

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF NITROGEN AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZERS APPLICATION ON NUTRIENT BALANCE AND YIELD OF BROCCOLI (*Brassica oleraceae*)

A.T. R. Dizayee
Assist. Prof.

H. A. Saleh
Researcher

College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddin- Erbil

Dizayee@su.edu.krd

halmatawni@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted during fall season of 2015-2016 at Qwshtapa farm village of Grdmala, Erbil to study the effect of different rates of Nitrogen and Potassium fertilizers application on nutrient balance and yield of Broccoli. Treatments included 5 levels of nitrogen (0, 60,120,180 and 240 kg N ha⁻¹), and 5 levels of potassium (0,150,300,450 and 600 kg K ha⁻¹) in factorial experiment in RCBD with three replicates. The Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated system (DRIS) methodology was applied to study nutrient balance. Results indicated that yields were 23.916 and 11.233 Mg ha⁻¹ for N₃K₁ and N₀K₀, respectively. Values of Absolute Total (AT)"Nutrient Balance" were 45.82 and 9.96 for N₀K₀ and N₃K₁, respectively. From DRIS and ABI values it can be concluded that N and P were the most limiting nutrients for Broccoli production in this area of Kurdistan-Iraq and soil test was good indicator for soil fertility.

Key Words: DRIS, Broccoli, NBI

*Part of MSc Thesis of the 2nd Author

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية – 48: (عدد خاص): 107-112/ 2017 دزه يى و صالح

تأثير اضافة مستويات مختلفه من اسمدة النتروجين والبوتاسيوم في إيزان المغذيات وإنتاجية البروكلي (*Brassica oleraceae* var. *Italica*)

هلمت عوني صالح
باحث

الوند طاهر رشيد دزه يى
استاذ مساعد

كلية الزراعة –جامعة صلاح الدين- اربيل

halmatawni@yahoo.com

Dizayee@su.edu.krd

المستخلص

اجريت هذه الدراسة في حقل (قوشنة ثة) طرد ملا –اربيل في الموسم الخريفي 2015 لدراسة تأثير المستويات المختلفة من اسمدة النتروجين والبوتاسيوم في الاتزان الغذائي وإنتاجية البروكلي بإستعمال DRIS لتحديد الاتزان. وشملت التجربة خمسة مستويات من النتروجين (0، 60، 120، 180 و 240 كغم N ه⁻¹) و خمسة مستويات من البوتاسيوم (0، 150، 300، 450 و 600 كغم K ه⁻¹) في تجربة عاملية وبإستعمال تصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة (RCBD) و بثلاثة مكررات. وبينت اهم النتائج ان قيم الانتاج كانت 23.916 و 11.233 ميكاغرام ه⁻¹ للمعاملتين N₃K₁ و N₀K₀ بالترتيب . القيم المطلقة (الاتزان الغذائي) كانت 45.82 و 9.6 للمعاملتين N₃K₁ و N₀K₀ بالترتيب . ومن قيم DRIS و NBI يمكن الاستنتاج ان عنصري النتروجين والفسفور كانت العناصر الاكثر تحديداً لنمو وإنتاجية البروكلي في هذه المنطقة من كردستان –العراق وان فحص التربة كان مؤشراً جيداً لخصوبة التربة.

الكلمات المفتاحية : نظام التشخيص والتوصية المتكامل DRIS و NBI والبروكلي.

*مستل من رسالة ماجستير للباحث الثاني.

