ACTIVITY OF BACTERIAL ANTIBIOTICS AGAINST SOME PATHOGENIC BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM CALVES DIARRHOEA IN BAGHDAD (part I)

R. N. Abdulridha

O. M.S. Ibrahim

Dept. of Physiology & Pharmacology - College of Vet. Med. University of Baghdad Oroobam2000@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to isolate, identify and characterize the bacterial pathogens causing calf diarrhea in Baghdad province and study it's susceptibility toward different antibiotics. The study was conducted on 105 faecal samples collected directly from the rectum of diarrhoeic calves and brought to the laboratory for bacteriological examination. Isolation and identification of the microorganisms were confirmed on the basis of their, staining, cultural, morphology and biochemical tests. Furthermore, antibacterial test was study for different clinical isolates of pathogenic bacteria toward varying concentration of antibiotics by disc diffusion technique. samples were examined for the isolation of bacteria of which 45 (42.85%) samples were positive for E. coli, 22 (20.95%) samples were positive for Salmonella spp, 16 (15.23%) samples were positive for Staphylococcus, 12 (10.5%) samples were positive for Mixed infection, 10 (9.52%) samples were negative for bacteria. The susceptibility study revealed that most of the E. coli, Salmonella spp., and Staphylococcus spp. were resistant to ampicillin, amoxicillin, erythromycin, gentamicin, tetracycline, streptomycin and trimethoprim / sulfamethoxazole with varying percentages, and susceptible to ciprofloxacin and azithromycin. The findings of the present study indicate that the clinical isolates of pathogenic bacteria resistance to a number of bacterial antibiotics.

Key words: E.coli, salmonella, staphylococcus, Calf; Diarrhea.

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2018 :949: 2018 -855 فعالية المضادات الحيوية البكتيرية ضد بعض البكتريا المرضية المعزولة من اسهال العجول في بغداد ريهام نجم عبد الرضا باحث فرع الفسلجة والأدوية – كلية الطب البيطري -جامعة بغداد

المستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة لعزل وتوصيف وتحديد مسببات الأمراض البكتيرية المسببة لإسهال العجول في محافظة بغداد. أجريت الدراسة على 105 عينة من البراز تم جمعها مباشرة من مستقيم العجول المصابة بداء الإسهال وأحضرت إلى المختبر لعزل وتشخيص البكتيريا. تم تأكيد عزل وتوصيف الجراثيم على اساس الاختبارات الصبغية والشكلية والكيموحيوية. علاوة على ذلك، تمت دراسة فعالية عدد من المضادات الحيوية البكتيرية ويتراكيز مختلفة من خلال تقنية الاقراص في الأكار. أظهرت نتائج العزل لعينات البراز تلك أن 45 عينة (42.85٪) تحتوي جرثومة الايشريكيا القولونية، 22 عينة (20.95٪) جرثومة العزل لعينات البراز تلك أن 45 عينة (42.85٪) تحتوي جرثومة الايشريكيا القولونية، 22 عينة (5.90٪) جرثومة السالمونيلا، 16 عينة (15.23٪) جرثومة المكورات العنقودية، 12 عينة (10.5٪) لجراثيم مشتركة و 10 عينات (25.9٪) سالبة للبكتيريا. أظهر فحص الحساسية لجراثيم الايشريكيا القولونية والسالمونيلا والمكورات العنقودية مقاومة للأمبسلين والأموكسيلين وإريثروميسين والجنتاميسين والستريتومايسين والتتراسايكلين وتريميثوبيرا العاميوريات العاميورية المعلمين وحساسة فقط للسيبروفلوكساسين والأزيثروميسين. تشير نتائج الدراسة الحالية إلى أن معظم المرضية المعزولة المعزولة مقاومة للمصادات الحيوية المحترية المعربين على منابيكين وتريميثوبير من المام وليسب متباينة. وحساسة فقط للسيبروفلوكساسين والأزيثروميسين. تشير نتائج الدراسة الحالية إلى أن معظم الجراثيم المرضية المعزولة مقاومة للمضادات الحيوية البكتيرية.

