# GENERATION MEAN ANALYSIS USING GENERATION VARIANCE IN DURUM WHEAT TRAITS (*Triticum durum* L.) H. S. Ali Askander

Assist. Prof.

Dept. Field Crops, Coll. of Agric. Engin. Sci. University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq Corresponding author's email: <u>hajar.askandar@uod.ac</u>

#### ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted to estimate the gene action effects in some traits of durum wheat by using crosses two generation of wheat (Albit-9 X omgenil-3) through generation mean analysis during growing season 2016-2017, at Field Crops Department, Collage of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Duhok. The analysis of variance showed significant differences between generations mean for studied traits except spike length which did not significant. The mean value of  $F_1$  generation was higher than the respect parents (P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>) F<sub>2</sub>, Bc<sub>1</sub> and Bc<sub>2</sub> for most of studied traits in wheat crosses. The results of gene effect shown that the dominance gene effects were significant and positive with all studied traits, whereas additive gene effect did not significant for all traits except plant height and number of grain per spike, also The results exhibited that the dominance and additive X additive variance was positive for all traits this mean complementary gene effect controlling these traits, regarding of broad and narrow sense heritability. The results indicate that broad sense value was more than the narrow sense heritability. Heterosis in  $F_1$  cross over mid parents was recorded a positive value (9.672, 8.112) for plant height and grain yield per plant, while inbreeding depression was measured as reduction in performance of F<sub>2</sub> generation a positive results were obtained for all traits.

Keyword, generation means, gene action, heritability, wheat crosses

اسكندر

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2020 :51 (5):1308-1313

تحليل متوسطات الأجيال بأستعمال تباينات الأجيال في الحنطة الخشنة (*Triticum durum* L.) هاجر سعيد علي أسكندر أستاذ مساعد

قسم المحاصيل الحقلية -كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية - جامعة دهوك

المستخلص

نفذت الدراسة لتقدير تأثير الفعل الجيني المسيطر على بعض صفات االحنطة الخشنة بأستخدام تركيبين وراثيين من الحنطة (Albi-9 X omgenil-3), ذلك بأستخدام: طريقة تحليل المتوسطات بأستعمال الأجيال في حقل قسم المحاصيل الحقلية, كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية, جامعة دهوك, خلال الموسم النمو 2016-2017. أظهرت نتائج تحليل التباين وجود فروقات معنوية بين الأجيال عدا طول السنبلة. قيم الجيل الأول F<sub>1</sub> لمتوسطات الصفات المدروسة كانت أعلى من كلا الأبوين ( P و معنوية بين الأجيال عدا طول السنبلة. قيم الجيل الأول F<sub>1</sub> لمتوسطات الصفات المدروسة كانت أعلى من كلا الأبوين ( P<sub>1</sub> و معنوية بين الأجيال عدا طول السنبلة. قيم الجيل الأول F<sub>1</sub> لمتوسطات الصفات المدروسة كانت أعلى من كلا الأبوين ( P<sub>1</sub> و P) والجيل الثاني F<sub>2</sub> و التهجين الرجعي الأول F<sub>1</sub> معنوية بين الأجيال عدا طول السنبلة. قيم الجيل الأول F<sub>1</sub> معنوسطات الصفات المدروسة كانت أعلى من كلا الأبوين ( F<sub>2</sub> و P) والجيل الثاني 50 و التهجين الرجعي الأول F<sub>2</sub> والتهجين الرجعي الثاني 50 و الفعل الجيني الععل الجيني السيدي فروقات معنوية و بالأتجاه الموجب لجميع الأول F<sub>2</sub> والتهجين الرجعي الثاني 50 و المعات عدا أرتفاع النبات وعدد ( P<sub>2</sub> و الميات و المعات الموجب المول F<sub>1</sub> والحين المعاني والم على معنوية و بالأتجاه الموجب لجميع الصفات بينما الأضافي لم يكن معنويا في الصفات عدا أرتفاع النبات وعدد السيادي فروقات معنوية و بالأتجاه الموجب لجميع الصفات بينما الأضافي لم يكن معنويا في الصفات عدا أرتفاع النبات وعدد وجود سيادة متممة لهذه الصفات. قيم الأضافية بأنها كانت موجبة ومعنوية لكل الصفات مما يدل على وجود سيادة متممة لهذه الصفات. قيم التوريث بالمعنى الواسع كانت أعلى من قيم التوريث بالمعنى الضابي وحد وجود سيادة متممة لهذه الصفات. قيم التوريث بالمعنى الواسيا مع مات موجبة ومعنوية و حاصل الحوب لكل ما وجوب أربي الموري بالمعنى الموري الموري بالمعنى الضبات و حاصل الحبوب لكل وجود سيادة متممة لهذه الصفات. قيم التوريث بالمعنى الواسع كانت أعلى من قيم التوريث بالمعنى الضبي ما يوم الهجين وجود الهجين الموري بالمعنى الواسع كانت أعلى من قيم التوريث بالمعنى الموري الهجين نور وحاصل الحبوب الموري بناني ما ورفي ما الموري بكل ما وروري بالمعنى الواسع مانت أعلى من قيم التوري والموع النبات و حاصل الحبوب لكل قياسيا مع مقوسط الأبوين كنتي مو

