³ h⁻¹. 4- Super flag herbicide (Fenoxaprop + Azimsulfuron 50 + 6.7), with an application rate of 100 gm h⁻¹. 5- weed free. 6-Weedy (Control) treatment. A hand sprinkler was used that was calibrated on the basis of adding 400 liters of water per hectare to both herbicides and nutrients. The herbicides used in the 2-3-leaf stage (23 days from planting) were sprayed to control weeds broad-leaved and weeds narraw-leaved, except for the herbicide Ronstar was added after 2 days of planting (after planting before emergence) as a comparative herbicide, The studied characteristics were of weeds density (plant m 2), plant height cm, number of panicles, number of panicl grain, 1000 grains weight (g) and grain yield (ton h^{-1}). use of the lowest significant difference below the probability level of 5%. To method of analysis of and the diagnose variance. statistical differences were analyzed statistically by the between the arithmetic means of the data treatments the result Steel and Torrie (29), using the computer, within the program Genstat-Version (7).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Weeds kinds

The results of the first season, which shows in Table 2, indicate that leafed weed species are the most diverse in the experiment and for both seasons, despite the variation of the species spread in the two seasons, and these was a result of the difference in location between the first season and the second season, but there are types of weed plants accompanying for the rice crop, regardless of the change of location, with variation in the plant density of the weeds of the same type, and it was shown that the weeds of Echinochloa colonum (L) Link., Echinochloa crus-galli L., and Paspalum distichum L are widespread in the two locations. This result is in agreement with (23) in that the thin weeds come at the forefront of the most widespread and most dangerous and competitive weeds of rice.

Ŭ L	<u> </u>				
English name	The scientific name	the family			
	The weeds of narraw leaves in the first season				
Panic grass	Echinochloa colonum (L) Link.	Poaceae			
Barnyard grass	Echinochloa crus-galli L.	Poaceae			
Egyptian crowfoot grass	Dactyloctenium aegyptium (L.) Willd	Poaceae			
Paspalum	Paspalum distichum L	Poaceae.			
Bermuda grass	Cynodon dactylon L.	Poaceae			
Large crab grass	Digitaria sanguinalis (L). Scop	Poaceae			
	The weeds of broad leaves in the first season				
Gratiola	Portulaca oleracea L.	Portulacaceae			
Mat amaranth	Amaranthus blitoides S. Wats.				
Amaranthaceae					
Fild bind weed		Convolvulus arvensis			
Convolvulaceae					
Prikly liquorice	Alhagi maurorum L.	Fabaceae			
	The weeds of narraw leaves in the two season				
Panic grass	Echinochloa colonum (L) Link.	Poaceae			
Barnyard grass	Echinochloa crus-galli L.	Poaceae			
Paspalum	Paspalum distichum L.	Poaceae			
Sabat	Diplanche fusca (L) Beaur.	Poaceae			
	The weeds of broad leaves in the first season				
false daisy	Eclipta prostrata L.	Asteraceae			
Coffee bean	ASesbania herbacea L	Fabaceae			
effect of herbicides	fertilization and did not differented fr	om the treatment w			

Table 2. Types of weeds spread in the experiment for the first and second seasons

The effect of herbicides, fertilization, and the interactions on weeds density

It is evident from the results of Table 3 that the herbicide Ronstar was superior by achieving the lowest average density of weeds value 6.55 m^2 . and 9.60 plants significantly outperforming on the weedy treatment, as it gave the highest average for the characteristic value 52.45 plants m^2 . The decrease, are attributed to the efficiency of the herbicide Ronstar in affecting early emergence of weed species seeds without giving a chance to emergence and grow, especially in the early stages of growth. These results are in agreement with the results of other researchers (1, 5, 9, 11, 12, 15, 20) they showed that the use of herbicides led to a significant decrease in density of the weeds. The fertilization results at the first season show in Table 3, it reveal that the nanofertilization treatment gave the lowest density of the weeds value 13.58 plants m⁻² and did not differ from the humic acid treatment and the treatment without fertilizer compared to the traditional fertilization as it gave the highest average for the characteristic value 19.38 plants m⁻², Which did not differed from algae, but in the second season, the humic acid treatment was significantly superior to achieving the lowest average in the total density of the weed, 12.25 plants m^{-2} and

did not differented from the treatment without fertilizer compared to the traditional fertilization treatment, as it gave the highest average value 17.04 plants m^2 . The reason may be due to the effectiveness of the total herbicides within the humic acid fertilization. meaning that the treatment of humic is less inconsistent with the action of the herbicides within it, or perhaps adding humic has increased the effectiveness of the herbicides against weed plants. In the first season, after 108 days of planting, the interaction indicates the superiority of the herbicides Oxadiazon in treating algae by achieving the lowest significant value in weed density avalue 2.75 m⁻² plants, respectively, while the highest density of the weed interaction the fertilized treatment with nanofertilizer of 65.25 plants m^{-2} , respectively. The reason for the decrease in the weeds may be due to the absence of negative interaction (inconsistency) between the action of the herbicide Oxadiazon and the treatment of adding humic, which was reflected in a decrease especially that the herbicide was added to the soil before emergence. As for the second season, it was explained by the interaction Oxadiazon within the nanofertilizer treatment was demonstrated significantly by achieving the lowest density of the weeds a value 4.25 plants m^2 , respectively, compared to the weedy treatment within nanofertilization, as it gave the highest value in the same two durations of 38.50 m^2 , respectively, plant in succession. The reason for the decreases in the weeds may be due to the efficiency of the herbicide Oxadiazon in affecting a higher rate of emergence of weed

seed, as there is no negative interaction between the action of the herbicide Oxadiazon and the treatment of nanofertilizer, which was reflected in the significant decrease in the density of the weed since the early stages of growth.