INTRODUCTION

Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) one of the family of Cruciferae is a delicious vegetable and have a very good nutrition value,(16). This vegetable crop is very rich in vitamins A and C and Calcium, Phosphorus and Iron minerals (21). Broccoli can be grown on a wide range of soil types, ranging from coarse to fine soil especially when supplied with good level of organic matter (13). Fertilizer management (especially N,P, and K) can be consider as one of the most important factors for Broccoli production (15,21). Haque *et al.*, (10), found marked increase in growth and yield of Broccoli in response to N application . Ying *et. al.*,(25) observed that potassium was one of the most important element for yield of Broccoli. Cai *et al*, (7) and Brahma *et al*, (6) indicated that applying N and K produced the earliest curds of high quality and high yields. Pardeep- Kumar *et al.* (14) conducted an experiment on performance of different broccoli cultivars under different N, P and K rates. The maximum values for growth, yield and quality characteristics were obtained at the highest N, P and K levels (150, 90 and 60 kg. ha⁻¹, respectively). One of the methods for studying nutrient balance (NB) in plants is diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS) (5,23). The DRIS is a diagnostic approach that uses nutrient concentration ratios rather than concentration themselves, to interpret tissue analyses was developed to make an interpretation from the results of plant tissue chemical analyses for an accurate fertilizer recommendation (5). Another criteria is nutrient balance index (NBI) provides information about environmental stress (19) . A nutrient deficit (negative value) indicates declining soil fertility, while nutrient surplus (positive data) can indicates a risk of polluting soil, water and air. Dizayee, (8) applied different rates of nitrogen phosphorus and potassium fertilizer for soybean plant using DRIS methodology, showed that the maximum yield was obtain from the combination treatments of (N₂₄₀ P₆₀ K₅₀). Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effect of different levels of N and K on nutrient balance in plant using DRIS and yield of broccoli.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted during winter growing of season of 2015-2016 at Qwshtapa farm, village of Grdmala, with GPS reading of latitude 36⁰ 06.9 N, and longitude 44 03⁰ E, 413.8 meter above sea level to study effects of different rates of N and K on nutrient balance and productivity of Broccoli . After land preparations 25 plots, with 2m² (2×1m) were established leaving 2m between blocks and plots. Treatments included 5 levels of nitrogen (0, 60, 120, 180 and 240 kg N ha⁻¹) using Urea (46%N) as source of nitrogen, 5 levels of potassium (0, 150, 300, 450 and 600 kg K ha⁻¹) using potassium Sulfate (42 %K) as source of potassium and their combinations ,using factorial with RCBD in three replicates.

Table 1. some physical and chemical properties of soil

| Physical properties | Value | Unite |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Sand | 184 | } g kg ⁻¹ soil |
| Silt | 450 | |
| Clay | 366 | |
| Texture name | Silt clay loam | |
| Bulk density | 1.31 | Mg m ⁻³ |
| Chemical properties | | |
| pH | 7.5 | |
| EC | 0.8 | dS m ⁻¹ |
| O.M | 14 | g kg ⁻¹ soil |
| Available N | 25 | } mg kg ¹ soil |
| Available P | 7.5 | |
| Available K | 340 | |
| Equivalent CaCO ₃ | 330 | } g kg ⁻¹ soil |
| Active CaCO ₃ | 15.2 | |
| CEC | 29.3 | Cmol.kg ⁻¹ soil |

Constant level of phosphorus (130 kg P ha⁻¹) was applied using TSP (21%P) as source of phosphorus. Soil Samples were taken at depth of 0-30 cm before transplanting and passed through 2mm sieve for soil analysis (Table 1) . The source of irrigation water was a well at the field experiment with pH =7.63 and EC = 0.5 dS m⁻¹ using drip irrigation method and watermark for irrigation. Plant samples were taken at flowering stage for leaf and head analyses (21). Calculation of DRIS indices and norms were estimated according to Abd EI-Rheem, (1) and explained in Saleh(18). Statistical parameters were evaluated

according to Esmail *et al.*, (9) and the comparisons among values according to Turkey's multiple range test at ($P \leq 0.05$). Relative yield% was obtained by dividing treatment yield on maximum yield $\times 100$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Application of nitrogen significantly increased yield of broccoli with N_2 giving the highest value of $20.663 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ compared to the lowest value of $15.915 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ produced by N_0 (Table 2). These results are in agreement with Anwar *et al.* (2) who found that different levels of nitrogen increased yield of Broccoli plant and disagreed with Vagen, (22) who observed that nitrogen had no effect of on Broccoli. Application of potassium