الكلمات المفتاحية: متوسطات الأجيال, الفعل الجيني, التوريث, الحنطة

### INTRODUCTION

Grain yield is a complex character in wheat which focused by wheat breeder to improve it by developing a new genotype with a high yield potential with desirable genetic make up to overcome the consumption pressure of increasing population (14). Grain yield could be improved through indirect selection by improving the yield components (3). To choice breeding procedures for genetic improvement of wheat dependent on the knowledge of genetic component and presence of non-allelic interaction for different character of wheat (8 and 10). The results of different studies investigate the genetic basis of yield and its component as quantitative characters, showed that the dominance effects and epistasis were more important than additive effects (15). Inheritance of wheat characters needed the information about type of gene action which helpful in deciding the breeding procedure to be followed for wheat improvement (6). To induced new population with highest genetic for quantitative variation characters hybridization must be between done genetically divers parent (5 and 7). Generation mean analysis is a useful technique used by plant breeders to estimate main gene effects (Additive and dominance), (Additive X additive), (Additive X dominance) and (Dominance X dominance) interaction, which responsible for inheritance of quantitative characters (13). The present study was aimed to estimate the nature and magnitude of gene action effect for grain yield and its component characters in durum wheat through generation mean analysis.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was carried out at Field Crops Department, Collage of Agricultural Engineering Science, Duhok University. In the first growing season (2016-2017) two genotypes of durum wheat (Albit-9 and Omgenil-3) were crossed to obtain  $F_1$  cross. In the second season (2017-2018),  $F_1$  crosses plant was selfed and back crossed for two parents to produce  $F_2$ ,  $Bc_1$  and  $Bc_2$ . In the next season (2018-2019) the six generation ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, Bc<sub>1</sub> and Bc<sub>2</sub>) were sown in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications in row 3.5 m long, 30 cm between row and 15 cm within the row. While the number of rows per plot and the number of plot analvzed plants per varied with generation, recommended cultured practices and management for experiments were followed to keep a good plants. The data collected for each replication 20 plant for  $(P_1)$ and  $P_2$ ), 60 plants for  $F_1$ , 160 plants for  $Bc_1$ and Bc<sub>2</sub>, were selected randomly for recording data of the following traits:- plant height, number of spike per plant, spike length, number of grain per spike, grain yield, and 1000 grain weight.

### Statistical and genetic procedures

The analysis were proceeded to estimate heritability, heterosis and inbreeding depression according to Miller *et al.*, (16), and estimate the various gene effect by using six genetic parameters model of Hayman (11), Jinks, and Jones (12) as follows:

- m = Mean effect
- d = Additive gene effect
- h = Dominance gene effect
- i = Additive X additive gene effect
- j = Additive X dominance gene effect
- l = Dominance X dominance gene effect

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Mean performances

The result of generation mean square for six studied traits are presented in Table 1, the highest results reveals that significant differences among all traits expect spike length which did non-significant, indicating the genetic variability which presence of necessary for success and development of any plant breeding program, this finding indicates that further portion of genetic variance to its components and the comparisons between mean are valid with respect to the studied traits (1,2 and 15).

