EFFECT OF FERTILIZATION, ROOTSTOCKS AND GROWTH STIMULANT ON GROWTH OF *Citrus limon* L. SAPLING. *A. R. Alkhafaji N. H. Khalil Researcher Assist. prof.

Dept. of Hort. And Landscape- Coll. of Agric. Engin. Sci. – University of Baghdad Ameeralkhafajv91@gmail.com Nazik 95@vahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A research was carried out in the lath house of the College of Agricultural Engineering sciences / University of Baghdad / Al-Jadriyah, for three growth seasons Spring 2017, Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to study the effect of different fertilization methods, were Fertigation (Ft), Foliar application (Fa), traditional soil fertilization (Fo) and growth stimulant with two concentrations 0 (D0) and 1.5 mg.L⁻¹ (D1) on the growth of local *Citrus limon* L. Saplings grafted on *Citrus aurantium* L. (So), C. volkameriana (Vo), and Citrumello (Co). Saplings were cultivated in 10 kg plastic containers. A factorial experiment was carried out according to the Nested-Factorial Experimental design. Results indicated foliar application (Fa) superiority, followed by Fertigation (Ft) in plant height, rootstock and scion diameter, while the number of shoots increased significantly using (Ft). Lemon trees grafted on (So) showed superiority in plant height, while trees grafted on (Vo) recorded higher rates in rootstock, scion diameter, and shoot number. Plants fertilized with (Fa) surpassed in nutrient use efficiency (NUE) of N, P, K they were 1.18, 0.43 and 1.30 g.dw⁻¹, respectively. (Vo) indicated highest value in (NUE) of N, P, K elements in plants (1.32, 0.48 and 1.45 g. dw⁻¹) in comparison to So and Co. The Fa * Vo * D0 treatment increased significantly rootstock stem diameter (73.15 and 126.03%), while the highest rate in plant height was recorded by Fa * So* D0 (111.19%.) The highest increase rate of shoot number (483.9%), was by Ft * So * D1. The treatment Fo * Co * D1, gave the highest carbohydrate (4.92%).

Keywords: *Citrus Aurantium* L., Volkamer lemon, Citrumello, Fertigation, Foliar Application. *Part of M.Sc. Thesis of the 1st auther.

جدوع و خليل

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2019 :50(4):990 المجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2019

مو شتلات الليمون الحامض . Citrus limon	تأثير التسميد والاصل ومحفز النمو في ن
نازك حقي خليل	امیر رزاق جدوع
استاذ مساعد	باحث *
بة علوم الهندسة الزراعية – جامعة بغداد	قسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق – كلي

المستخلص

اجريت الدراسة في الظلة التابعة للمحطة البحثية B في كلية الزراعة / جامعة بغداد/ الجادرية ، لثلاثة دورات نموهي ربيع 2017 وترييع 2018 لدراسة تأثير نوع الاصل واختلاف طرق التسميد التي تتضمن الرسمدة (Ft) Fortigation (Ft) ، والتسميد الورقي Foliar مماد MPK فضلا عن المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة بمحفز للنمو بالتركيزين 0 (D0) و 1.5 ملغ . المعاملة . والفولكاماريانا المعام . والفولكاماريانا المعن الليمون الحامض المحلي . المحلي . المعار وعد في اصص بلاستيكية سعة 10 كفر . المارت النتائيم الوالفولكاماريانا ولوقي F7 تلتها الرسمدة 50 في زيادة نسبة ارتفاع النبات وقطر ساق الاصل والطعم في ازداد عدد الأمرع معنويا في 5.5 ملي الليمون المطعمة على الورقي . في المعان 1.5 ملي النسب في قطر الاصل والطعم وعدد الأمرع . يفوقت نباتات 5.6 مي الليمون الملعمة على 20 في المعام ولي العرفي . الليمون الملعم وعد الأفرع . يفوقت نباتات 5.6 و 1.0 و 1.0 من . وزن جاف⁻¹</sup> على التوالي ، وسجل الاصل ما على القيم في استخدام العول الغرار . المعاملة (NUE) لعناصر . العلم في المعاملة . المعاملة 20.5 ملي ها ولاصل المعام . العلم الغيم في المعام . العلم في النمو . العلم منه . ولام مل والطعم وعد الأمر . العار مل ما على القيم في استخدام العاصر (NUE) لعناصر . العلى الميان . منون الفيم في الليمان . القيم في الليمون الملغ م في الرام الولغ . الموال . و 1.5 م . وزن جاف⁻¹ على التوالي . ولما ما ما على القيم في الليما . العاملة 1.5 م . ولما ما والطعم اذ بلغت 20.5 م . ولما ما والغم في المعاملة . مام الما العلم . المعاملة 20.5 مو. ماله . ولمغام . ولما ما عل

الكلمات المفتاحية:. النارنج . Citrus Aurantium L ، الفولكامريانا Volkamer lemon، السترميللو Citrumello ، الرسمدة ، الرش الورقي. *البحث مستل من رسالة ماجستير للباحث الاول.