significantly increased yield of broccoli compared to control, the highest value was $19.905 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ produced from K_1 while the lowest value was $17.641 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ produced from K_0 (Table 2). However, K_0 did not differ than K_3 , which indicated that the response to K applications was not straight for word due to high level of available K in soil according to most literature (4). Comparable results were obtained by other investigators (7,17,24) Interaction between nitrogen and potassium levels had significant effect on yield of Broccoli. The highest mean value of $23.916 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ was produced in K_1N_3 treatment, while the lowest $11.243 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ was produced from N_0K_0 treatment. These results are in agreement with Singh *et al.* (20) for Broccoli.

Table 2. Effect of levels of nitrogen, potassium and their interaction on yield of Broccoli

| Treatment | Yield of plant Mg ha^{-1} | | | | | Mean |
|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | N_0 | N_1 | N_2 | N_3 | N_4 | |
| K_0 | 11.243 ^f | 18.700 ^{ae} | 23.816 ^a | 13.033 ^{ef} | 21.416 ^{a-c} | 17.641 ^b |
| K_1 | 14.300 ^{e-f} | 19.510 ^{ae} | 21.500 ^{ab} | 23.916 ^a | 20.300 ^{a-d} | 19.905 ^a |
| K_2 | 19.200 ^{a-f} | 20.433 ^{ad} | 20.850 ^{ad} | 17.316 ^{af} | 21.200 ^{ad} | 19.800 ^a |
| K_3 | 14.250 ^{df} | 15.816 ^{bf} | 19.350 ^{ae} | 18.783 ^{a-c} | 18.983 ^{ae} | 17.436 ^b |
| K_4 | 20.583 ^{ad} | 23.800 ^a | 17.800 ^{af} | 16.250 ^{b-f} | 19.216 ^{ae} | 19.530 ^a |
| Mean | 15.915 ^c | 19.651 ^a | 20.663 ^a | 17.859 ^b | 20.223 ^a | |

Table (3) shows the nutrient concentration in leaf and yield for broccoli plant. The establishment of the standard values of DRIS norms depended on concentration ratio of the treatment for the yields above 80%. These norms were established locally depending on the nutrient concentration in all plots and their ratio from the most high yielding plants treatments and also defined the nutrient ratio

for all elements according to method adopted by Hassan, (11) in accordance with Walworth and Summer, (23), and Serra et al (19) using means, standard deviation (Std) and coefficient of variance (CV) for nutrient in combination treatments with 80% yield. DRIS index equal to zero indicating that the nutrient is in the optimum level while positive and negative values indicate unbalance (3).