Table 1. Analysis of variance for studied traits of six generation for durum wheat crosses.								
		Plant	Number	Spike	Number of	Grain	1000 Grain	
S.O.V	D.F	Height	of Spike	Length	Grain /	Yield /	Weight	
		( <b>cm</b> )	/ Plant	( <b>cm</b> )	Spike	Plant (gm)	(gm)	
Replication	2	6515.44	966.04	1292.82	596.23	1477.50	2412.21	
Generation	5	3505.70 **	435.30 *	311.13	5721.69 **	4558.75 **	15504.2 **	
Experiment Error	10	804.84	107.50	116.32	33.76	115.75	171.55	
Sampling Error	1482	55.34	2.090	2.27	8.58	19.82	33.55	
Total	1499							

\*\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.01)

\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.05) Generation mean value of six populations (P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, Bc<sub>1</sub> and Bc<sub>2</sub>) for analyzed traits with their standard error as compared with L.S.D test at 0.05 probability, shows in Table 2. Mean value of filial generation F<sub>1</sub> gave higher mean value reached (84.03, 8.75, 9.99, 50.63, 25.76 and 51.78) for plant height (cm), number of spike per plant, spike length (cm), number of grain per spike, grain yield per plant (gm), and thousand grain weight (gm) respective,

while the mid parents scoring (74.35, 6.45, 7.19, 44.80, 17.65, and 49.00). The mean value of  $F_2$  population comparing with their mid parents  $Bc_1$  and  $Bc_2$  was lower for all traits indicating the appreciable amount of genetic variability for these traits in the corresponding cross. The differences between  $F_2$  and Bc generation mean values arise from different parental allelic contributions (4,9, 17 and 20).

Fable 2. Generation mean and standard error	<b>(SE)</b>	) of six traits in durum v	wheat crosses
	$(\sim -)$	,	

	Plant	Number of	Spike	Number	<b>Grain Vield</b>	1000 Grain
Generation	Height	Spike /	Length	of Grain /	/ Dignt (gm)	Weight
	( <b>cm</b> )	Plant	(cm)	Spike	/ Flant (gin)	(gm)
D1	79.923	5.967	6.623	43.533	18.767	48.754
<b>L</b> 1	± 0.91	$\pm 0.42$	± 0.3	± 0.96	± 0.65	± 1.30
D	68.793	6.933	7.770	46.067	16.540	49.366
P2	± 0.64	± 0.63	± 0.31	± 0.75	± 0.64	± 1.32
<b>F</b> 1	84.030	8.756	9.991	50.639	25.766	51.781
F1	± 0.45	$\pm 0.23$	± 0.21	± 0.47	± 0.66	± 0.88
E)	74.124	5.477	6.486	36.533	11.248	32.463
F Z	± 0.71	± 0.16	± 0.16	± 0.4	± 0.38	± 0.66
Do1	53.251	7.575	7.918	41.103	14.762	45.192
BCI	± 0.64	$\pm 0.14$	± 0.39	± 0.48	± 0.29	± 0.68
D- <b>1</b>	75.927	7.889	$7.730 \pm 0$	43.722	16.239	47.499
DC2	± 0.37	$\pm 0.2$	.14	$\pm 0.58$	± 0.40	± 0.69
L.S.D at 0.05	18.9	3.7	3.8	7.4	11.3	14.7

#### **Gene** action

Estimation of gene action are derived from the six parameters model mean (m), additive (d), dominance (h), additive X additive (i), additive X dominance (j) and dominance X dominance (l), are shows in Table 3. Gene mean effect (m) was significant for all traits indicating that these traits were quantitatively inherited, additive (d) gene effect were non-significant for all traits except plant height and number of grain per spike at (0.01and 0.05) probability level respectively, while dominance (h) gene effect were significant for all studied traits and relatively highest compares to additive (d) gene effect, indicating the low importance of additive gene effects in the genetic control fir these studied traits revealing the role of dominance of gene action to inheritance these traits therefor the hybridization would be more effective then selection (2 and 18).

Constin	Plant	Number	Spike	Number	Cuoin Viold /	1000 Grain
Genetic	Height	of Spike /	Length	of Grain /	Blant (gm)	Weight
rarameter	( <b>cm</b> )	Plant	( <b>cm</b> )	Spike	Flant (gin)	(gm)
	0.5 **	0.01 **	0.01	0.2 **	0.1 **	0.4 **
111	± 0.71	± 0.16	± 0.16	± 0.40	± 0.35	± 0.66
d	0.5 **	0.1	0.2	0.6 **	0.2	0.9
u	± 0.74	± 0.24	$\pm 0.42$	$\pm 0.75$	± 0.49	± 0.97
h	10.2 **	0.6 **	1.1	4.0 **	3.3 **	10.4 **
11	± 3.20	± 0.74	$\pm 1.05$	± 2.0	± 1.82	± 3.22
;	10.28 **	0.647 **	1.089	4.75 **	3.3 **	10.652 **
1	± 3.21	± 0.80	$\pm 1.02$	± 2.18	± 1.82	± 3.26
i	1.059 **	0.181	0.244	1.16 **	0.55 **	2.23
J	± 1.03	± 0.43	± 0.49	± 1.08	± 0.75	± 1.49
1	18.87 **	1.873 **	3.547	13.87 **	8.75	28.567 **
I	± 4.34	± 1.37	± 1.88	± 3.72	± 2.96	± 5.34