Fable 3. The effect of herbicides and fertil	lization, and the interactions between them, on
weeds density (plants m	(1^{2}) after 108 days of planting

herbicides	weed de	weed density 108 days after planting for the 2018 season					
treatments		-	Nutrition	treatm	ents		mean
	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutrit	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	5.00	2.75	3.50	15.75	5.75	6.55	
Oscar	16.00	15.25	10.75	8.75	5.00	11.15	
Rainbow	10.25	15.75	12.25	11.25	4.50	10.80	
Super flag	17.25	23.00	13.75	17.00	6.25	15.45	
Weed free	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Weedy	33.25	59.00	41.25	63.50	65.25	52.45	
mean	13.63	19.29	13.58	19.38	14.46		
L.S.D. 5%	nutritio	n	Herbic	ides	nutrition	* herbicides	
	1.42		1.42		3	3.17	
	weed de	ensity 108 da	ys after plar	ting for	the 2019 seaso	n	
herbicides		·	Nutrition	treatm	ents		mean
treatments	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutrit	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	5.50	8.75	4.25	18.25	11.25	9.60	
Oscar	6.75	16.00	11.50	15.00	7.25	10.30	
Rainbow	9.25	15.00	15.50	16.75	7.75	12.85	
Super flag	13.75	17.50	15.50	17.50	12.25	15.30	
Weed free	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Weedy	38.25	37.75	38.50	34.75	35.50	36.95	
mean	12.25	15.83	14.21	17.0	12.33		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrition	utrition Herbicides nutrition			nutrition *	herbicides	
	0.74		0.95		2.06		

Plant height

It is evident from the results of Table 4 that the herbicide Ronstar was superiored in the first season and the herbicide Oscar in the second season in significance by achieving the highest rice height of 90.8 and 111.1 cm for the two seasons in respectively, superior to the weedy treatment, as it gave the lowest value of the characteristic of 69.4 and 95.0 cm for the two seasons in the respectively, and there is no significant between the herbicide Ronstar and weed free. The reason may be due to the effect of the herbicides in reducing the density of weeds (Table 3), which provided an appropriate opportunity for the crop plants to grow without severe competition. On the contrary, for the herbicide treatment, as the weeds are widely spread and the crop plants are highly competitive on the different growth requirements. These results are in agreement with the results of other researchers (2, 24,

28), they showed a significant increases in plant height when using herbicides, while Al-Ziady et al. (6) showed that there were no significant differences in plant height when using herbicides. As for nutrition at the first season and shows in Table 4, it was found that the normal fertilization treatment gave the highest plant height with an average value 104.8 and 117.1 cm for the two season respectively, in succession compared to all other treatments, while the treatment without fertilizer gave the lowest average for the characteristic with an average of 70.1 and 98.1 cm for the two seasons, respectively. This result explains that the normal fertilization treatment it has an abundant amount of the two basic N and P elements to increase the plant height, while the crop plants remain lower when the fertilizer is not added due to the lack of the necessary mineral elements necessary for growth in the soil of the experiment except with a small amount that does not enable it to grow at its full potential. These results did not in agreement with Osman et al. (20) and Kheyri et al. (18) who indicated that organic and mineral foliar fertilizers lead to a significant increase in plant height compared to the normal fertilization treatment, nor are they consistent with Aljutheri et al (4) who They indicated that there were no significant differences between mineral and organic foliar fertilizers compared to normal fertilization. The interaction indicates to the superiority of Oscar in the treatment of normal fertilization, as the highest significant value in plant height was recorded at 108.2 and 122.2 cm for the two seasons respectively, compared to the weedy with no fertilizer, which gave the lowest plant height of 55.9 and 93.5 cm for the two seasons, respectively. This is due to the abundance of nutrients necessary for growth and the increase in the normal fertilization treatment, and on the contrary, the treatment without fertilizer suffers with weedy due to the scarcity of the presence of nutrients on the one hand and to the intense competition by weed plants on the other hand, as this treatment gave the highest density of the weeds (Table 3).