> كلمات مفتاحية: الأشريكيا القولونية، السالمونيلا، المكورات العنقودية، العجل، اسهال. بحث مستل من رسالة ماجستير

INTRODUCTION

Calf diarrhea caused by bacterial infection has a bad effect on the dairy industry all over the world when calves are reared intensively, It involves significant economic loss for labor and capital, calf mortality, loss in calf value and veterinary costs (13,33). The incidence of diarrhea in calves under 30 days of age varies between 10 % and Overfeeding, overpopulation, 20%. cold temperature, bad hygiene, artificial feeding colostrums deprivation and are all predisposing factor which can be important in the complex etiology of the disease (6). Diarrhoea caused by different enteropathogens has been recognized as a major clinical problem for calves worldwide. Among these bacteria Eschirechia coli as "white scour", typhyimurium, Salmonella Clostridium perfringens and Staphylococcus aureus are believed to be the major microbial causes of diarrhea in calves (1,10). Farm geographic location, management practices, as well as herd size affect considerably the prevalence of the pathogen (9). Antimicrobial agents are considered popular to fight diarrhea in calves. Nevertheless, their wide spectrum of activity, the emergence of microbial tolerance of different antimicrobial agents has become a well-known phenomenon, which represents a major concern (18). The frequent use of antibacterial agents has created the selective pressure to enhance the rising rates in antibiotic tolerance to different types of bacteria (15,24). Consequently, due to the truth that microorganisms developed resistance against several types of antimicrobial agents, communicable diseases persist to be one of the most important public health problems in different countries. In addition. the disadvantages of frequently used antimicrobial agents are not only the development of multiple drug resistance, but also adverse side effects (21). As a result of antimicrobial resistance in the diarrhea of pre-weaned calves (18,33). therefore, important that sensitivity of different bacteria isolated from diarrhoeic calves needs to be studied from time to time in order to formulate appropriate therapeutic measures. Therefore, the objectives of the current study were thus to isolate and identify various bacteria from feces of calves suffering from diarrhea in Baghdad, and evaluate it's antimicrobial activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Selection of study area:

The research study was carried out in calf farm, samples were obtained from different locations (Nahrawan, Dujail, Fdhiliya, Abu-Ghraib) during the period of October 2017 to February 2018

Samples Collection

One hundred and five sample from diarrhoec calves collected into sterile plastic tubes and submitted to the laboratory of the Department of physiology and pharmacology. College of veterinary medicine university of Baghdad. Isolation and identification of bacterial pathogens were performed as per procedures described by (26,29).

Isolation and identification of bacterial pathogens from calf diarrhoea

Cultural characteristics: Cultural characteristics were studied depending on colony morphology (color, size, consistency, density), Primary culture was performed in Nutrient agar and Nutrient broth media. Subcultures were performed in MacConkey agar, Blood agar, Staphyloccous Agar No.110, Eosin-Methylene Blue agar, Salmonella-Shigella agar, Manitol salt agar, and Simmon's citrate agar

Morphological characterization

The representative bacterial pathogens were isolated from suspected cases of fecal samples then stained with Gram's staining techniques (26).

Biochemical characterization

Isolated bacterial was identified by studying morphological and some biochemical characteristics test: Indole, Catalase, Methyl-Red, Voges–Proskauer, Citrate utilization, MIU, Triple sugar iron and Hydrogen sulphide **Maintenance of stock culture**

The stock culture was maintained following the procedures of (11). Pure culture of the isolated organisms were stored in sterilized 80% glycerin and used as stock culture.