*Received:21/7/2018, Accepted:26/12/2018

INTRODUCTION

Lemon trees, Citrus limon L. a citrus species, cultivated in Iraq for many years due to suitable growing conditions. Despite smallsized fruit's, they are of high quality and low acidity making the local lemon one of the most desirable varieties for consumers, (3). The production of most citrus species, in nurseries is done by grafting them onto various citrus rootstocks, which effects the varieties grafted on them. Castle (12) and Wutscher (29) explain that varety and strength of rootstocks had a significant effect on growth and production of citrus nursery plants. Successful commercial production of citrus seedlings is influenced by the type of (10). Sour orange (Citrus rootstocks aurantium L.) rootstocks commonly used for the production of Citrus seedlings, for Oranges, Mandarins and Lemons produce high quality fruit. Volkamer lemon (citrus volkameriana) is a hybrid rootstock, which produces high-yielding trees with fruit of excellent size, though are cold sensitive and are susceptible to the brown wood rot fungi, but are tolerant to Tristeza, (31). Citrumello (Swingle Citrumelo), is a hybrid rootstock resulting from Citrus paradisi (Duncan) Macf. X Poncirus, It is a strong-growing tree, multi-seed fruit and conforms to all varieties of citrus (19). Citrus tree production depends on irrigation and fertilization, particularly in the semi-arid regions (18 and 25). Trees need to be equiped with nutrients, for vital plant activity in order to prevent a physiological disorder due to nutrition dificiancy which may adversely affect rootstock and scion growth (23). Production of citrus plants in nurseries is controlled by fertilization to improve growth, it is one of the most important factors influencing the success of seedling production. Manageing, citrus fertilization is a necessity to reduce excessive costs and avoid pollution with Fertilizer over use . Plants must be fertilized with balanced elements (21). Fertilization methods vary depending on the purpose fertilizer. components, plant species and growth stage it may be applied to the soil directly, with irrigation water or foliar applied. Citrus seedling producers try to achieve the best fertilization programs that help the

exploitation of added fertilizers and to decrease loss, Ground fertilization can equip the plant with nutrients necessary to improve growth and increase productivity (13 and 16), Bataglia et al. (11) referred to the possibility of producing seedlings with excellent qualitiy through fertilization programs based on fertilization with irrigation (fertigation). Yuan et al. (31) and Schumanne et al. (26) indicated that fertigation depends on the use of nutritious solutions or small amounts of highly soluble fertilizers in water, which contain all the necessary elements for plant growth that are added through drip irrigation system, thus the rationalization in the use of water and fertilizer together is performed while increasing the efficiency in their use and reducing the chance of fertilizer loss. Melgar et al. (20,22 and 24) demonstrated that fertigation might work to modify root and vegetative growth, which may lead to increased efficiency of elements absorption by plants. Foliar application, one of the modern methods and techniques used by researchers is intended to provide the plants with nutrients necessary to increase production and improve growth by reducing the constraints that reduce the existence of the elements in the soil (30).Organic compound extracts stimulate plant growth, Al-Rawi et Al-Karam and Al-Biaty(8) and al.(2) Mentioned that the foliar application of seaweed extract stimulated vegetative growth characteristics and leaf content of minerals in peach trees. Hifny and others (14) proposed adjusting the level of fertilizer added to small citrus trees depending on the type and strength of the rootstock, so the study aimed to determine the best fertilization's method for local lemon trees grafted on sour orange, Volcamarina and Citrumello rootstock, by stimulating growth and building a strong structure for sapling, minimizing fertilizer's quantities commonly used in nurseries and increasing efficiency in their use by fertigation and foliar application.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was carried out in the lath house of the research station at the College of Agriculture - University of Baghdad - Al-Jadriyah, for three growth seasons Spring 2017, Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to study the