Table 4. The effect of herbicides and nutrition and the interaction between them on pla	ant
height (cm)	

			neight (
herbicides	plant he	plant height for the 2018 season					
treatments	Nutritio	on treatment	s				mean
	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutrit	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	87.3	80.7	81.9	107.3	96.6	90.8	
Oscar	65.1	78.6	82.3	108.2	69.6	80.8	
Rainbow	63.4	59.5	81.6	106.7	66.8	75.6	
Super flag	66.4	72.8	69.4	102.2	54.9	73.1	
Weed free	77.9	91.0	82.7	113.8	76.5	88.4	
Weedy	63.5	73.6	63.4	90.5	55.9	69.4	
mean	70.6	76.1	76.9	104.8	70.1		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrit	ion	Herbicides		nutrition *	herbicides	
	6.30		4.87		11.48		
	plant he	eight for the	2019 season				
herbicides	Nutritic	on treatment	s				mean
treatments	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutrit	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	108.0	105.0	104.0	120.0	107.0	108.4	
Oscar	107.2	102.8	111.2	122.2	112.0	111.1	
Rainbow	95.2	103.5	111.2	115.0	102.0	105.4	
Super flag	99.5	93.4	102.2	120.8	107.2	104.6	
Weed free	114.5	97.8	112.2	123.2	108.8	111.3	
Weedy	92.8	86.2	101.2	101.5	93.5	95.0	
mean	102.9	98.1	106.7	117.1	105.1		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrition		Herbicio	les	nutrition	* herbicides	
	7.17		3.36		9.60)	

Number of rice panical (M²)

It is evident from the results of Table 5 that the herbicide Ronstar excelled by achieving the highest value of the number of panicles of the rice crop in the first season, which mean 339.0 panical m^{-2} , and it was not significantly different from the super flag, while in the second season, the herbicide superior Oscar by achieving the highest number of panicles by an mean of 318.0 panical m^{-2} , significantly superior to the weedy, as it gave the lowest mean for the characteristic 295.2 and 243.3 panical m^{-2} for the two seasons on, respectively. This is attributed to the effect of

the Ronstar herbicide in reducing the density of weeds (Table 3), which provides a more favorable opportunity for crop plants to grow intense competition, without and thus encourages them to produce the highest number of panicles by increasing the number of effective tillers, on the contrary, in the comparison treatment, as the weeds dominate greatly and high competition with crop plants for different growth requirements. These results were consistent with the results of other researchers (7, 14, 24) indicated a significant increase in the number of panicles when using herbicides compared to the weedy.As for fertilization, it is noticed that the normal fertilization treatment recorded the highest number of panicles which reached 401.9 and 358.8 panical m^{-2} for the two seasons in respectively, compared to the treatment without fertilizer, as it gave the lowest average for the characteristic 300.2 and 249.7 panical m^{-2} for the two seasons in respectively, and this effect is attributed to the efficiency of normal fertilizer due to the abundance of the two elements N and P necessary to give better growth and as a result an increase in the total number of panical,

while not adding fertilizers necessarily led to A decrease in the number of total panicales as a result of the absence of the nutrients necessary for the emergence and tillers growth, which led to the reduction of the number of panicles. This result is not in agreement with Al-Jubouri et al. (3) as they found no significant differences in the number of panicles when comparing types of organic fertilizers with normal fertilization. The interaction indicates the superiority of the Rainbow treatment with normal fertilization in the first season by registering the highest significant value in the number of panicles with a value of 406.9 panical m⁻², which does not differ significantly from the two herbicides Ronstar and Super flag within the same fertilization treatment, while in the second season, the Oscar treatment within the normal fertilization was significant superiored by achieving the highest number panical 386.3 panical m⁻². As for the lowest number of panicles, it was in the interaction of the weedy treatment with a treatment without fertilizer, with means to 255.6 and 187.7 panical m^{-2} for the two seasons, respectively. The increases in the treatment of Rainbow with normal fertilization and Ronstar with normal fertilization is due to the decrease in competition due to the effect on the density of the weeds and on the other hand to the abundance of nitrogen and phosphorus, which are two basic elements in plant growth which is reflected in the increase in tillers and the resulting increase in the number of panicles. On the contrary, the decrease in the number of panicles in treatment of not adding fertilizer came from non-addition of nutrients on the one hand and to intense competition by weed plants on the other hand, which gave this treatment the highest density of the weed (Table 3).

Table 5. The effect of herbicides and fertilization and the interaction between them on t	the
number of rice panicles (m^2) .	

herbicides		number of rice panicles for the 2018 season					
treatments			Nutrition	ı treatn	nents		mean
	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutriti	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	315.0	313.1	340.0	398.7	328.1	339.0	
Oscar	260.6	301.9	294.4	368.1	321.2	309.2	
Rainbow	315.0	293.7	261.9	406.9	291.9	313.9	
Super flag	343.3	318.8	273.1	401.9	296.9	326.8	
Weed free	341.2	328.7	361.7	494.6	307.3	362.3	
Weedy	319.4	266.9	271.2	341.2	255.6	295.2	
mean	315.8	303.9	300.4	401.9	300.2		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutritio	n	Herbicides		nutrition * herbicides		
	15.8		13.1		30.4		
		number	of rice panie	cles for	the 2019 seaso	n	
herbicides			Nutrition	ı treatn	nents		mean
treatments	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
	acid	algae	nutriti	ion	fertilization	fertilizer	
Ronstar	310.7	300.4	341.9	364.3	250.7	313.6	
Oscar	323.9	284.4	349.5	386.3	245.7	318.0	
Rainbow	318.8	292.5	263.6	332.0	270.4	295.5	
Super flag	262.9	280.9	337.4	354.1	235.2	294.1	
Weed free	346.9	306.1	357.0	388.4	288.6	337.4	
Weedy	207.5	226.4	267.6	327.4	187.7	243.3	
mean	291.8	281.8	319.5	358.8	249.7		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutritio	on	Herbicides	5	nutrition *	herbicides	
	14.2		10.3		24.7		