In-vitro Antibacterial Activity of standard antimicrobial: Commercially available discs (9 mm diameter) preloaded with ampicillin (30 μ g/disk), amoxicillin (20 μ g/disk), azithromycin (15 μ g/disk), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g/disk), erythromycin (15 μ g/disk), gentamicin (10 μ g/disk), streptomycin (10 μ g/disk), tetracyclines (30 μ g/disk), and trimethoprime / sulfamethoxazole ($25 \mu g/disk$) were used. The agar disc diffusion method was adapted according to performance standards of CLSI (12), for assessing the antibacterial activity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study showed that Three different types of bacteria were isolated from a total of (105) faecal samples collected from diarrhoeic calves. Out of 105 faecal samples forty five (45) samples were found positive for E. coli giving positive reaction to lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar, metallic green sheen colonies on Eosin-Methylene Blue agar and yellowish green colonies on Brilliant Green Agar. Twenty two (22) samples were found positive for Salmonella enterica producing negative reaction to lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar. The organism produce opaque, translucent and colorless colonies on Salmonella- Shigella agar, pale pink colour colonies against a pinkish background on BGA and deep blue color from green colour simmon's citrate agar. Sixteen (16) samples were found positive for *staphylococcus aureus* producing yellowish colonies on Staphylococcus agar No. 110, hemolysis on Blood agar table (1,2,3). The results of frequency distribution of bacterial isolates are presented in table (4) Out of (105) faecal samples, 45 (42.85%) samples were belong to for E. coli, 22 (20.95%) samples were positive for Salmonella spp., 16 (15.23%) samples were positive for Staphylococcus spp., 12 (11.42%) samples were accounted for mixed infection and 10 (9.52%) samples were negative for bacteria. Isolated E.coli gate higher percentage than Salmonella spp. and *Staphylococcus* The frequency spp. distributions of different species of bacterial isolates in different faecal samples were found variable. In this study there was significant relation between types of pathogenic bacteria which were in agreement with findings of Aggernesh, 2010 (2) and Dereje, 2012 (14). When the prevalence of E. coli among different types of calf diarrhea is considered, it was recovered at highest rate from watery diarrhea. In the present findings diarrhea was highly associated with age of calves. Accordingly, young calves below 2 weeks were at high risk of being affected with diarrhea. This could be due to a number of factors. All the farms assessed administer colostrums to new born calves. However, the efficiency of colostrums intake and gut may be absorption affected by farm management practices.

Table 1. Characterization of isolated bacterial pathogens from diarrhoeic	
calves by Gram's staining technique	

Identification	Gram's Staining				
	Shape	Gram's Staining	Arrangement		
		Reaction(-/+)			
E.coli	Short plump	Gram negative	Single, paired or in short chain		
	rods				
Salmonella spp.	Very short	Gram negative	Single		
	plump rods				
Staphylococcus	Cocci arranged	Gram-positive	Grape-like clusters		
spp					

		1 0 1	
Name of Culture		Observation	
Media Used	E. Coli	Salmonella spp	Staphylococcus spp
Nutrient Agar	Smooth, circular, white to	Small, round and smooth	Growth of circular,
	grayish colony with	colony	small smooth, convex,
	peculiar fetid odour		and golden yellowish
			colonies
Blood Agar	Produce haemolysis	Produce haemolysis	Produce haemolysis
Brilliant Green	Yellowish green	Pinkish white	No growth (-)
Dimant Green	i enowish green	I IIIKISIi wiiite	No growth (-)
Staphylococcus No.10	No growth (-)	No growth (-)	Yellowish color colony
Mac Conkey Agar	Rose pink lactose	Colourless, pale,	No growth (-)
	Fermenter colony.	translucent colony.	- · · · g- · · · · - · ()
Eosin-Methylene	Moist circular colonies	No growth (-)	No growth (-)
Blue (Emb) Agar	with dark centers yellow		
	green metallic sheen		
Salmonella- Shigella	Pink colour colony	Translucent colourless smooth colony	No growth (-)
Simmons Citrate	No growth (-)	Deep blue colour	No growth (-)
Xylose-Lysine-	Yellow to yellow red	Red colonies with black	No growth to slight
Desoxycholate	colonies	centers	growth
Mannitol Salt	No growth (-)	No growth (-)	yellow colonies
	-	-	-

Table 2. Characterization of isolated bacterial pathogens by cultural properties

 Table 3. Characterization by biochemical reactions of E. coli, Salmonella spp. and

 Stanhylococcus spp

Isolated Organism	Oxidase Test	Catalase tes	Indole Test	Methyl-Red Test	Voges- Poskauer Reaction	Citrate Utilization Test	MIU Test	TSI Test	Hydrogen sulphide
E. coli	-	+	+	+	_	-	+ All	Butt-Y Slant-Y	-
Salmonella spp.	_	+	_	+	-	_	+	Butt-Y Slant-R	+
Staphyloco ccus spp.	-	+	_	+	-	_	_	Butt-Y Slant-Y	+