Table 3. Percentage of nutrient concentration in leaf, yield and relative yield

| treatment | N % | P % | K % | Yield. Mg. ha^{-1} | R. Y % |
|-------------|------|-------|------|-----------------------------|--------|
| N_0K_0 | 4.15 | 0.18 | 2.25 | 11.233 | 47 |
| N_0K_1 | 5.1 | 0.18 | 2.7 | 14.300 | 59.8 |
| N_0K_2 1 | 5.75 | 0.37 | 2.43 | 19.200 | 80.3 |
| N_0K_3 | 5.06 | 0.19 | 2.83 | 14.250 | 59.6 |
| N_0K_4 2 | 5.65 | 0.15 | 2.67 | 20.583 | 86.1 |
| N_1K_0 | 5.11 | 0.2 | 2.04 | 18.683 | 78.1 |
| N_1K_1 3 | 6.25 | 0.26 | 2.5 | 19.416 | 81.2 |
| N_1K_2 4 | 6.19 | 0.21 | 2.45 | 20.433 | 85.4 |
| N_1K_3 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 2.48 | 15.816 | 66.1 |
| N_1K_4 5 | 6.2 | 0.23 | 2.59 | 23.800 | 99.5 |
| N_2K_0 6 | 6.1 | 0.221 | 2.29 | 23.816 | 99.6 |
| N_2K_1 7 | 5.9 | 0.22 | 2.47 | 21.500 | 89.9 |
| N_2K_2 8 | 5.44 | 0.25 | 2.54 | 20.850 | 87.2 |
| N_2K_3 9 | 5.77 | 0.37 | 2.47 | 19.350 | 80.9 |
| N_2K_4 | 6.4 | 0.23 | 2.45 | 17.800 | 74.4 |
| N_3K_0 | 6.54 | 0.19 | 2.57 | 13.033 | 54.5 |
| N_3K_1 10 | 5.95 | 0.34 | 2.42 | 23.916 | 100 |
| N_3K_2 | 6.2 | 0.27 | 2.8 | 17.316 | 72.4 |
| N_3K_3 | 6.34 | 0.38 | 2.5 | 18.783 | 78.5 |
| N_3K_4 | 5.28 | 0.25 | 2.57 | 16.250 | 67.9 |
| N_4K_0 11 | 5.75 | 0.48 | 2.43 | 21.416 | 89.5 |
| N_4K_1 12 | 5.14 | 0.28 | 2.77 | 20.300 | 84.9 |
| N_4K_2 13 | 5.76 | 0.45 | 2.72 | 21.200 | 88.6 |
| N_4K_3 | 6.55 | 0.46 | 2.28 | 18.983 | 79.4 |
| N_4K_4 14 | 6.11 | 0.75 | 2.61 | 19.216 | 80.3 |

The Norms are from 1 to 14

Table 4. Nutrient ratio, Function, DRIS indices, AT , yield and relative yield for broccoli plant