Number of grains panical⁻¹

The results in Table 6 show a significant superiority of herbicide Ronstar recorded the highest number of panical grains, it reached 66.36 and 95.04 grain panical⁻¹ for the two season of the respectively, while the weedy treatment recorded the lowest average for the characteristic with an average of 47.30 and 72.66 grain panical⁻¹ for the two season of the respectively. The reason is due to the significant effect of the herbicide Ronstar in reducing the density of the weeds m^2 , which is reflected in giving a suitable environment for rice plants to grow with less competition, in contrast to the conditions of intense competition with the weedy. This result was in agreement with the results of other researchers (7, 13, 14, 18, 24) who indicated that the use of herbicides leads to a significant increases in the number of grains panical⁻¹ compared to weedy. As for the fertilization treatments, the normal fertilization was significantly exceeded by achieving the highest number of grains in the panical with an average of 82.85 and 104.48 grain panical⁻¹, while the weedy treatment gave the lowest average for the characteristic in the first season of 48.18 grain panical⁻¹, and the humec treatment gave the lowest value for the characteristic at the second season, amounted 72.53 grain panical⁻¹ with no significant differences compared to the treatment of algae. It should be noted that the normal fertilization treatment led to a significant increase in the grain yield components, especially the number of panical grains, due to the rice plants obtaining the two elements nitrogen and phosphorus, which are of fundamental importance in giving the best crop growth compared to the types of foliar fertilizers as

well as the treatment without fertilizer. This result does not agree with Hamid and others (16), who explained that some of the organic fertilizers used led to a significant increases in the number of panical grains in the first season. and there was no significant differences between the other used organic fertilizers and the normal fertilization in the second season, which did not agree with Al-Jubouri and others (3). They found no significant differences in the number of panical grains when comparing types of organic fertilizers with normal fertilization. The results of the first season showed that there was a significant differences between the herbicides and fertilizers, as the herbicide achieved within the Ronstar normal fertilization the highest significant value in the number of panical grains, it reached 89.80 panical⁻¹), as shown in Table 7 that the herbicide Rainbow with nano-fertilization recorded the highest value in the number of panical grains of the two season, it reached 110.90 panical⁻¹, while the lowest value was for the interaction in the weedy treatment with no fertilizer, it reached 37.80 and 48.4 panical ¹. The reason is due to the effect of the herbicide Ronstar in the first season, the herbicide Rainbow in the second season in controlling the weed, with the presence of fertilizer in an adequate amount for growth, and the decrease in the number of panicals for the weedy treatment due to (Compensation Relationship) between the number of tillers and the number of grains in the components of the yield and that the number of grains is controlled by the available ready-made foodstuffs, the exact opposite is in the fertilized treatment without fertilizer.