effects of different fertilization methods, rootstocks and an organic growth stimulant in the growth of local citrus lemon, 225 two year old and fairly equal in size citrus lemon sapling were grafted on sour orange Citrus aurantium L., Volkamer lemon С. volkameriana , and Citrumello, 75 saplings per rootstock are cultivated in 10 kg plastic containers. The research was carried out as a factorial experiment based on the design of the Nested-Factorial Experiment (1) 18 treatments with three replicates and four seedlings per experimental unit with three factors and three replicates as follows:1st-Rootstock type as ,sour orange (So), Volkamer lemon (Vo) and Citrumello (Co) seedlings .2^{ed}- fertilization programs: -1Fertigation (Ft): fertilization of plants with irrigation water using a drip irrigation system (a fertilizer combination consisting of all the major and minor elements, high solubility in water for the growth of seedlings, and the plants will be fertilized with irrigation water once every 10 days).2-Foliar Application (Fa): leaves fertilized by spraying (a fertilizer combination consisting of all the major and elements necessary for seedling minor growth, and high solubility in water, foliar application was performed every 10 days). 3. soil fertilization (Fo) using conventional commercial N.P.K fertilizer, which was added once a month.3^{red} Foliar application with a growth stimulant consisting of organic compounds composed of a number of organic acids and growth regulators, with two concentrations of 0 and 1.5 g.l^{-1} . The results compared with the least significant difference (L.S.D) at the probability level of (0.05) using the GenStat.

Studied charcters

Measurements (Plant height, rootstock stem diameter and number of branches,) were taken in three growth seasons (Spring 2017, Fall 2017 and Spring 2018). The Percentage increase rates were obtained using the following equations: Increase rate $\% = T_2 - T_1 / T_1 x \ 100$

- Shoot content of Carbohydrate % (15).

-Plant Efficiency Use Nutrients (EUN) according to the following equation:

 $EUN = Concentration of element \% \times Total$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Increase in plant height%

The results in Figs. 1, 2 and 3 show a significant increase in plant height due to treatment effects. Figure 1 shows that fertilization (Fa) results are higher in season 1 and 3 as achieving a higher rate in the first season of 33.13%, and 100.66% in the third season, followed by Ft with rates of 28.32%, 100.5% for the first and third seasons respectively, which differed significantly from Fo, which achieved the lowest rate of increase in plant height of 7.36% in the first season and 84.49% in the third season. In the second season, Ft has significantly affected by obtaining the highest increase reaching 57.00 %, followed by Fa reaching 52.48%, which significantly differed from Fo, which achieved the lowest plant height during the second growing season reaching 47.03%.

Figure 2 shows a highly significant effect of rootstock in this characteristic as the rootstock Co achieved the highest rate in the first season at 24.58%, followed by So 22.53%, which in turn surpassed to Vo which obtained the lowest increase rate of 21.71%, the rootstock Vo in the second season was significant different in comparison the rest of the rootstocks as the highest percentage was 53.98% followed by Co, which had a ratio of 52.17% and So which was (50.36%), while in the third season So was higher with highest percentage of 99.19%, followed by the rootstock Co, (96.25%), differed significantly from Vo, (89.75%).Spraying the plant with the growth stimulant resulted in significant differences in plant height (Fig. 3) as the treatment D_1 achieved the highest percentage of 23.55% and 53.90% in the first and second seasons respectively, compared with the treatment D0, which achieved the lowest value in the first season of 22.33% and the second season at 50.95%, while in the third season, the treatment D1 achieved lower rates 94.47% compared to the treatment of D0, with the highest rate of 95.67%. The triple interference values between the experimental factors in Table 1 indicate the significant effect of the treatment Fa* So*D0 with the highest increase in plant height of 111.19% compared to the treatment Fo * Vo* D0, which achieved the lowest rate of increase in plant height of 70.59%.