Table 3 Variance of six generation parameters for Durum wheat crosses

\*\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.01)

\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.05) The same Table shows the additive X additive (i) interaction value were significant for studied traits confirming the value of additive gene action was important to inheritance of these traits, while the additive X dominance (j) interaction was significant for plant height and grain yield per plant, indicting the importance of dominance to increasing these traits, while the dominance X dominance (14) interaction was significant for three traits (plant height, number of spike per plant and 1000 grain weight). According to Kearsey and Pooni (13) mentioned that the epistasis is determined when dominance (h) and dominance X dominance (1) effects were significant with some signs epistasis is of complementary type, the result of present study plant height, number of spike per plant and 1000 grain weight, was

under the complementary type, the result are in agreement with Esmail *et al.*, (6); Fellahi *et al.*, (8); and Hannachi *et al.*, (10).

#### Variance of component variation

Table 4, shows a significant differences between the variance of component variation indicating that the dominance variance (H) was more than the additive (D) variance for the most traits to ensure that the hybridization would be more important that the selection and three traits (spike length, number of grain per spike and 1000 grain weight) gave the positive value of dominance followed by the positive value for number of spike per plant, number of grain per spike and grain yield per plant. The results are in agreement with Fellahi *et al.*, (8) and Ninghot *et al.*, (18).

<b>Fable</b>	e 4. Variance of t	the component val	riation, domina	nce ratio, F/(D	*H)1/2 ratio and de	gree
		of dominance	e for Durum wl	heat crosses.		
	Traits	D	Н	F	EW	

Traits	D	H	F	EW
Plant Height (cm)	0.9344 **	-55.297 **	-21.87	10.153
Number of Spike / Plant	-0.0142	-1.669 *	0.955	2.671
Spike Length (cm)	-0.2493	39.430 **	-11.233	1.90
Number of Grain / Spike	-0.499 **	50.499 **	4.858	12.024
Grain Yield / Plant (gm)	0.104 **	-30.565 **	3.133	12.948
1000 Grain Weight (gm)	-0.1756 **	8.465 **	-7.886	32.944

\*\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.01)

\* Significant differences at the level of probability (0.05) Heritability, Heterosis, and Inbreeding Depression

The proportion of variation which is nonheritable from that which is heritable, the result of heritability in Table 5, shows highest value of broad sense heritability for plant height, number of spike per plant, spike length, number of grain per spike, grain yield, and 1000 grain weight with value (0.86, 0.80, 0.72, 0.98, 0.97, and 0.98) respectively. While, narrow sense heritability value was lower as compared with the broad sense for all traits and in negative direction indicating the negative value of additive variance owing to the high value of sampling error, the same table displayed the heterosis value which was positive for plant height, number of spike per plant, spike length, number of grain per spike, grain yield, and 1000 grain weight with value (9.67, 2.30, 2.79, 5.83, 8.11, and 2.72) respectively, the highest positive heterosis recorded in plant height and grain yield per plant which was a good indication for breeding methods based on hybridization to improving these important traits, the expression of heterosis in  $F_1$  will be followed by a considerable reduction in F<sub>2</sub> due to inbreeding homozygosis. Concerning reduction depression, measured as in performance of  $F_2$ generation due to inbreeding, positive result were obtained for most studied traits. Similar results were recorded by Sharma et al., (19) and Yao et al., (21).

Table 5. Estimation of heritability broad sense (h.b.s), heritability narrow sense (h.n.s),
heterosis and inbreeding depression for studied traits of Durum wheat

Genetic Parameter	Plant Height (cm)	Number of Spike / Plant	Spike Length (cm)	Number of Grain / Spike	Grain Yield / Plant (gm)	1000 Grain Weight (gm)
h.b.s	0.86	0.80	0.72	0.98	0.97	0.98
h.n.s	-0.145	-0.031	-0.0107	-0.012	0.009	0.250
Heterosis	9.672	2.305	2.794	5.838	8.112	2.721
Inbreeding Depression	9.91	3.28	3.50	14.838	14.52	19.32

In the present study the six trait of durum wheat were examined, the results shown a complex genetic behavior this main the selection in early segregated generation did not effective to improve these traits, additive and dominance component could be exploited in later generation according of that it suggested that selection should be delayed to later generation of segregation population after achieve of homozygous from heterozygous.