	number of panical grains for the 2018 season					
		Nutrition	n treatm	nents		mean
Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
acid	algae	nutriti	on	fertilization	fertilizer	
70.20	53.10	63.00	89.80	55.70	66.36	
2.10	55.10	61.60	77.70	45.70	58.44	
3.00	50.30	60.90	85.40	38.00	61.52	
17.90	34.40	54.00	76.80	40.90	50.80	
3.90	62.80	69.50	99.20	71.00	75.28	
9.10	40.40	51.00	68.20	37.80	47.30	
9.37	49.35	60.00	82.85	48.18		
Nutrition		Herbicide	s	nutrition *	herbicides	
3.3		3.2		7.2		
	number o	f panical gr	ains for	the 2019 seas	on	
		Nutrition	n treatm	nents		mean
Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	
acid	algae	nutriti	on	fertilization	fertilizer	
98.90	87.60	95.00	110.20	83.50	95.04	
104.00	89.40	93.90	108.80	72.20	93.66	
76.50	89.40	110.90	101.00	81.30	91.82	
74.60	60.00	106.00	95.10	68.90	80.92	
91.50	96.40	119.40	126.00	80.90	102.84	
78.10	61.60	89.40	85.80	48.40	72.66	
87.27	80.73	102.43	104.48	72.53		
Nutrit	ion	Horbioid	ne.	nutrition	* horbicidos	
78	1011	5.9		13 ()	
	Humic acid 0.20 2.10 3.00 7.90 3.90 9.10 9.37 Nutrition 3.3 Humic acid 98.90 04.00 76.50 74.60 91.50 8.10 87.27 Nutrit 7.8	Humic Marine acid algae 0.20 53.10 2.10 55.10 3.00 50.30 7.90 34.40 3.90 62.80 9.10 40.40 9.37 49.35 Nutrition 3.3 number of Humic Marine acid algae 98.90 87.60 04.00 89.40 74.60 60.00 01.50 96.40 8.10 61.60 87.27 80.73 Nutrition 7.8	Humic Marine Nutrition Aurice Nutrition acid algae nutrition 0.20 53.10 63.00 2.10 55.10 61.60 3.00 50.30 60.90 7.90 34.40 54.00 3.90 62.80 69.50 9.10 40.40 51.00 9.37 49.35 60.00 Nutrition Herbicide 3.3 3.2 number of panical gr Nutrition Herbicide 3.2 number of panical gr Nutrition Humic Marine Nano acid algae nutrition 8.90 87.60 95.00 04.00 89.40 110.90 74.60 60.00 106.00 01.50 96.40 119.40 8.10 61.60 89.40 87.27 80.73 102.43 Nutrition Herbicide 7.8 5.9 <td>HumicMarineNanoacidalgaenutrition$0.20$$53.10$$63.00$$89.80$$2.10$$55.10$$61.60$$77.70$$3.00$$50.30$$60.90$$85.40$$7.90$$34.40$$54.00$$76.80$$3.90$$62.80$$69.50$$99.20$$9.10$$40.40$$51.00$$68.20$$9.37$$49.35$$60.00$$82.85$NutritionHerbicides$3.3$$3.2$number of panical grains for Nutrition treatmHumicMarineNanoacidalgaenumber of panical grains for Nutrition$65.00$$95.00$$110.20$$04.00$$89.40$$93.90$$108.80$$76.50$$89.40$$110.90$$101.00$$74.60$$60.00$$106.00$$95.10$$21.50$$96.40$$119.40$$126.00$$8.10$$61.60$$89.40$$85.80$$87.27$$80.73$$102.43$$104.48$NutritionHerbicides 5.9</td> <td>Humic Marine Nano Normal acid algae nutrition fertilization 0.20 53.10 63.00 89.80 55.70 2.10 55.10 61.60 77.70 45.70 3.00 50.30 60.90 85.40 38.00 7.90 34.40 54.00 76.80 40.90 3.90 62.80 69.50 99.20 71.00 9.10 40.40 51.00 68.20 37.80 9.37 49.35 60.00 82.85 48.18 Nutrition Herbicides nutrition * 3.2 7.2 number of panical grains for the 2019 seas Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal acid algae nutrition fertilization 98.90 87.60 95.00 110.20 83.50 04.00 89.40 10.90 101.00 81.30 74.60 60.00 106.00 95.10 68.90 04.60</td> <td>Nutrition treatments Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal Without acid algae nutrition fertilization fertilizer 0.20 53.10 63.00 89.80 55.70 66.36 2.10 55.10 61.60 77.70 45.70 58.44 3.00 50.30 60.90 85.40 38.00 61.52 7.90 34.40 54.00 76.80 40.90 50.80 3.90 62.80 69.50 99.20 71.00 75.28 9.10 40.40 51.00 68.20 37.80 47.30 9.37 49.35 60.00 82.85 48.18 Nutrition Herbicides nutrition * herbicides 3.3 3.2 7.2 number of panical grains for the 2019 season Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal Without acid algae nutrition fertilization fertilizer</td>	HumicMarineNanoacidalgaenutrition 0.20 53.10 63.00 89.80 2.10 55.10 61.60 77.70 3.00 50.30 60.90 85.40 7.90 34.40 54.00 76.80 3.90 62.80 69.50 99.20 9.10 40.40 51.00 68.20 9.37 49.35 60.00 82.85 NutritionHerbicides 3.3 3.2 number of panical grains for Nutrition treatmHumicMarineNanoacidalgaenumber of panical grains for Nutrition 65.00 95.00 110.20 04.00 89.40 93.90 108.80 76.50 89.40 110.90 101.00 74.60 60.00 106.00 95.10 21.50 96.40 119.40 126.00 8.10 61.60 89.40 85.80 87.27 80.73 102.43 104.48 NutritionHerbicides 5.9	Humic Marine Nano Normal acid algae nutrition fertilization 0.20 53.10 63.00 89.80 55.70 2.10 55.10 61.60 77.70 45.70 3.00 50.30 60.90 85.40 38.00 7.90 34.40 54.00 76.80 40.90 3.90 62.80 69.50 99.20 71.00 9.10 40.40 51.00 68.20 37.80 9.37 49.35 60.00 82.85 48.18 Nutrition Herbicides nutrition * 3.2 7.2 number of panical grains for the 2019 seas Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal acid algae nutrition fertilization 98.90 87.60 95.00 110.20 83.50 04.00 89.40 10.90 101.00 81.30 74.60 60.00 106.00 95.10 68.90 04.60	Nutrition treatments Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal Without acid algae nutrition fertilization fertilizer 0.20 53.10 63.00 89.80 55.70 66.36 2.10 55.10 61.60 77.70 45.70 58.44 3.00 50.30 60.90 85.40 38.00 61.52 7.90 34.40 54.00 76.80 40.90 50.80 3.90 62.80 69.50 99.20 71.00 75.28 9.10 40.40 51.00 68.20 37.80 47.30 9.37 49.35 60.00 82.85 48.18 Nutrition Herbicides nutrition * herbicides 3.3 3.2 7.2 number of panical grains for the 2019 season Nutrition treatments Humic Marine Nano Normal Without acid algae nutrition fertilization fertilizer

Table 6. The effect of herbicides and fertilization and the interaction between them into number of panical grains

Thousand grain weight

The results of Table 7 indicate the superiority of the herbicide Ronstar by gave the highest average in the weight of 1000 grains value 19.58g, superior to the herbicide Rainbow as it gave the lowest average for the characteristic value 18.82 g and there was no significant differences compared to the weedy. The reason is due to the effect of the herbicide Ronstar in reducing the total density of the weeds (Table 3) without intense competition, which provided a good chance for rice plants to grow and this is what. It was reflected to the better filling of grains as a result of the efficiency of the source. In the second season, the herbicide rainbow was significantly superior by achieving the highest average in the weight of 1000 grains value 24.96 g It was significantly superior to the weedy treatment, as it gave the lowest average for the characteristic of 23.80 g .The reason may be due to the effect of the herbicide on the weeds density (Tables 3). This result is similar to with the reported by Al-Ziyadi and Al-Fatlawi