Rootstock stem diameter %

The results of the statistical analysis in Figs. 4, 5 and 6 indicate a significant increase in the rootstock diameter, fertilization shows a increased rate in the diameter of the rootstock stem for three growth seasons (fig. 4), Fa gave highest value for the first and third season 13.65% and 64.64%, respectively, with a significant difference in comparison to other fertilization methods followed by Ft with an increase rate of 11.76 for the first season and 59.09% for the second, which differed significantly from Fo, which achieved the lowest increase in the diameter of 9.30% and 47.93% for the first and third seasons respectively. Fo has surpassed for the second season significantly reaching 45.85% compared to the rest of the experimental methods followed by Fa reaching 40.21% with a significant difference in comparison with Ft, which achieved the lowest increase rate in diameter of the rootstock stem reaching 37.64%. The results in Figure 5 explained the significant effect of the rootstock type in the diameter of the rootstock stem. The rootstock Co surpassed the rest of the rootstocks with the highest value of 21.23% for the first growth season followed by So by 11.80% while Vo gave lowest increase rate of 10.68%. Vo had a significant Second and third seasons recorded the highest rates with an increase of 51.67% and 65.45%, respectively, followed by Co with 37.28% for the second season and 53.23% for the third season, which was higher than So which were 34.75% and 51.99% for the second and third seasons respectively. The treatment D1 achieved the highest rate in the first, second and third growth season (Fig. 6). They were 12.63%, 43.46%, and 61.09%, respectively compared with the D0 which achieved the lowest percentage in the diameter of the rootstock stem for the first, second and third growth season by 10.51%, 39.00% and 52.66% respectively. The treatment of Fa * Vo * D0 recorded a significantly higher increase rate in rootstock stem diameter as a result of the interaction between the three research factors (Table 1) with rates of 73.15% and 126.03% respectively compared with Fo * So * D0 which achieved the lowest value 31.46%.

Scion stem diameter %

The difference between fertilization had a significant effect on scion stem diameter. Fig.7 shows that the effect of Fa was significantly higher for the three seasons reaching 40.86% in the 1st growth season. 51.55% in the Autumn growth season and 89.37% for the 3^{rd} growth season , followed by Ft in the 1st and 3rd seasons by 31.66% and 85.93% respectively, while Fo, achieved the lowest scion stem diameter 23.05% and 61.29% for the 1^{st} and 3^{rd} seasons respectively, Fa surpassed in the second growth season by achieving the highest scion stem diameter of 55.51% followed by Fo (50.27%). significantly surpassing Ft, which achieved the lowest value in the 2nd season 49.34%. The results in Fig. 8 show that rootstock Vo surpassed significantly by obtaining the highest scion diameter in the 1st season (38.09%), and 2nd season (61.59%) and in the 3^{rd} season it reached 84.93%. followed by the rootstock So in the all three seasons (30.85%)46.90%, 77.10%) respectively, surpassing Co which had the lowest scion diameter in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd 26.63%, 42.68%, 74.56%) respectively. The treatment D0 achieved the highest rootstock stem diameter in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd seasons reaching 35.19%, 55.99%, 86.39%, respectively, compared with D1 which recorded a low percentage in all three growth seasons of 28.53% and 44.78% and 71.34%, respectively.The treatment Fa*D0*Vo obtained the highest value of the rootstock stem diameter reaching 126.03% affected by the three studied factors in comparison to the other treatments, the lowest rate was recorded by the treatment Fo * So * D0 was 39.77% (Table 1).

Number of plant branches %

The results of the statistical analysis in Figs. 10, 11 and 12 indicate a significant increase in the number of branches of the plant due to the

effect of the treatments. The difference of the fertilization method had a significant effect in this parameter for three growth seasons as Fo surpassed significantly for the 1st and 2nd seasons by achieving rates of 77.22% and 144.66% respectively followed by the Ft by obtaining rates of 70.92% and 133.07% respectively, which differed significantly from Fa, which had the lowest number of branches recording 57.35% in the 1st season and 120.23% in the 2nd season, while the highest increase rate achieved by Ft in the 3rd season 436.9%, followed by Fa achieving a rate of 349.1% surpassing the Fo which achieved the lowest rate of 281.7%. The rootstock So surpassed the rest of the rootstocks in the 1st and 2nd growth seasons by achieving the highest branches number 82.1% and 148.79% respectively, followed by Vo reaching 68.27% in the 1st season and 127.66% in the 2nd season while Co obtained the lowest branch number in the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} seasons 55.18% and 121.50% respectively. As for the 3rd growth season, rootstock Vo exceeded the rest of the rootstocks by achieving the highest branch number of 378.6% followed by So, by 362.4% which differed significantly from Co, with lowest number of branches was 326.7%. The treatment D0 achieved the highest branch number of 68.89% and 133.51% for the 1st and 2nd seasons respectively compared with treatment D1 which recorded a low rate in 1st and 2nd seasons of 68.10% and 131.76% respectively, while D1 surpassed by achieving the highest branch number in the 3rd growth season reaching 374.1% compared to D0, which achieved the branch number 337.6%. The highest number of branches (Table 1) was 483.9%, which was recorded by Ft * So * D1 effected by the factors compared to the lowest number in the treatment of Fo * Co * D1 reaching 191.8%. The results indicate that the highest increase in vegetative parameters was found in the 3rd growing season, effected by both foliar application, and Fertigation compared to conventional fertilization, that may be due to the fact that the plants in the first growth not have high season did nutrients requirements because plants have been sufficient by storing elements. Plants of small