:no reaction; +:reactive ; Butt: buttom; Y: yellow; R: red

Table 4. Frequency of distribution of positive E. coli, Salmonella spp. and Staphylococcous

Total Number of Samples	Name of Isolated Bacteria	Total Number of Positive Samples	Frequency of Distribution (%)
Examined		-	
	E. coli	45	42.85%
	Salmonella spp.	22	20.95%
105	Staphylococcus	16	15.23%
	Mixed infection involved	12	11.42%
	Negative for bacteria	10	9.52%
Chi- Square			10.037 **
	** (P <	0.01).	

The result can be associated with many factors, at younger age; delay in first colostrums feeding was associated with higher risk of being affected with diarrhea. The finding that delayed colostrums intake (latter than 6 hours of age) associated with high risk of being affected with diarrhea agrees with other reports. Olsson *et al.* 1993 (30) found that each hour of delay in colostrums ingestion in the first 12 hours of age increased the chance of a calf becoming ill by 10%. Matte *et al.* 1982 (25) found that 61% of colostral

immunoglobulin containing 80mg/ml of IgG is absorbed in six hours and decreases sharply thereafter. This indicates that the first six hours are the period in which maximum absorption of colostral immunoglobulin takes place (16). Therefore, delays in administration could lead to lack of colostrum originated from originated maternal antibodies to protect calves from enteric pathogens. It is also indicated that the risk of failure of passive immunity transfer in bottle feeding is greater than in naturally suckled calves because of intake of inadequate colostrums volume and IgG and the mothering effect doesn't provide suitable gain to advocate leaving calves with the dam. But during bottle fed the colostrums might be contaminated with many environmental pathogens due careless management to systems. Results of the present study indicated that all the three different types of bacteria were not present in the same faecal sample from diarrhoeic collected calves. The incidence of different types of bacteria isolated from calf diarrhoea, correlate with the findings of (34). Haque and samad (19) isolated 9.61% Salmonella from calves, Joon and Kaura (22) isolated 23(23%) E. coli and 5 (5%) Salmonella from 100 fecal samples. Oporto et al. (31) stated that the prevalence of E. coli in bovine herds was 35.9%, Valdivia-Andy et al., (37) found verotoxin producing E. coli in 63.7% of the samples tested, Bendali et al. (7) isolated 20.3% E. coli from fecal samples of diarrhoeic calves, and Khan and Khan (23) isolated enteropathogenic *E.coli* (54-58%). Staphylococcus (7-10%) and Salmonella (13-14%).

Antibacterial activity against isolated

From all isolates studied, the antimicrobial resistance pattern was summarized in Table (5, 6 and 7). A total of 45 isolates of *E. coli*, 22 *Salmonella spp.* and 16 *Staphylococcus spp* demonstrated resistance to at least two of the tested antimicrobials. 100% of *E. coli* strains, *Salmonella spp.* and *Staphylococcus spp* were resistant to ampicillin, and amoxicillin. Additionally, 82% - 88% of *E. coli* strains, 81% - 86% of *Salmonella spp.* and 81% -87% of *Staphylococcus spp* were resistant to tetracycline, streptomycin and gentamicin. However, nearly 26% - 33% of *E. coli* strains, 36% - 40% of *Salmonella spp.* and 31% -37%