(7), Danmaigoro (13), Ghosh and others (14), Islam and others (16) and Riaz and others (24). As for fertilization, the data in Table 7 show that there were no significant differences in the weight of 1000 grains of rice between all fertilizer treatments and for both two seasons.The interaction indicates the superiority of the treatment of Ronstar with nano fertilization at the first season by registering the highest significant value in the 1000 weight of grains reached 20.14g, while the minimum weight of 1000 grains was 18.14g into the interaction of the weedy treatment with the without fertilizer. The increase in the Ronstar treatment with regular fertilization is due to the decrease in competition due to the effect on the density of the weeds and on the other hand the abundance of nitrogen and phosphorous necessary for plant growth and improvement of the source. significant In the second season, no differences were found when the herbicides interacted with nutrients.

			0	0	·			
weight of 1000 grains for the 2018 season								
herbicides			Nutrition	treatn	nents			
treatments	Humic	Marine	e Nano		Normal	Without	mean	
	acid	algae	nutriti	on	fertilization	fertilizer		
Ronstar	19.33	20.06	20.14	19.75	18.64	19.58		
Oscar	19.14	18.64	19.25	19.99	18.94	19.19		
Rainbow	18.70	18.29	18.27	19.60	18.70	18.71		
Super flag	19.67	18.54	18.46	19.27	20.02	19.19		
Weed free	19.78	19.60	19.27	18.85	19.18	19.34		
Weedy	18.65	18.75	19.20	19.36	18.14	18.82		
mean	19.21	18.98	19.10	19.47	18.94			
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrit	ion	Herbicides		nutrition * he	herbicides		
	NS		0.53		1.15			
		weight	of 1000 grai	ns for t	the 2019 season			
harbiaidaa			Nutrition	treatn	nents			
nerbicides	Humic	Marine	Nano		Normal	Without	mean	
treatments	acid	algae	nutriti	on	fertilization	fertilizer		
Ronstar	24.00	23.90	23.60	25.20	24.60	24.26		
Oscar	24.40	24.60	24.20	25.80	25.50	24.90		
Rainbow	24.00	25.30	25.30	25.50	24.70	24.96		
Super flag	24.20	23.10	22.80	24.40	23.40	23.58		
Weed free	25.20	24.40	25.60	25.90	25.60	25.34		
Weedy	23.20	23.80	24.70	24.20	23.10	23.80		
mean	24.17	24.18	24.37	25.17	24.48			
I S D 50/	r	nutrition		Herb	icides	nutrition *	herbicides	
L. J.D. 5%		NS		0.	79	NS	5	

Table 7. The effect of herbicides and fertilization and the interaction between them into the1000 grains weight

Grain yield

It is evident through the results of the first season 2018 and shows in Table 8 that the herbicide, Ronstar outperformed by registering the highest average in the grain yield of rice, 2.53 and 3.42 tons h^{-1} for the two season respectively, superior on the weedy treatment as it gave the lowest average for the characteristic of 1.58 and 2.49 tons tons h⁻¹ for the two season respectively, with an increase of 38% and 29% respectively. The reason is due to the effect of the herbicide Ronstar in reducing the density of weeds (Table 3). This result was in agreement with the results of other researchers (2, 7, 8, 11, 22, 24, 27) as their results showed a significant increase in the yield of rice grains when using herbicides. As for fertilization result of Table 7 shows that the normal fertilization treatment. recorded the highest average grain yield of rice value 3.07 and 4.11 tons h^{-1} for the two season respectively, compared to the treatment without fertilizer in the first season and the algae treatment in the second season, which gave the lowest average for the characteristic 1.56 and 2.76 tons tons h^{-1} respectively, with an increases in grain yield of 48% and 46%,

respectively. The significant increases in grain yield is explained by the availability of nutrients, as normal fertilization contains a greater amount of the two elements N and P, which are necessary for the growth of the crop, as it gave the highest number of panical and panical grains (Table 5, 6). This result is of this study in agreement with Aljutheri et al. (4), who showed that the use of Super Micro Plus nanofertilizer significantly increased the grain vield, while, the results are not in agreement with Osman et al. (21), whose results showed that the organic fertilization treatments were significantly superiored to humic by giving the highest value of the trait in comparison to normal fertilization. The interaction, was observed that the herbicide Ronstar was significantly superior within normal fertilization by achieving the highest grain yield of 3.55 and 4.59 tons h⁻¹, and it did not differ significantly with Oscar and the Rainbow within same fertilization treatment compared to the treatment with humic as it gave The lowest value of 1.08 and 2.29 tons is h^{-1} , as the increases reached 50%. The increase the treatment of Ronstar with normal fertilization is due to the decreases in

competition due to the effect on reducing the	density of the weeds (Table 3).					
Table 8. The effect of pesticides and fertilization	n and the interaction between them on grain					
yield (ton h ⁻¹).						