size may be another reason explaining why they do not need intensive fertilization, the results are consistent with (28) and (9). The results agreed with Alfalahy (5) that leaf nutrition led to an increase in branch number, stem diameter, and the increase in vegetative growth characteristics represented in plant height, number of branches and increasing in stem diameter due to supplement the plants with the major and minor necessary nutrients through fertilization (table 2 and 3) as these elements have a direct effect on plant growth. Nitrogen plays aroid in the synthesis of amino acids, proteins and nucleic acids, and is a Chlorophyll components. Phosphorus is an important cell component represented as phosphosaccharides, phospholipides, energy compounds and nucleic acids, so its presence at sufficient levels increases the efficiency of photosynthesis and the optimum growth of the plant. The availability of Potassium at appropriate levels in the plant leads to an increase in photosynthesis and may be due to the activation of the enzymatic system of process, (33and 27). The photosynthesis strength and activity of the Volcamer limon rootstock may be the cause of significant increase in both rootstock and scion diameter and branch number, these results were consistent with (4) on the incorporeal effect of Volcamarina rootstock in increasing the number of branches. While the trees grafted orange rootstock on the sour were distinguished with distinctive heights, which may be due to decreased number of branches which lead to an increase in plant height growth.

Nutrient use efficiency (NUE)

nitrogen

The results in Table 2 show that there are significant differences in **NUE** of Nitrogen in the plant due to the effect of the factors involved in the experiment, since the quantity of this element in the plant differed according to the method of fertilization through the surpassedity of the fertilization (Fa) by achieving the highest value of 1.18 g followed by (Ft) with a value of 1.00 g, which differed significantly from (Fo) with the lowest value of 0.95 g. **NUE** affected by the different rootstock type , as the rootstock Vo was surpassed to achieve the highest value of 1.32

g, Co has achieved 0.99 g which differed significantly from So with the lowest value of 0.83 g. Growth stimulant resulted in significant differences in NUE as D1 treatment has recorded the highest value of 1.24 g, surpassed to D0 which recorded the lowest value of 0.85 g. Table 3 indicated that the values of the interaction among the three experimental factors had a significant effect on this feature by the succeeding of the treatment Fa * Vo * D1 with the highest value of 2.34 g compared to the lowest value of 0.53 g from the treatment Ft * So * D0.

Potassium

Fa fertilization has surpassed in NUE of K (Table 2) by achieving the highest value of 1.30 g followed by Fo with a value of 1.25 g which differed significantly in comparison to Ft recording lowest value of 0.72 g. The results indicated that Vo rootstock, is a high NUE for K element, by obtaining the highest value of 1.45 g followed by the rootstock Co with a value of 1.07 g, which differed significantly from So, which achieved the lowest value of 0.75 g, Growth stimulant increased plant NUE of K, results in table 2 clarified that plants in D1 achieved the highest value of 1.14 g superior to D0 with the lowest value of 1.04 g.

Plants in treatment Fo * Vo * D1 have a high NUE of K, reaching 2.93 g compared to D0 * So * Ft which achieved the lowest value of 0.41 g (Table 3).

Phosphorus

The results in Table 2 show that the research parameters have significantly affected the plant NUE of phosphorus (P). The fertilization method Fa recorded the highest value of 0.43 g, followed by Ft with a value of 0.38 g which was significantly different from Fo that has a lower value of 0.33 g. Rootstocks have a significant effect on the plant **NUE** of (P), as the rootstock Vo surpassed by achieving the highest value of 0.48 g compared with the rest of the rootstocks included in the experiment, followed by Co with a value of 0.38 g which differed from (So) with the lowest value of 0.29 g. NUE of (P), was significantly affected by the growth stimulant, the treatment D1 achieved the highest value of 0.41 g compared to D0 (0.35 g). The interaction treatment among the factors of the experiment significantly effected characteristic (table 3) by achieving the treatment Fa * Vo *D1the highest value of 0.79 compared to the treatment Fo * So * D0 which recorded the lowest value of 0.20 g.