| treatment | Nutrient ratio | | | Function | | | INDICES | | | A.T | Yield Mg. ha ⁻¹ | R.Y% |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------|------|----------|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------------------------------|------|
| | N/P | N/K | P/K | F(N/P) | F(N/K) | F(P/K) | N index | P index | K index | | | |
| N ₀ K ₀ | 23.06 | 1.85 | 0.08 | 2.63 | -28.94 | -16.87 | -13.16 | -9.75 | 22.91 | 45.82 | 11.233 | 47 |
| N ₀ K ₁ | 28.40 | 1.89 | 0.07 | 9.43 | -25.75 | -25.97 | -8.16 | -17.70 | 25.86 | 51.72 | 14.300 | 59.8 |
| N ₀ K ₂ | 15.70 | 2.37 | 0.15 | -8.79 | 1.93 | 4.48 | -3.43 | 6.64 | -3.21 | 13.28 | 19.200 | 80.3 |
| N ₀ K ₃ | 27.13 | 1.79 | 0.07 | 7.80 | -33.49 | -26.45 | -12.84 | -17.13 | 29.97 | 59.94 | 14.250 | 59.6 |
| N ₀ K ₄ | 36.85 | 2.12 | 0.06 | 20.17 | -11.11 | -34.50 | 4.53 | -27.33 | 22.80 | 54.68 | 20.583 | 86.1 |
| N ₁ K ₀ | 25.98 | 2.50 | 0.10 | 6.35 | 8.50 | -9.34 | 7.42 | -7.84 | 0.42 | 15.68 | 18.683 | 78.1 |
| N ₁ K ₁ | 23.70 | 2.50 | 0.11 | 3.45 | 8.26 | -6.35 | 5.86 | -4.92 | -0.96 | 11.72 | 19.416 | 81.2 |
| N ₁ K ₂ | 29.46 | 2.53 | 0.09 | 10.77 | 9.47 | -13.96 | 10.12 | -12.37 | 2.25 | 24.74 | 20.433 | 85.4 |
| N ₁ K ₃ | 13.61 | 1.83 | 0.13 | -14.48 | -30.31 | 1.19 | -22.40 | 7.84 | 14.56 | 44.80 | 15.816 | 66.1 |
| N ₁ K ₄ | 26.87 | 2.39 | 0.09 | 7.48 | 2.81 | -12.51 | 5.15 | -10 | 4.85 | 20 | 23.800 | 99.5 |
| N ₂ K ₀ | 27.71 | 2.67 | 0.10 | 8.55 | 16.59 | -9.31 | 12.57 | -8.93 | -3.64 | 25.14 | 23.816 | 99.6 |
| N ₂ K ₁ | 26.71 | 2.35 | 0.09 | 7.28 | 1.19 | -12.88 | 4.20 | -10.08 | 5.88 | 20.16 | 21.500 | 89.9 |
| N ₂ K ₂ | 22.05 | 2.14 | 0.10 | 1.35 | -9.64 | -9.07 | -4.15 | -5.21 | 9.36 | 18.72 | 20.850 | 87.2 |
| N ₂ K ₃ | 15.59 | 2.33 | 0.15 | -9.26 | 0.20 | 4.46 | -4.53 | 6.86 | -2.33 | 13.72 | 19.350 | 80.9 |
| N ₂ K ₄ | 27.51 | 2.62 | 0.10 | 8.30 | 14.03 | -9.79 | 11.17 | -9.05 | -2.12 | 22.34 | 17.800 | 74.4 |
| N ₃ K ₀ | 27.25 | 2.52 | 0.09 | 7.96 | 9.00 | -10.99 | 8.48 | -9.47 | 0.99 | 18.94 | 13.033 | 54.5 |
| N ₃ K ₁ | 17.49 | 2.48 | 0.14 | -5.35 | 7.20 | 2.75 | 0.93 | 4.05 | -4.98 | 9.96 | 23.916 | 100 |
| N ₃ K ₂ | 22.98 | 2.22 | 0.10 | 2.52 | -5.62 | -9.33 | -1.55 | -5.88 | 7.48 | 14.96 | 17.316 | 72.4 |
| N ₃ K ₃ | 16.70 | 2.53 | 0.15 | -6.85 | 9.92 | 4.92 | 1.53 | 5.88 | -7.42 | 14.82 | 18.783 | 78.5 |
| N ₃ K ₄ | 20.83 | 1.95 | 0.09 | -0.21 | -21.25 | -10.36 | -10.73 | -5.08 | 15.81 | 31.62 | 16.250 | 67.9 |
| N ₄ K ₀ | 11.93 | 2.40 | 0.20 | -20.29 | 3.28 | 15.48 | -8.50 | 17.88 | -9.38 | 35.76 | 21.416 | 89.5 |
| N ₄ K ₁ | 18.58 | 1.86 | 0.10 | -3.47 | -28.31 | -8.05 | -15.89 | -2.29 | 18.18 | 36.36 | 20.300 | 84.9 |
| N ₄ K ₂ | 12.71 | 2.12 | 0.17 | -17.42 | -11.02 | 8.13 | -14.22 | 12.77 | 1.45 | 28.44 | 21.200 | 88.6 |
| N ₄ K ₃ | 14.24 | 2.86 | 0.20 | -12.66 | 25.50 | 15.49 | 6.42 | 14.08 | -20.50 | 41 | 18.983 | 79.4 |
| N ₄ K ₄ | 8.15 | 2.34 | 0.29 | -42.07 | 0.72 | 34.09 | -20.68 | 38.08 | -17.40 | 76.16 | 19.216 | 80.3 |

Table 5. the nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus status in broccoli plant depending on NBI values.