herbicides	grain yield for the 2018 season						
treatments	Nutrition treatments mean						
	Humic	Marine	Nano	Normal	Without	t	
	acid	algae	nutrition	fertilizatior	n fertilize	r	
Ronstar	1.88	2.58	2.44	3.55	2.21	2.53	
Oscar	1.45	1.71	2.52	2.49	1.81	2.00	
Rainbow	1.57	1.67	2.37	3.12	1.09	1.96	
Super flag	1.43	1.64	1.56	3.01	1.14	1.76	
Weed free	2.92	2.34	2.04	3.80	2.05	2.63	
Weedy	1.40	1.53	1.46	2.43	1.08	1.58	
mean	1.78	1.91	2.07	3.07	1.56		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrition		Herbic	Herbicides r		nutrition * herbicides	
	0.23		0.12	0.12		0.32	
	grain yield for the 2019 season						
herbicides	Nutrition treatments				mean		
treatments	Humic	Marine	Nano	Normal	Without		
	acid	algae	nutrition	fertilizatior	n fertilize	r	
Ronstar	2.99	2.98	3.72	4.59	2.82	3.42	
Oscar	3.03	2.62	3.65	4.41	2.86	3.31	
Rainbow	3.18	2.97	3.59	4.56	2.68	3.40	
Super flag	3.42	2.45	3.54	3.64	2.83	3.18	
Weed free	3.48	3.11	3.76	4.84	3.61	3.76	
Weedy	2.29	2.43	2.67	2.60	2.48	2.49	
mean	3.07	2.76	3.49	4.11	2.88		
L.S.D. 5%	Nutrition		Herbi	Herbicides		nutrition *	
	herbicides						
	0.15		0.12	0.12		0.28	

It is concluded that herbicides vary in their effect on the weeds according to the type of active ingredient and their specialization in affecting species without others, in varying proportions. The early addition of the herbicide Ronstar gives an appropriate time in dealing with the weeds before they reach the vegetative stages, thus affecting the absorption of ready-made nutrients from the soil and competing with the crop plants. The presence of negative interference in the action of the added herbicides after emergence (2-3 leaves) with the leaf nutrients as they follow the same paths and with a close addition time. The presence of negative interference in the action of the added herbicides after emergence (2-3 leaves) with the leaf nutrients as they follow the same paths and with a close addition time. Regular fertilization is appropriate for the rice crop, through the superiority of this treatment by achieving the highest values in the grain yield and its components. Separate additions in spraying nutrients do not match the same dates

for additions for normal fertilization. Fertilization with algae is the least effect on the growth of rice and gives less results in most of the studied traits, and is closely related to a large extent with treatment without adding fertilizer. Nanofertilization is the best type of leaf nutrient involved in the experiment in most of the studied characteristics, which was reflected in achieving the highest value in the grain yield.

REFERENCES

1- Adhikari, A., A. Sapkota, P. Regmi, S. Neupane, S. Sapkota, S. Ghimire and B. P. Kandel. 2019. Effect of establishment method and different weed management practices on dry direct seeded rice (DDSR) at Rampur, Chitwan. J. of Res. in Weed Sci. 2 : 332-344 2- Al-Fatlawi, R. M. M. and S. H. A. Al-

2- Al-Fatlawi, R. M. M. and S. H. A. Al-Ziyadi. 2017. Comparison of rice varieties in weeds competition and the effect of herbicides on control ratios and dry weight inhibition of the weed. Iraqi Agric. Res. J. Special number. 22 (8): 184-196 3- Al-Jubouri, F. A., K. A. Hamid, and A. K. Mesir. 2015. Evaluation of the use of liquid organic fertilizers in fertilizing the rice crop. Al-Qadisiyah J.of Agric. Sci. (1) 5: 64-77

4- Aljutheri, H. W., K. H. Habeeb, F. J. K. Altaee, D. K. A. AL-Taey, and A. M. Al-Tawaha. 2018. Effect of foliar application of different sources of nano-fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat. Biosci. Res. 15(4): 3988-3997

5- Al-Ziady, S. H. A. and R. K. Shati. 2010. The effect of seed rates and bush herbicides on the growth of weeds associated with the rice crop. Iraqi J. of Agric. Sci. 41 (4): 31-46

6- Al-Ziady, S. H. A., A. H. A. Rashid, S. F. H. Al-essawi, A. Y. Hadi and J. A. Aliwy. 2014. Response of rice and accompanied weeds to herbicides application rates. . Iraq. J. Agric. Sci. 45(6):641-650

7- Al-Ziyadi, S. H. A. and R. M. M. Al-Fatlawi. 2016. Susceptibility of rice varieties to weeds competition and comparison of the effect of herbicides in controlling weed *Diplanche fusca* (L) Beaur. and other weeds. The fourth international scientific genetics and environment conference. Arab Republic of Egypt / Cairo. July 23-30

8- Al-Ziyadi, S. H. ., A. A. Rashid, S. F. H. Al-Issawi, A. Y. Hadi and J. A. Aliwi. 2017. The effect of bush herbicides on the associated weeds, some field characteristics, and grain yield in three rice varieties. The second international scientific conference. Iraqi Society for the Conservation of Genetic and Environ.l Resources. Arab Republic of Egypt / Cairo. August 1-8