Carbohydrates in shoots %

The results in Table 2 indicate that the fertilization method Fo obtained the highest of carbohydrates reaching rate 2.27% compared to the Fa fertilization (2.02%) which differed significantly from the Ft that achieved the lowest carbohydrate rate of 1.72%. Rootstock variety indicated а significant difference in carbohydrates shoot content, as the rootstock Co surpassed by achieving the highest rate of 2.58%, followed by the rootstock Vo by a rate of 1.99%, which also differed from the rootstock So that the lowest percentage of the carbohydrate was 1.44%. The plants that were treated with growth stimulant D1 had the highest rate 2.26%, reaching which made them significantly different in comparison to D0 plants which gave the lowest rate of carbohydrates reaching 1.72%. The interaction among the three experimental factors referred to the significant effect on the carbohydrate percentage in plant shoots (table 3) by the surpassedity of the treatment Fo * Co * D1 recording the highest rate of 4.92%, while the treatment Ft * So * D0 had the lowest percentage of 1.14%.

The results indicate a higher NUE of K, P, N in dry plant material, this may be due to plant processing with the elements required for growth and development, through fertilization in its various ways, as fertilization leads to adequate ratios of major and minor elements (27), plants fertilized by foliar application have excelled with their high content of elements, probably because of the direct effect of the elements that penetrated to the leaves and reduce loss in fertilizers, as agreed with (17) and (5).

Plants fertilized by fertigation showed a good nutrient use efficiency as agreed with (22), who mentioned that fertigation may modify the root and vegetative growth, which increases the efficiency of the plant in the absorption of elements. Root-stock NUE depends on genetic and physiological

Alkhafaji & Khalil

differences that are modified by plant interactions with environmental variables (22).Carbohydrates increased by fertilization this may be due to the effect of NPK high Nitrogen fertilizer, as the Nitrogen affects the vegetative growth by activation of enzymes



Figure 1. Effect of fertilization on plant height for three growth seasons (%).



Figure 3. Effect of growth stimulant on plant height for three growth seasons (%).



Figure 5. Effect of rootstock on stem diameter of rootstock for three growth seasons (%).

and reactions and increase Photosynthetic products. The genetic differences between rootstocks and growth features deter-mines vegetative growth, NUE of elements and Carbohydrates, the results were consistent with (12) (6) and (7).



Figure 2. Effect of rootstock on plant for three growth seasons (%).



Figure 4. Effect of fertilization on stem diameter for three growth seasons (%).





Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences –2019:50(4):990- 1000 Khalil



Figure 7. Effect of the fertilization on scion stem diameter for three growth seasons (%)



Figure 9. Effect of growth stimulant on scion stem diameter for three growth seasons (%).



Figure 11. Effect of rootstock in plant branch number for three growth seasons (%) .



Figure 8. Effect of rootstock on scion stem diameter for three growth seasons (%).



Figure 10. Effect of fertilization on plant branch number for three growth seasons (%).





Table 1. Effect of interaction between fertilization, rootstocks and growth stimulant on plant height, rootstock diameter, grafted branch diameter and number of branches%

	Treatments					
Fertlization	rootstock	Growth stimulant	Plant height %	Rootstock diameter %	Grafted stem diameter %	Number of branches %
Ft	X 7 -	D0	109.23	65.12	79.65	368.0
	Vo	D1	75.38	70.55	66.20	464.2
	S _a	D0	100.85	48.54	125.19	345.0
	So	D1	99.43	56.38	105.38	483.9
	C	D 0	109.15	56.23	88.53	478.7
	Со	D1	106.27	51.73	50.63	481.6
Fa	X 7	D 0	100.65	73.15	126.03	400.1
	Vo	D1	93.65	71.02	96.53	409.4
	C.	D 0	111.19	56.96	72.59	358.7
	So	D1	108.01	70.89	64.93	332.6
	Со	D0	91.50	54.22	95.31	361.1
		D1	98.94	61.57	80.83	232.7
Бо	Vo	D0	70.59	48.25	76.58	210.2
		D1	89.02	64.61	64.61	419.7
	So	D0	76.36	31.46	39.77	302.7
		D1	99.33	47.73	54.73	351.3
	C	D0	91.47	40.22	73.87	214.2
	Со	D1	80.16	55.33	58.18	191.8
LSD			0.44	0.54	0.51	20.30

 Table 2. Effect of fertilization, rootstock and growth stimulant on absorbing of N, P and K
 elements and percentage of carbohydrates