| treatment | N % | P % | K % | NBIa | R. Y % |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| N ₀ K ₀ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 22.91 | 47 |
| N ₀ K ₁ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 25.86 | 59.8 |
| N ₀ K ₂ | Deficient | Adequate | Deficient | 6.64 | 80.3 |
| N ₀ K ₃ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 29.97 | 59.6 |
| N ₀ K ₄ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 27.33 | 86.1 |
| N ₁ K ₀ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 7.42 | 78.1 |
| N ₁ K ₁ | Adequate | Deficient | Deficient | 5.86 | 81.2 |
| N ₁ K ₂ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 12.37 | 85.4 |
| N ₁ K ₃ | Deficient | Adequate | Deficient | 22.40 | 66.1 |
| N ₁ K ₄ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 10.00 | 99.5 |
| N ₂ K ₀ | Adequate | Deficient | Deficient | 12.57 | 99.6 |
| N ₂ K ₁ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 10.08 | 89.9 |
| N ₂ K ₂ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 9.36 | 87.2 |
| N ₂ K ₃ | Deficient | Adequate | Deficient | 6.86 | 80.9 |
| N ₂ K ₄ | Adequate | Deficient | Deficient | 11.17 | 74.4 |
| N ₃ K ₀ | Adequate | Deficient | Adequate | 9.47 | 54.5 |
| N ₃ K ₁ | Adequate | Adequate | Deficient | 4.98 | 100 |
| N ₃ K ₂ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 7.48 | 72.4 |
| N ₃ K ₃ | Adequate | Adequate | Deficient | 7.41 | 78.5 |
| N ₃ K ₄ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 15.81 | 67.9 |
| N ₄ K ₀ | Deficient | Adequate | Deficient | 17.88 | 89.5 |
| N ₄ K ₁ | Deficient | Deficient | Adequate | 18.18 | 84.9 |
| N ₄ K ₂ | Deficient | Adequate | Adequate | 14.22 | 88.6 |
| N ₄ K ₃ | Adequate | Adequate | Deficient | 20.50 | 79.4 |
| N ₄ K ₄ | Deficient | Adequate | Deficient | 38.08 | 80.3 |

From Tables 3,4 and 5 it can be seen that the treatment combinations (N_3K_1) regarded as the most balance among studied interaction with (A.T) is (9.96) which produced from DRIS indices of 0.93, 4.05, - 4.98 for Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium, respectively and gave yield of $23.916 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$. The nutrient balance indices in this treatment are quite close to zero and can be consider as the most optimum treatment. Values of absolute total (AT) were 45.82 and 9.96 for N_0K_0 and N_3K_1 , respectively (Table 5) and these values were corresponded with 11.233 and $23.916 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ and values of relative yield of (47% and 100%), respectively. All values between these two levels can be considered as either in excess (+ value) or in shortage (- values). According to DRIS indices and NBI values (19) it can be concluded that the most limiting nutrients in the studied area were N and P and plants will respond to their application. These results confirmed what can be expected from soil analysis (Table 1). The soil initially had very good level of K and low levels of N and P according to nutrient sufficient values mentioned by other investigators for nitrogen (12) and for phosphorus (4).