9- Anjum. S. A., M. Tanveer, N. Akbar, U. Ashraf, I. Ali1, A. Zohaib and N. Manzoor.
2017. Comparative efficacy of various weed control measures in weed dynamics, Yield and Profitability of Direct Seeded Fine Rice. Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 54(1): 129-134

10- Arunbabu1,T. and S. N. Jena. 2018. Weeds and progressive weed management techniques in rice (*Oryza sativa*. L.): A review. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 7 (2): 108-117

11- Chhokar, R. S., R. K. Sharma, S. C. Gill, A. Chaudhary and R. K. Singh. 2019. Evaluation of flucetosulfuron and ready-mix of penoxsulam + bentazone as post-emergent weed control options in direct seeded and transplanted rice. J. of Cereal Res. 11(3): 257-267

12- Chinnamani, I., M. Aasif, N. S. Kumar, A. Velayutham and S. Suresh. 2018. Influence of weed management practices on weed dynamics and yield of drum seeded rice under puddled condition. Int. J.of Advances in Agric. Sci. and Tech. 5. 7: 17-23

13- Danmaigoro, O., D. B. Ishaya, and D. I. Adekpe. 2016. Post emergence herbicide efficiency and yield attributes of upland rice (*Oryza. sativa* L.) as influenced by weed control treatments, poultry manure and stand density. Nigerian J. of Agric. Food and Enviro. 12(3): 37-42

14- Ghosh, D., P.U.Singh, K. Ray and A. Das. 2016. Weed management through herbicide application in direct-seeded rice and yield modeling by artificial neural network. Spanish J.of Agric. Res. 14(2):1003- 1013

15- Habimana, S., K. N. K. Murthy, D. C. Hanumanthappa, K. S. S.and M. R. Anand. 2019. Standardization of agrotechniques for weed management in aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. of Plant Protection Res. 59 (2): 273–280

16- Hamid, K. A., F. Abd Jaber, and R. L. Adaia. 2014. Response of two rice varieties to four liquid plant-based organic materials. University of Karbala Scientific J. Sci. 12 (1): 308-318

17- Hemalatha, K, and Y, Singh. 2018. Effect of leaf colour chart based nitrogen and weed management on direct seeded rice. J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7 (4): 1244-1247

18- Islam, A. K. M. M., M.d. A. H. Hia, S. K. Sarkar. and M. d. Parvez Anwar. Herbicide based weed management in aromatic rice of Bangladesh .2018.J Bangladesh Agril Univ 16(1): 31–40

19- Kheyri, H. N., A. Norouzi, H. R. Mobasser and B.Torabi. 2018. Effect of different resources and methods of silicon and zinc application on agronomic traits, nutrient uptake and grain yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Applied Ecol. and Environ. Res.16 (5): 5781-5798

20- Khippal, A., J. Singh and R. S. Chhokar. 2019. Control of complex weed flora in direct seeded rice using bispyribacsodium in combination with other herbicides. J. of Cereal Res. 11(3): 282-285

21- Osman E. A. M., A. A. EL- Masry and K. A. Khatab. 2013. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer sources and foliar spray of humic and/or fulvic acids on yield and quality of rice plants. Advances in Applied Sci. Res. 4(4):174-183

22- Priya, R. S., C. Chinnusamy, P. M. Arthanari and P. Janaki. 2017. Bio-efficacy of new herbicide combination (Bispyribac sodium 4% SE + Metamifop 10% SE) on weed control, economics and profitability of direct seeded rice. Inter. J. of Chemical Studies. 5(4): 928-934

23- Rao, A.N. and H. Matsumoto. 2017. Weed management in rice. In the Asian-Pacifc Region. The Weed sci. Society of Japan, Inaia Society of Weed Sci. Book: ISBN -13: 978-81-931978-4-4

24- Riaz, A., A. Khaliq, S. Fiaz, M. A. Noor, M. M. Nawaz, W. Mahboob and S. Ullah. 2018. Weed mangement in direct seeded rice grown under varying tillage systems and alternate water regimes. Planta Daninha. Sociedade Brasileira Da Ciencia das Plants Daninhas. 36:e018179036: 1-13

25- Sepehri, A. and A. Z. Vaziri .2015. The effect of iron and zinc nano fertilizers on

quantitative yield of chicory (*Cichorium inyubus* L.) in different crop densities. – J. of Agric.Sci. and Sustainable Production 25(2): 61-74.

26-Shultana, R ., J. C. Biswas, M. A. A. Mamun and L. Nahar. 2016. Fertilizer and weed management options for direct wet seeded rice in dry season. Bangladesh Rice J. 20(1): 65-75

27- Singh, V., L. J. Mangi, A. G. Zahoor, S. C. Bhagirath and K. G. Raj. 2016. Herbicide options for effective weed management in dry directseeded rice under scented rice-wheat rotation of western Indo-Gangetic Plains. Crop Protection 81 : 168-176

28- Singh, R. and V. P. Singh. 2017. Evaluation of herbicides efficacy to control the complex weed flora of dry direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). current J. of Applied Sci. and Techno. 22(4): 1-8

29-Steel, R. G. and J. H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book Company. Inc. USA. pp. 485. 30- Sunil, C. M., D. S. Keerthirani and R.Prashanth. 2018. Weed management practices in aerobic rice – a review. Intern. J. of Agric. Sci. 10 (5) : 5401-5405.