Factors	NUE NUE Nitrogon Phognhomug		NUE Potassium	Carbohydrates	
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus Fertilization			
Ft	1.00	0.38	0.72	1.72	
Fa	1.18	0.43	1.30	2.02	
Fo	0.95	0.33	1.25	2.27	
LSD	0.01	0.006	0.03	0.04	
		Rootstock			
Vo	1.32	0.48	1.45	1.99	
So	0.83	0.29	0.75	1.44	
Со	0.99	0.38	1.07	2.58	
LSD	0.02	0.004	0.02	0.03	
		Growth stimul	ant		
D0	0.85	0.35	1.04	1.74	
D1	1.24	0.41	1.14	2.26	
LSD	0.02	0.003	0.02	0.02	

Table 3. Effect of interaction between fertilization, rootstock and growth stimulant on
absorbing of N, P and K and carbohydrates.

	Treatments		NUE	NUE	NUE	
Fertlization	rootstock	Growth stimulant	rowth Nitrogen Phos	Phosphorus	Potassium	Carbohydrates
	Vo	D0	1.00	0.47	0.86	1.37
	vo	D1	1.47	0.38	0.80	3.44
Ft	So	D0	0.53	0.21	0.41	1.14
Γl		D1	1.17	0.38	0.98	1.26
	Со	D 0	1.12	0.55	0.65	1.16
		D1	0.73	0.27	0.64	1.94
	X 7	D 0	0.63	0.33	1.13	1.38
	Vo	D1	2.34	0.79	1.91	2.08
E.	So	D 0	0.78	0.37	1.27	1.35
Fa		D1	0.92	0.27	0.46	1.57
	Со	D 0	0.99	0.33	1.89	3.57
		D1	1.42	0.52	1.13	2.15
	••	D 0	1.04	0.38	1.09	1.84
Fo	Vo	D1	1.43	0.52	2.93	1.85
	So	D 0	0.71	0.20	0.60	2.14
		D1	0.85	0.29	0.81	1.16
	Со	D 0	0.85	0.34	1.46	1.73
		D1	0.84	0.26	0.63	4.92
	LSD		0.05	0.010	0.05	0.07

REFERENCES

1-Al-Rawi, K. M. and A. M. Khalaf allah 2000. Design and analysis of Agricultural experiments. Baghdad University. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Iraq. pp:561.

2-Al-Rawi, W. A., M. E.A. Al-Hadethi and A. A. AbdulKareem. 2016. Effect of foliar application of gibberellic acid and seaweed extract spray on growth and leaf mineral content on peach trees. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences – 47: (Special Issue): 98-105.

3- Al-Khafaji, M. A. and S. A. Atrah and A. A. Mohammed. 1990. Sustainable Evergreen Fruits. Baghdad University . Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research - Republic of Iraq. pp:456.

4- Abdulhussein ,M.A.A. and J. A. Mushtaq, 2016. Response of local Lemon seedling grafted on three Citrus rootstocks to foliar fertilizer NPK-TE and grafted stimulator G-GANA. Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science 8(3):14-22.

5- Alfalahy, Th. H.2012. Effect of Foliar Applcation, Carbon Dioxide Enrichment and Light Level on Growth of Three Citrus Species Trannsplants. Ph. D. Dissertation. College of Agriculture- University of Baghdad-Iraq. pp:225.

6- Al- Hgemi S. H. J and M. A. Al – Khafaji .2016. Effect of CO₂ enrichment and foliar spray agroleaf and kelbak on leaves content of N, P, K, protin and carbohydrate of smooth peach transplants. The Iraqi Journal of Agri-cultural Sciences – 47: (Special Issue): 106-111.

7- Al-Jumaily O. J. M. and S. A. A. Al-esawi. 2016. Effect of folair application with brassinolide and algae extract (Tecamine) in vegetativ and yield characteristics of apple tree (cv. Anna). The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences – 47(5):1225-1234.

8- Al-Karam B. N. I. and I. M. Al-Biaty., 2016 Effect of foliar application of organic and growth promoter biozyme on growth of wolly peach "red june" vegetative growth. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences – 47(3): 677-683.

9- Alva, A. K. 2005. Role of fertigation in horticultural crops: citrus. Fertigation Proceedings: Selected papers presented at the joint. Sep; 20:61.

10- Al-Jaleel, A., Zekri, M. and Yahia H. 2005. Yield, fruit quality, and tree health of 'Allen Eureka' lemon on seven rootstocks in Saudi Arabia. Scientia Horticulturae, 105: 457–465.