Staphylococcus of were moderate spp sensitivity trimethoprim to Sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin. and Additionally, still some bacterial isolates 26% - 66% of E. coli strains, 45% - 72% of Salmonella spp. and 62% -75% of *Staphylococcus* spp. were sensitive to azithromycin and ciprofloxacin. These results suggested that the antimicrobial resistance of the coli. Salmonella Ε. SDD. and Staphylococcus spp. might be derived from the excessive use of antimicrobials of their hosts. This study showed that antimicrobial widespread resistance is in enteric E. coli, Salmonella spp. and Staphylococcus spp. from diarrheic preweaned dairy calves. Ampicillin, amoxycillin, erythromycin, streptomycin or tetracyclines were the most prevalent resistance traits and isolates resistant to all these antimicrobials were common. The findings are in agreement with studies in preweaned dairy calves from other countries (8.35). However, most of the *E*. coli. Salmonella spp. and Staphylococcus spp. were susceptible to azithromycin, ciprofloxacin. These findings satisfy the result of (3, 17,28) who stated that calf isolates were highly sensitive to ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and resistant to ampicillin, erythromycin, gentamicin and amoxicillin. Also quite similar results were obtained from (32,22) who reported that most of the bacteria isolated from diarrhoea were calf less sensitive to tetracycline, chloramphenical, streptomycin and moderately sensitive to ampicillin. gentamycin amoxicillin, penicillin and kanamycin. The variation in the sensitivity of antibiotics of the faecal isolates may be due to outcome of choice and also the the indiscriminate use of antibiotic in different disease stage to various species of animals. In such calves a high occurrence of resistance can be anticipated since a large proportion of the probably animals are treated with antimicrobials. An equally high prevalence of Salmonella resistant E. coli, SDD. and Staphylococcus spp in the untreated calves of the present study is therefore remarkable. Results of the current study evidence obtained from laboratory and epidemiological studies indicated that the persistence of resistant bacteria was related to the persistence of antimicrobial drug use (5). If an antimicrobial drug is used, continuously, the persistence of resistant organisms will go on. Thus, *E. coli* has often higher degrees of antimicrobials resistance which have a long history of use (4). Series of studies on the resistance of *E. coli* which were isolated from animals and humans strongly suggested that those bacteria which

are resistant to antimicrobials used in animals would also be resistant to antimicrobials used in humans (27, 36).

Conclusion: E.coli recorded highly frequency from isolated bacteria, All isolated pathogenic bacteria were resistant against bacterial antibiotics

Antimicrobial agent		No (%) of <i>E. coli</i>		Chi- Square
_	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	_
Ampicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	45 (100)	15.00 **
Amoxicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	45 (100)	15.00 **
Azithromycin	12 (26.6)	0 (0)	33 (73.33)	12.67 **
Ciprofloxacin	30 (66.66)	0 (0)	15 (66.66)	12.46 **
Erythromycin	0 (0)	15 (33.33)	12(73.33)	13.07 **
Gentamicin	7 (15.55)	0 (0)	40 (88.88)	14.26 **
Streptomycin	2 (4.4)	0 (0)	43(84.45)	13.52 **
Tetracycline	8 (17.77)	0 (0)	38 (82.23)	13.39 **
Trimethoprim /	0 (0)	12 (26.6)	33 (73.33)	12.67 **
Sulfamethoxazole				
Chi- Square	14.65 **	9.72 **	9.16 **	
-		** (P<0.01).		

Table 6. Antibacterial activity against isolated E. coli (n= 45).

Table 7. Antibacterial activity against isolated Salmonella spp (n=22).

Antimicrobial agent	No (%) of Salmonella spp			Chi- Square	
_	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	_	
Ampicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	22 (100)	15.00 **	
Amoxicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	22 (100)	15.00 **	
Azithromycin	12 (54.54)	2 (9.09)	8 (36.36)	10.62 **	
Ciprofloxacin	16 (72.72)	0 (0)	6 (27.27)	12.58 **	
Erythromycin	0 (0)	9 (40.90)	13 (59.10)	12.03 **	
Gentamicin	3 (13.63)	0 (0)	19 (86.37)	13.94 **	
Streptomycin	3 (13.63)	0 (0)	19 (86.37)	13.68 **	
Tetracycline	4 (18.18)	0 (0)	18 (81.82)	13.41 **	
Trimethoprime /	0 (0)	8 (36.36)	14 (63.64)	11.73 **	
Sulfamethoxazole					
Chi- Square	13.92 **	10.81 **	11.35 **		
-	:	** (P<0.01).			

Table 8. Antibacterial activity against isolated *Staphylococcus spp* (n = 16).