REFERENCES

1. Abd EI- Rheem kh. M. 2013. Using Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) to Determine Nutrient Norms for Peanut Crop Soil and Water Dept., National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.
2. Anwar, M. N.; M. S. Huq, S. K. Nandy and M. S. Islam. 2000. Growth yield component and curd yield of broccoli as influenced by N, P, K, S and Mo in grey terrace soil. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res.; 25(4): 685-691.
3. Bal dock, J. O. and Schulte, E. E. 1996. Plant analysis with standardized scores combines DRIS and sufficiency range approaches for corn. Agronomy Journal. 88(3):. 448-456.
4. Bashoor, E. Al-fuli ,M. , A., Sagh , D . Anak , H. Abualhq, A. Babadoblis , and N. Ahmed, 2007. Guide for fertilizer uses in Far East . FAO, Rome.
5. Beaufils, E.R. 1973. Diagnosis and recommendation integrate system a general scheme of experimentation and calibration based on principles developed from research in plant nutrition. South Africa: University of Natal, pieta, maritzburg.132p. (Soil Science Bulletin).
6. Brahma, S.; D. B. Phookan, B. P. Guatam and D. K. Bora. 2002. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea L. var. Italica*) cv. Pusa broccoli KTS. India J. Agric. Sci. 15(1):104-106.
7. Cai, Y. P.; M. Y. Liu and Z. M. Liu. 1999. A study on N, K application amount on broccoli raised in compound media. J. Hunan Agric. Univ.; 25(2): 99-102.
8. Dizayee, Alwand T. R. 2012. Soil fertility evaluation based on potassium capacity of different soil from Erbil Governorate. Journal of Duhok Univ. 15(1):
9. Esmail, A. O., A. O. Mustafa, and A. K. Omer, 2003. Design and Analysis of Experiment (1st part). Agriculture Press. FAO.
10. Haque, M. E., Karem, A. J. M. Haider and T. Hossain, 1996. Effect of nitrogen on the growth and yield of broccoli. Bangladesh Hort., 24 (1&2): 53-57.
11. Hassan, A. H. 2000. Testing of (DRIS) on the plant soil for Mize fertilization. Ph D. Dissertation, Coll. Of Agric. Baghdad Univ.
12. Hassan, N .A .K. 1991. Calibration of soil test values for fertilizer nitrogen recommendation. Iraqi J. Agric. Sci. 22 (1):87-95.
13. Katayal, S. L. 1994. Vegetable Growing in India. Mohan Primaini; Oxford and IBH Publishing: 66, path; Newdlhi- 110001; P 45.
14. Pardeep- Kumar; Sharma- SK; Kumar-p, 2001. Performance of different sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea L. var. Italica*) cultivars under different combinations of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. India J. Agri. Sci.; 71(8): 558-560;
15. Pieter, J. H. 1976. Effect of supplementary nitrogen manuring of sprouting broccoli for multiple harvesting. Bedrijfontwikkeling. 7(2): 111-115.
16. Rashid, M. M. 1990. Soil and Plant Nutrition . Rashid publishing House, 94, old, Dolts, Dhaka-PP. 250.
17. Ruan, R. J. gilkes. B. J. Scott. L. C. xie and X. Z. Hu. 1991. Potassium response of *Brassica chinensis* Baily in the Guangzhou area, southern china. Fertilizers Research, 29: 159-162.

- 18.Saleh, H. A. 2016. Effect of Nitrogen and Potassium On Nutrient Balance and Yield of Broccoli Using DRIS. MSc. Thesis ,College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddine-Erbil.
- 19.Serra, A. P, M. E. Marchetti, D. J. Bungenstab, M. A. G. DaSilva, R. P. Serra, and H. S. Demorais. 2013. Diagnosis and recommendation integrated system (DRIS) to assess nutritional state of plants. INTECH, Ch.5:129-146.
- 20.Singh, A. K.; Akhilesh- Singh; Singh- A. 2000. Influence of nitrogen and potassium on growth and head yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea var. italica L.*) under low hills subtropical condition of Himachal Pradesh. Veg. Sci., 27(1): 99-100.
- 21.Thompson, H. C. and W. C. Kelly, 1988. Vegetable Crops. 5th Ed., Tata Me Graw Hill publishing company Ltd. New Delhi, India. P. 611.
- 22.Vagen, I. M. 2005. Nitrogen uptake utilization in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea var. italica L.*). Ph. D Theses, Norwegian University of life Sciences.
- 23.Walworth, J.L. and M. E. summer 1987. Diagnosis recommendation integrates system (DRIS). Advance in Soil Science, 6:. 149-188.
- 24.Wyatt- JE; M ullins- CA, 1989. Potassium fertilization of broccoli transplants. Ferti-Res., 21(1): 13-18.
- 25.Ying. W. G., Z. C. Zheng and Z. Fush. 1997. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizer on the yield physiology target of broccoli Chania Veg- 1; 14-17.