### REFERENCES

1. Almajidy, L. I. M., I. K. Hashim, M. I. Hamadan, and B. H. Hadi. 2017. Estimation of some genetic parameters in durum wheat. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science. 48(2): 636-643.

2. Bilgin, O., İ. Kutlu, & A. Balkan. 2016. Gene effects on yield and quality traits in two bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) crosses. International Journal of Crop Science and Technology, 2(1):1-10.

3. Chandra, D., M. A. Islam, and N. C. D. Barma. 2004. Variability and interrelationship of nine quantitative characters in F5 bulks of five wheat crosses. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci*, 7(6), 1040-1045

4. Dvojković, K., G. Drezner, D. Novoselović, A. Lalic, J. Kovacevic, D. Babic, and M. Baric. 2010. Estimation of some genetic parameters through generation mean analysis in two winter wheat crosses. Periodicum biologorum, 112(3), 247-251 5. El-Hosary, A. A., M. E. Raid, R. A. Nagwa, and A. H. Manal. 2000. Heterosis and combining ability in durum wheat. Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Spt., 2000: 101-117

6. Esmail, R. M. and S. A. M. Khattab. 2002. Genetic behavior of yield and its components in three bread wheat crosses. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 27(2)215-224

7. Farag, H. A. 2009. Inheritance of yield and its components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using six parameter model under Ras Sudr condition. 6<sup>th</sup> International Plant Breeding Conference, Ismailia, Egypt, 90-112

8. Fellahi, Z. E. A., A. Hannachi, Bouzer Zour, and A. Benbelacem. 2016. Genetic control of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) traits. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology. 38(1):91-97

9. Hadi, B. H. 2016. Generations mean analysis for estimation some genetic parameters for yield and components in four maize cross. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science. 47(1): 246-258.

10. Hannachi, A., Z. E. A. Fellahi, and H. Bouzerzour. 2017. A genetic analysis of some metric traits in a  $6 \times 6$  half-diallel crosses of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* var durum L.) under semi-arid conditions. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 13(4), 215-227

11. Hayman, B. I. 1958. The separation of epistatic from additive and dominance

variation in generation means. Heredity, 12, 371-390

12. Jinks, J. L., and R. M. Jones. 1958. Estimation of the components of heterosis. *Genetics*, *43*(2), 223-234

13. Kearsey, M. J. and H. S. Pooni. 1996. The genetic analysis of quantitative traits. Chapman and Hall, London. 396 p

14. Memon, S. M., M. V. Qureshi, B. A. Ansari, and M. A. Sial. 2007. Genetic heritability for grain yield and its related character in spring wheat. Pak. J. Bot., 39(5): 1503-1509

15. Mahpara, S., S. T. Hussain, J. Iqbal, I. R. Noorka, and S. Salman. 2018. Analysis of generation means for some metric traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) hybrids. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB), 7(1), 93-102

16. Miller, P. A., J. C. Williams, H. F. Robinson, and R. E. Comstock. 1958. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implications in selection 1. Agronomy journal, 50(3), 126-131

17. Mohamed, N. E. M. 2014. Genetic control for some traits using generation mean analysis

in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 3(9):1055-1068

18. Ninghot, C. J., M. V. Boratlcar, S. B. Thawari, and N. R. Potdukhe. 2016. Generation mean analysis for yield and yield components in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Genetics, ISSN, 0975-2862. 8(4): 204-206

19. Sharma, S. N., R. S. Sain, and R. K. Sharma. 2002. Genetic control of quantitative traits in durum wheat under normul and late sowing environments. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 34(1): 35-43

20. Wuhiab, K. M. and B. H. Hadi. 2016. Detection of non-allelic interaction via generation mean analysis in maize. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science. 47(7): 44-55

21. Yao, J. B., H. X. Ma, L. J. Ren, P. P. Zhang, X. M. Yang, G. C., Yao, P. Zhang, and M. P. Zhou. 2011. Generic analysis of plant yield and its components in diallel crosses of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Australian J. Crop Sci., 5(11): 1408- 1418.