Antimicrobial agent	No (Chi- Square		
	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	
Ampicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	16 (100)	15.00 **
Amoxicillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	16 (100)	15.00 **
Azithromycin	10 (62.5)	0 (0)	6 (37.5)	12.57 **
Ciprofloxacin	12 (75)	0 (0)	4 (25)	13.54 **
Erythromycin	0 (0)	6 (37.5)	10 (62.5)	12.57 **
Gentamicin	2 (12.5)	0 (0)	14 (87.5)	13.92 **
Streptomycin	2 (12.5)	0 (0)	14 (87.5)	13.92 **
Tetracycline	3 (18.75)	0 (0)	13 (81.25)	13.26 **
Trimethoprim /	0 (12.5)	5 (31.25)	11 (68.75)	11.75 **
Sulfamethoxazole				
Chi- Square	13.53 **	9.15 **	11.82 **	
_	:	** (P<0.01).		

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah, M.; M.R. Akter; S.M.; Lutful Kabir, ; Abu Sayed Khan; and M.S. Abdul Aziz, 2013. Characterization of bacterial pathogens isolated from calf diarrhea in panchagarh district of Bangladesh. J Agric Food Tech 3: 8-13 2. Aggernesh, A. 2010. Isolation and Identification of Enterobacteria Species from Diarrheic Calves in Debre Zeit dairy farms. Ethiopia.PP 7-12

3. Ahmad, R.; W. Amin and S. Kazmi 1986.. Studied on the bacterial causes of calf mortality. Pak Vet J 6: 116-118.

4. Alhaj, N.; N.S. Mariana; A.R. Raha and Z. . Ishak, 2007. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance among *Escherichia coli* from different sources in Malaysia. International J. Poult. Sci. 6(4): 293-297

5. Andersson, D.I. 2003. Persistence of antibiotic resistant bacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 6(5): 452-456

6. Bazeley, K. 2003. Investigation of diarrhoea in the neonatal calf. In Practice, 25(3): 152-159

7. Bendali, F.; H. Bichet; F. Schelcher and M.. Sanaa 1999. Pattern of diarrhoea in newborn calves in South West France. Vet. Res., 30: 61-74

8. Berge, A.C.; E.R. Atwillm and W.M. Sischo 2005. Animal and farm influences on the dynamics of antibiotic resistance in faecal *Escherichia coli* in young dairy calves. Prev Vet Med. 2005;69: 25–38. 9

9. Cho, Y. and K.J. Yoon 2014. An overview of calf diarrhea-infectious etiology, diagnosis, and intervention. J Vet Sci;15(1):1–17.

10. Cho, Y.I; W.I. Kim; S. Liu; J.M. Kinyon and K.J. Yoon 2010. Development of a panel of multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assays for simultaneous detection of major agents causing calf diarrhea in feces. J Vet Diagn Invest 22: 509-517

11. Choudhury, K.A.; M.M. Amin; A.J. Sarker; M. Ali and A.R. Ahmad 1987. Immunization of chickens against fowl chol era with oil adjuvanated broth culture vaccine. Bangladesh Vet. J., 21: 63-73

12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2006. Performance Standard for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Testing. 16th Informational Supplement. M100-S16. Wayne, Pa. Vol. 35 No. 3

13. de Verdier, K.; A. Nyman; C. Greko and B. Bengtsson 2012. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* from Swedish dairy calves. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica, 54(1): 2 14. Dereje, W. 2012. Isolation and identification of Enterobacteria Species from Diarrheic Calves in and Around Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 6(1) 2474-7637

15. El Zowalaty, M.E. 2012. Alarming trend of antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 6: 175–183

16. Foley, R.; D. Bath; F. Dickinson and H. Tucker 1985. Dairy Cattle Problems, Practices, Problems and Profits. (3rd ed), lea and febiger, Philadelphia, USA, pp. 325- 338

17. Genovese, K.; J. Bischoff; R. Mcreynolds and D. Nisbet 2006. Variation in the fecal shedding of Salmonella and E. coli in dairy cattle and examination of salmonella pulsed-field genotypes using gel electrophoresis. Lettappl Micro 38(5): 366-372 18. Hajipour, M.J.; K.M. Fromm; A.A. Ashkarran; D.J. de Aberasturi and I.R. de Larramendi; T., Rojo and M. Mahmoudi 2013. Antibacterial properties of Nanoparticles. Trends in Biotechnology 31: 61-62

19. Hoque, M.S. and M.A. Samad 1996.. Prevalence of clinical diseases in dairy Crossbred cows and calves in the urban areas in Dhaka. Bangladesh Vet. J., 30: 118-129