11- Bataglia, O. C., J.A. Quaggio, M. Ferreira de Abreu, M. and P.S.R. Boaventura. 2005. Nutrient uptake and leaching on Citrus nursery production in substrate with two fertilizer management programs. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 697:281-284.

12- Castle, W. S. 2010. A career perspective on citrus rootstocks, their development, and commercialization. Hort. Sci. 45(1): 11 - 15. 13- Dinnes, D.L., D.L. Karlen, D.B. Jaynes, T.K. Kaspar, J.L. Hatfield, T.S. Colvin and C.A. Cambardella . 2002 : Nitrogen management strategies to reduce nitrate leaching in tile-drained Midwestern soils.Agron. J., 94: 153-171.

14- Hifny H. A., Fahmy M. A., Bagdady G. A., Abdrabboh G. A. and Hamdy, A. E.2013.Effect of Nitrogen fertilization added at various phenological stages on growth, yield and fruit quality of Valencia Orange Trees. Nature and Science .11(12): 220-229.

15- Joslyn M. A. .1970 . Methods in Food Analysis . Academic Press , N. Y. , London. 2nd ed. pp:1071.

16- Kannan T. 2000. Effect of Foliar and Soil Application of Urea on Raising of Citrus Nursery. M. Sc. thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (India).

17- Kannan, T. S. N. Singh, and HS. Rattanpal. 2002. Effect of foliar and soil application of urea on vegetative growth and budding success of citrus nursery. Indian J. Hort., 59(4):367-372.

18- Koo, C. J. 1980. Results of citrus fertigation studies. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 93:33–36.

19- Lacey, K. 2012. Citrus Rootstocks for WA. Department of Agriculture and Food .

Farmnote: 155. Available from www.agric.wa.gov.au.

20- Leacox J. D, Syvertsen J. P. 1996. How nitrogen supply affected growth and nitrogen uptake, use efficiency and loss from citrus seedlings. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 121, 105-114.

21- Mengel, K. 2002. Alternative or complementary role of foliar Supply in mineral nutrition. Actahorticulturae 594: 33 - 47.

22- Melgar ,J. C., A. W. Schumann and J. P. Syvertsen .2010. Fertigation frequency affects growth and water and Nitrogen use efficiencies of Swingle Citrumelo Citrus rootstock seedlings. HortScience, 45 (8): 1255-1259.

23- Pérez-Zamora, O. 2005. Leaf Nutrient concentration, yield production efficiency, juice quality and nutriment indexes on

Valencia Orange grafted on citrus Rootstocks. TERRA Latinoamericana, 23 (1):39-47.

24- Rattanpal H. S, and H. Singh. 2014. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the growth and nutritional status of rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush) seedlings. Indian Journal of Science, 7(17), 11-15

25- Rekha C. 2005 . Effect of GA3 and Urea Spray on Growth Performance of Rough Lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush.) Seedlings under Screen House Conditions. M. Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (India). pp:236

26-Schumann, A.W., J. P., Syvertsen, and K.T. Morgan, 2009. Implementing advanced citrus production systems in Florida—Early results. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 122:108–113.

27-Taiz, L. and. E. Zeiger 2010. Plant Physiology. 5th ed. Sinauer associates. Inc. Publisher Sunderland, Massachus- AHS. U.S.A. pp:872.

28- Weinert, T.L., T.L. Thompson, S.A. White, and M.A. Maurer. 2002. Nitrogen fertigation of young navel oranges: Growth, N status, and uptake of fertilizer N. Hort. Science 37:334–337.

29-Wutscher, H. K., .1989. Alteration of fruit tree nutrition through rootstocks. Hort. Science. 24(4), 578-584.

30- Wittwer, S.H., and E. Lansing. 2005. Foliar Application of Fertilizer. Michigan State University. pp:57.

31- Wright, G. C. and S.Poe . 2016 .Citrus Rootstock Acquisition and Evaluation .A report. School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona. https://agriculture.az.gov/sites.

32-Yaseen, M. and A. Ahmed, .2010. Nutrition management in citrus : effect of multi nutrients foliar feeding on the yield of kinnow at different locations. Pak. J. Bot.,42(3):1863-1870.

33- Yuan, R., F. Alferez, I. Kostenyuk, S. Singh, J.P. Syvertsen, and J.K. Burns. 2005. Partial defoliation can decrease average leaf size but has little effect on orange tree growth, fruit yield and juice quality. Hort. Science 40:2011–2015.