20. Huh, A.J.; and Y.J. Kwon 2011.. "Nanoantibiotics": a new paradigm for treating infectious diseases using nanomaterials in the antibiotics resistant era. Journal of controlled release, 156(2): 128-145

21. Izzo. M.; V. Mohler and J. House 2011.. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella isolates recovered from calves with diarrhoea in Australia. Aust Vet J 89: 402-408

22. Joon, D.S. and Y.K. Kaura 1993. Isolation and characterization of same of the enterobacteria from diarrhoeic and nondiarrhoeic calves. Ind J Anim Sci;63:373–83

23. Khan, A. and M.Z. Khan 1997. Bacteria isolated from natural cases of buffalo and bovine neonatal calf diarrhoea, pneumonia and pneumoenteritis. Vetermarski Arhiv, 67: 161-167

24. Lee, C.R.; I.H. Cho; B.C. Jeong and S.H. Lee. 2013. Strategies to Minimize Antibiotic Resistance. Int J Environ Res Public Health 10: 4274-4305

25. Matte, J.J.; C.L. Girard; J.R. Seoane, and G.J.. Brisson 1982. Absorption of colostral

immunoglobulin G in the newborn dairy calf. J Dairy Sci 65: 1765-1770

26. Merchant, I.A. and R.A. Packer 1967.. Veterinary Bacteriology and Virology. Seventh edi. The Iowa University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA, pp. 286-306

27. Miles, T.D.; W. McLaughlin and P.D Brown. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolates from broiler chickens and humans. BMC Vet. Res., 2: 7

28. Nazir, K. 2007. Antibiogram pattern of *E. coli* isolates of calves feces and diarrhegenic stool of infants. J Bangla Soci Agri Sci Techno 4: 49- 152.

29. OIE Office International Des Epizooties 2000. Mannual of Standards for Diagnostics Test and Vaccines. OIE Guide-2. 79-85

30. Olsson, S.; S. Viring; V. Emanuelsson and S. Jacobson 1993. Calf disease and mortality in Swedish dairy herds. Acta Vet Scand 34(3): 263-269.

31. Oporto, B.; J.I. Esteban; G. Aduriz; R.A. Juste and A. Hurtado 2008. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and non- O157 Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* in healthy cattle, sheep and swine herds in Northern Spain. Zoonoses Public Health, 52: 411- 550

32. Panwar, B.S.; N.S. Dhanesar and K.N.P. Rao 1990. Serotypes and antibiogram of phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* 0111 isolates. The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 57:1210-4 33. Pereira, R.V.V.; T.M.A. Santos; M.L. Bicalho; L.S. Caixeta; V. S. Machado and R. C. Bicalho 2011. Antimicrobial resistance and prevalence of virulence factor genes in fecal Escherichia coli of Holstein calves fed milk with and without antimicrobials. Journal of dairy science, 94(9): 4556-4565

34. Rajeswari-Shome, R.; R. Shome and R. Shome 1996. Mannose sensitive and mannose resistant haemagglutination of E. coli isolated from calf diarrhoea cases in Andamans. Indian Vet. J., 73: 998-1000

35. Sato, K.; P.C. Bartlett and M.A. Saeed, 2005. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Escherichia coli isolates from dairy farms using organic versus conventional production methods. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2005;226: 589–594

36. Umolu, P.I.; E.R. Ohenhen; I.G. Okwu and I.S. Ogiehor 2006. Multiple Antibiotic resistant index and plasmid of Escherichia coli in beef in Ekpoma. Am. J. Sci. 2 (3):1-4

37. Valdivia- Ad, G.; D.M. Cervantes-Rosales;Soriano-becerrili; F. Alba-Hurtado; J.A. Montaraz-Crespo, and J.L. Tortora- Prez, 2000. Interaction of *E. coli* verocytotoxin strains and rota virus in outbreak of calf's diarrhoea. Veterinaria Mexico, 31: 293- 300

38. Waltner-Toews, D.; S.W. Martin and A.H. Meek 1986d. Calf-related drug use on Holstein dairy farms in southwestern Ontario. The Canadian Veterinary Journal.,27(1): 17--22.